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The Elinore Sisters, two performers who portrayed Irish women in their vaudeville sketches, are part of several overlapping histories: the history of ethnic comedy, of female performers and of vaudeville. Historians of vaudeville have already introduced us to the performers—usually male—who specialized in ethnic comedy in vaudeville. Joe Weber and Lew Fields, well-known German (also known as Dutch) comedians, spoke with thick accents and fought each other vigorously on stage. Thomas J. Ryan dressed in ragged clothes and put on red hair and whiskers in his portrayal of an Irishman always ready to drink and fight, while Julian Rose succeeded in vaudeville with his comic monologues about a Jewish immigrant’s mishaps.¹ Performing with her sister May in vaudeville between 1894 and 1909, Kate Elinore should be added to this list. Through her portrayal of wild Irish women and her initiation of numerous slapstick gags, Kate Elinore was the central comic figure in her sketches. A rare female clown, Kate Elinore reveals the long-overlooked controversies that surrounded a female performer who violated the gender boundaries of ethnic comedy.

Kate Elinore, however, cannot be studied in isolation from her sister, May Elinore, because the tension between the contrasting types of characters they presented was the foundation of their comedy. Like the foils for the clowns in many other vaudeville acts, May Elinore presented feminine authority figures who attempted to suppress the clown’s erratic behavior through their moral influence and their instructions on manners. Thus, while Kate Elinore was exceptional in her role as the masculine, immigrant clown, May Elinore’s characters were quite conventional. May Elinore’s polite, attractive Irish women demonstrate, furthermore, that the rough immigrant woman—the stereotype...
from the vaudeville stage which has received the most scholarly attention—was countered by the image of an acculturated immigrant woman: the “civilizing woman.” As the contrasts between the sisters’ characters make clear, Kate Elinore’s rank ladies must be considered in relation to May Elinore’s ladies of rank.

Directing their aggressive comedy at the ladies of rank, the ethnic clowns such as Kate Elinore and the many men who dominated this performance style expressed hostility to feminine authority. This theme in vaudeville’s ethnic comedy must be added to previous interpretations of this type of comedy. The prevailing emphasis on the stereotypes of uncouth immigrants as “ethnic boundary markers” (as examples of what “veritable Americans were not”) must now make way for a fuller, more nuanced appraisal of these caricatures. Until now scholars tended to view the immigrant clowns primarily as buffoons who allowed native-born and middle-class vaudeville patrons to feel superior to them. As the Elinore Sisters’ sketches and other vaudeville acts reveal, however, the immigrant clowns represented ethnic and working-class pride in their battles with stifling female authority figures. The “civilizing woman” was in many ways the butt of jokes in these sketches, as her repressive rules often seem ill-founded and her manners overly grandiose.

A consideration of such gender and class antagonism broadens our perspective on ethnic comedy, while also revising the current interpretation of the history of vaudeville. It forces us to account for the sometimes subtle, and always pervasive subplots of class and gender hostility in an entertainment industry increasingly preoccupied with feminine respectability. Most scholars emphasize that vaudeville earned a “respectable” reputation around the turn of the century by reforming the rowdy, working-class, and masculine atmosphere which had previously dominated variety entertainment. As proof, they cite that in the late 1880s vaudeville promoters such as Benjamin Franklin Keith and Edward F. Albee began to offer a series of “variety” acts, including singers, dancers and comedians, in theaters separate from the traditional setting of variety shows (in concert saloons and dime museums). To attract white middle-class women and children to their theaters, these entrepreneurs censored material they deemed offensive to women and children, silenced unruly patrons and remodeled their theaters into ornate palaces. The traditional history of vaudeville emphasizes that Keith and Albee consolidated a diverse audience of white patrons (working-class, middle-class and elite men, women, and children) under the rubric of bourgeois values and tastes by eliminating the “low” tastes and values associated with working-class masculinity. Nevertheless, the derision of the female foils, erudite and orderly, and the celebration of the clown’s rebellions in the Elinore Sisters’ routines point to the ambivalence about and rejection of the feminization of vaudeville. In these ways, the Elinore Sisters provide a window on to the gender tensions in ethnic comedy as well as the simmering hostility against the feminization of vaudeville.
Figure 1: Kate and May Elinore (left to right). Billy Rose Theatre Collection. (The photograph appears here courtesy of The New York Public Library for the Performing Arts. Astor, Lenox and Tilden foundations.)
Kate Elinore’s role as a slapstick clown was indeed controversial because she countered a male tradition of ethnic comedy in vaudeville, but, more broadly, her performance style raised questions about the definition of a woman’s sense of humor. The debate over women’s humor in the early twentieth century revolved around the question of whether the enjoyment of “low” comedy, such as slapstick routines in vaudeville, was contrary to women’s nature. Constance Coquelin, for example, wrote in 1901, that “[t]he lighter, the more fantastic, the daintier the humorous fancy, the quicker it appeals to a woman.” 4 Robert Burdette reiterated Coquelin’s conclusions about women’s refined humor: “[T]his sense in her is delicate, sympathetic, refined to the highest culture. True humor delights her, while buffoonery, if it be brutal, shocks her.” 5 When women’s humor was acknowledged it was limited to subtle, intellectual, sensitive comedy.

One result of this definition of women’s comedy was that in male/female comedy teams in vaudeville the woman’s role was usually the “straight” role or the “feeder” for a comic male partner. A manager on the Keith Circuit, for example, noted the reversal of traditional gender roles in Hines and Remington’s comedy act: “Unusual inasmuch as the woman is not used as the ‘feeder’ but does most of the work and is really the life of the act.” 6 Critic Robert Speare saw Kate Elinore usurping the male comic role: the Elinore Sisters are an “absolutely novel woman act . . . . the idea is that of the straight comedian and the rough comedian, only instead of its being two men now its two women.” 7 In addition, vaudeville theater manager Charles Lovenberg referred to Kate Elinore in his assessment of Earl and Wilson’s musical comedy act:

This act was a great surprise for in it was found a woman who is actually funny almost as much so as the comedienne of the Elinor [sic] sisters. She makes up as an eccentric country girl and has a very funny line of talk and the novelty of the woman doing the comedy and the man, the straight work, is very acceptable. 8

The Elinore Sisters, with Kate Elinore as a brash comedian, were indeed an odd pair in vaudeville.

The commentary surrounding Kate Elinore focused on the exceptional nature of a grotesque female comedian. 9 A review on December 20, 1906 noted that Kate Elinore “had determined to carve out a new pathway for herself, one which women had never before trodden. ‘I’m going to do something different, something grotesque,’ she said.” 10 To make herself grotesque, Kate Elinore wore mismatched costumes, spoke in a gruff voice and highlighted awkward, often aggressive, movements on stage. In 1909 one reporter was surprised by her “low” comedy. “She is one of those marvels Heaven seldom sends us—a truly funny woman,” he explained, “She is a low comedienne who does not mind making herself look ugly or ridiculous in order to make her audience laugh.” 11 In her 1914
history of vaudeville, Carolyn Caffin remembered Kate Elinore’s appearance: “Never was a woman less troubled with self-consciousness. Her face is one broad, expansive smile which seems to radiate from the top of her little nob of hair . . . . and from every angle of her square built frame.”\(^\text{12}\) Another reviewer noted that “her make-up is a nightmare of the milliner’s art. Her voice makes a fog horn sound like an echo.”\(^\text{13}\) Perhaps the most striking example of Kate Elinore’s eccentric appearance was her costume for a performance on November 30, 1909: “gauze draperies spangled with small kitchen utensils.”\(^\text{14}\)

Kate Elinore contrasted the vanity and perky sexuality expected of many female performers, cultivating instead a grotesque style and appearance that followed the traditional stereotype of a working-class Irish woman. According to theater historian Paul Distler, the Irish woman on vaudeville stages was “a little loud [and] too free spirited for the basically Anglo-Saxon stock.”\(^\text{15}\) Frequently actresses portrayed the Irish woman as a maid who disrupted her employers’ middle-class home with her careless mistakes and adventurous spirit. A popular scene in several early twentieth-century films involves a maid “Bridget” wreaking havoc when she tries to light a fire in a stove. The Finish of Bridget McKeen, an Edison film produced in 1901, shows Bridget struggling to set a fire. After several bumbling attempts, she pours kerosene in the stove; the resulting explosion vaults her into the air with a large puff of smoke. The final image of the film focuses on her gravestone, reminding viewers of the chaotic, dangerous world of Irish maids: “Here lies the remains of Bridget McKeen who started a fire with kerosene.”\(^\text{16}\)

Tapping into the unruliness associated with working-class, Irish women in her defiant stage performances, Kate Elinore drew on a tradition in Western culture in which the instability associated with women was also a source of rebelliousness in public displays and protests. In early modern Europe women and men, often through cross-dressing, incorporated the association between women and the lower body—including reproduction and sexuality—into their social inversions and civil disruptions. “The lower ruled the higher in women,” explains historian Natalie Zemon Davis, “and if she were given her way, she would want to rule over those above her outside.”\(^\text{17}\) Although many women protested against the characterization of women as “by nature more unruly, more disobedient and fickle than men,” this connection was the source of popular imagery and activity which undermined gender hierarchy.\(^\text{18}\)

Kate Elinore clearly carried on this tradition, but her performances also point to the ways class and ethnicity shaped the uses of the unruly woman. Within ethnic comedy in vaudeville, the definition of particular groups of women (immigrant women, working-class women) as sexually, physically and verbally excessive provided a source of female comedians’ rebellions against male authority on and off stage. The women whom reformers identified as being too aggressive, too loud, and too sexual were often the fuel for female performers’ comic assaults, including their adoption of male comic styles of performance in vaudeville.
Kate Elinore’s chaotic characters must be considered within the plots of her sketches, particularly in relation to the orderly women presented by her sister, May. Filled with Kate Elinore’s rantings and physical gags, the Elinore Sisters’ sketches focus on a “low” Irish woman’s excessive appetites and her repeated clashes with social authority and convention. In their sketches, as in many other vaudeville routines, the image of acculturation and propriety is an elite woman. In contrast to Kate Elinore’s restless and rambunctious characters, the traditional female comic antagonist, exemplified by May Elinore’s characters, is thus a “civilizing woman.”

To understand the tension between order and disorder as well as the struggle against feminine authority in the Elinore Sisters’ acts, it is useful to turn first to the interpretative framework established by film and vaudeville historian Henry Jenkins. The contest between an unruly clown and a comic antagonist in the Elinore Sisters’ sketches resembles the “anarchistic comedy” that Jenkins has identified in vaudeville as well as in Hollywood films of the late 1920s and 1930s. Anarchistic comedy depends on the juxtaposition of an expressive, out-of-control clown and a comic antagonist who symbolizes “civilization, all that is stifling or corrupt in the social order.” Expressing freedom and energy as they fight against the comic antagonists (“dupes, killjoys, and counterfeits”), the clowns offer the audience an escape from the restraint and control associated with civilization. This identification with the clown corresponds to what sociologists have identified as a release from the emotional constraints of mass society. While the clown’s outbursts address a desire for spontaneity and freedom, the audience’s feelings toward the clown remain equivocal because the clowns are usually outsiders of some kind, representatives of the “lower orders.” Jenkins thus concludes that viewers may identify with the clowns, seeking vicarious escape, but they may also distance themselves from these grotesque characters. In this light, Kate Elinore was indeed more than a negative stereotype, repulsing middle-class viewers with her mismatched costumes and crude manners; her chaotic characters also attracted vaudeville patrons as they offered moments of excitement and relief from civilizing restraints.

All of the Elinore Sisters’ routines contain “anarchistic comedy.” The Elinore Sisters’ most popular vaudeville sketch was “Dangerous Mrs. Delaney”: one version was written in 1898 by George M. Cohan (a popular vaudeville performer as well as a sketch-writer); a second version was written by Carroll Flemming and William Jerome in 1902. Mrs. Delaney, played by Kate Elinore, is a working-class, immigrant Irish woman who quickly vaults into high society after winning a large financial settlement from a lawsuit. She disrupts elite social circles by spitting in public places, yelling insults at anyone who challenges her, and punching men at parties. Her daughter, on the other hand, has learned the proper etiquette for her family’s social climb and attempts to reform her mother’s behavior. But it is often Mrs. Delaney, not her daughter, who is the heroine of these routines. Mrs. Delaney is explicitly valorized in the 1902 version of
“Dangerous Mrs. Delaney.” Although her daughter’s suitor speaks with flowery language and although her daughter has tried to convince her mother of her suitor’s sophistication, Mrs. Delaney is not fooled. She realizes that her daughter’s suitor is simply trying to get to the Delaney fortune and rescues her daughter from her fraudulent beau.

Mrs. Delaney not only fails to assimilate; she also fails to attract men. She boasts, for example, that one man at the party thought she was the “sweetest woman in cremation” (47). Along with her appearance and vocabulary, Mrs. Delaney’s violent reactions are incongruous with “respectable” society. When a “little weasel of a man” requests that she “remove her mask,” Delaney explains that she “hit him so hard that I had to go to the hospital and have me fist cut out of his ribs” (47). In another instance Mrs. Delaney describes the controversy surrounding her face: “I told him [a male guest at the party] that I had shooting pains in my face he said I used too much powder. I said I thought of having it steamed he said if it was his he’d have it boiled” (82). Throughout these routines Mrs. Delaney exchanges insults and punches with other characters, chasing away anyone who does not please her and even bringing in heavy artillery to win her battles.

In “The Irish 400” (written in 1897) Mrs. Murphy, played by Kate Elinore, embarrasses her daughter May with her bad manners, her allegiance to Ireland and her “unfeminine” behavior. For example, after acquiescing to her daughter’s desire to join her in a fashionable Turkish bath, Mrs. Murphy confounds her daughter by asking for a glass of mixed ale. Then on their way home from the bath, May Murphy admonishes her mother for spitting on the floor of the streetcar, so Mrs. Murphy spits in the conductor’s pocket. They are kicked out of the car. Later May recounts how her mother interrupted a concert by a famous Polish pianist Ignacy Paderewiski to ask her, “Phwat county in Ireland did Paddy Roosky come from?” Throughout the sketch, May instructs, cajoles and scolds her wild mother.23

While these sketches focus on the relationship between a mother and daughter, others feature the struggle between employers and their maids. In “The Adventures of Bridget McGuire,” which toured on the Keith circuit in 1902, an Irish maid takes control of her husband and her husband’s employer. Bridget McGuire, played by Kate Elinore, is a recently unemployed maid who disrupts her husband’s job by pretending to be his employer’s rich aunt. Judkins, Bridget’s husband, attempts to hide Bridget in his mistress’s house, hoping to conceal his recent marriage, but Bridget’s large appetites—for food and alcohol—soon upset the household. Mrs. Rapp (Judkin’s employer) discovers Bridget as she reaches for a decanter; Bridget snaps, “That’s not the first time whiskey has been the downfall of Ireland.”24

The ensuing dialogue between Bridget and Mrs. Rapp exposes Bridget’s “vulgarities” along with Mrs. Rapp’s pretensions, as Mrs. Rapp mistakes Bridget for her wealthy, eccentric aunt and flatters her in hopes of winning an inheritance.
When Mrs. Rapp offers Bridget some “refreshment,” Bridget initially demurs, in a manner that she believes fits the role of a wealthy matron, “No thank you I never drink anything.” Nevertheless Bridget soon “grabs a glass” from her “hostess” and then begins to drink out of the decanter, politely explaining, “If you insist—I don’t mind if I take a little drop” (115). As Bridget continues drinking throughout the sketch, she becomes more abusive toward Mrs. Rapp and reveals more of her “true” identity. Describing her belief in spiritualism to Bridget, Mrs. Rapp asks, “Are you afraid of spirits, Auntie?” and Bridget replies, “Not when I can get them by the neck,” holding the decanter up (116). Bridget’s thirst is matched by her appetite for food: when Mrs. Rapp suggests a light lunch, Bridget says she might be able to force down a “few pounds ... I mean mouthfuls” (117). The confusion of identities empowers Bridget in two ways: she earns a temporary position of authority over Judkins, and her charade reveals Mrs. Rapp as a disingenuous groveller.

With Mrs. Rapp believing that Bridget is actually her aunt, Bridget is able to gain control of Judkins, barking orders at him as if she was his boss. At one point, unsatisfied with Judkin’s service, Bridget hits him with a roll, exclaiming, “He’s a bum servant” (121). Although Bridget continually errs in her imitation of a society woman, her mistakes actually intensify the impression of Mrs. Rapp’s desperation. When Mrs. Rapp shows her to her room, Bridget remarks that the sofa is “simply supercilious” and later chastises Mrs. Rapp for being “ordacious” (126). Despite Bridget’s malapropisms, Mrs. Rapp strives to please and impress her, still believing she is her wealthy aunt. Furthermore, Bridget’s common sense contrasts Mrs. Rapp’s religious beliefs. Bridget, for example, remarks that she always thought a spiritualist was called a “pocketpicker,” remaining skeptical of Mrs. Rapp’s spiritualism throughout the sketch (116). In these ways, the coincidence of mistaken identity both reinforces Bridget’s coarseness and gives her power over her husband and her husband’s employer.

Bridget McGuire seems to be the butt of jokes when she fails to fit into high society, when her rough ethnicity emerges despite her attempt to impersonate the wealthy aunt. Yet Bridget McGuire also offers relief from, and rebellion against, the comic antagonist; her unruly behavior and her ethnic identity are championed over Mrs. Rapp’s rigidity, superior airs and femininity. Indeed, all of Kate Elinore’s maids and mothers (Mrs. Delaney and Mrs. Murphy) reveal that May Elinore’s comic antagonists are flawed— stuffy, inauthentic and bossy. These sketches thus feature the rough, immigrant clown as a hero, casting a positive light on ethnic pride and working-class allegiance. In addition, Kate Elinore’s characters depict a woman’s power to disrupt hierarchies, in contrast with the equation of femininity with corrupt or repressive social authority in May Elinore’s comic antagonists. As opposed to the “civilizing woman,” the female clown rejects the feminine role, often triumphing over the femininity, class status and acculturation associated with the “civilizing woman.”

Kate Elinore’s rejection of feminine performance codes and her characters’ assaults on elite femininity provide new insights into the uses of ethnic stereo-
types in women’s comedy. Recent feminist accounts of women’s comic performances hold that these routines are open to liberating feminist laughter as well as misogynistic interpretations: comic women who violate gender codes call attention to the artificiality of femininity but are also open to attack for their deviation from the norm. Critics, for example, have pointed to a long line of female characters, from Miss Piggy to Roseanne, who mock the ideal of femininity by exposing the exuberance, anger and power beneath the thin veneer of feminine charm. These women, in turn, have often been the objects of derisive laughter because of their feminine failures.²⁶

Feminist interpretations of women’s comic performances have thus examined the ability of female comic spectacles to undermine gender roles, while they have often simultaneously overlooked other aspects of identity and power, such as ethnicity. The conflicted readings of women’s comic performances—one supporting, and the other undermining gender hierarchies—do not, for example, capture the ways performers like Kate Elinore used ethnic stereotypes to attack femininity. Most feminist critics have been reluctant to acknowledge that female comic performers may articulate their rebellions against gender constraints through other subordinations.²⁷ Here we need to explore historian Robert Allen’s argument that the cultural productions of subordinate groups should not merely be read “in terms of its resistance to the power of more dominant groups.” Rather, “resistant practices might well be polyvalent, not only directed against those conceived of as ‘above’, but constructing yet another object of subordination”²⁸

Kate Elinore’s performances were indeed polyvalent, for her rebellions against male authority emerged through her imitations of working-class, immigrant women. In this way, she relied on ethnic and class hierarchies of women to challenge patriarchy. While Kate Elinore (as Mrs. Murphy, Mrs. Delaney and Bridget McGuire) treated femininity as a mask to be manipulated and discarded to impress party guests or fool employers, she was nevertheless clear about what did not constitute femininity: working-class Irish-American women. Kate Elinore’s comic performances were therefore not so much a critique of femininity as an expression of the exclusivity of femininity. Her caricatures, including rough Irish maids trying to imitate or please “ladies of rank,” actually reinforced the ranking of working-class immigrant women beneath femininity.

The controversy surrounding Kate Elinore’s manly role resembles other traditions in vaudeville comedy in which performers who adopted the persona of the working-class Irish woman also raised questions about gender identity. In particular, the stock characterization of an Irish maid—a tradition on which Kate Elinore drew—is linked to female impersonation. The tradition of female impersonation associated with the portrayal of Irish maids expressed, as Kate Elinore’s characters also did, the notion that working-class Irish-American women are not feminine. Between 1890 and 1907 the comedy team of Daly and Devere presented an act titled “Bridget’s Word Goes” or “The Janitress” in which Daly dressed as an Irish maid, “a most capacious female, pugnacious to a degree
and anxious to air her opinions on any and all subjects.” The most famous transvestite Irish maids were, however, presented by the Russell Brothers who began their stage career in the 1870s, approximately twenty years before the Elinore Sisters. Earning their greatest success playing Irish maids in the sketch, “The Irish Servant Girls,” the Russell Brothers used mops and brooms to strike each other and emphasized their characters’ sexual wantonness by raising their skirts periodically. By 1907 Irish “watch-dog” groups such as the Ancient Order of Hibernians, attacked the Russell Brothers’ “misrepresentation” of Irish women, and some Irish organizations began to disrupt the Russell Brothers’ stage performances. Overlapping with the last half of the Russell Brothers’ career, the Elinore Sisters were often compared with this team. In the late 1890s, for example, one reviewer remarked that Kate Elinore’s “violent method and her rawboned mimicry are as individual and likable as those of the Russell Brothers.”

Although the stock character of the Irish maid is most important for understanding the roots of Kate Elinore’s portrayals of rough Irish women, particularly Bridget McGuire, her characterizations also rely on the traditional presentations of the “old maid” in vaudeville and early film. Both the “old maid” and the Irish maid lacked femininity and insulted middle-class men and women with their aggression and vigor. Despite her previous marriage, the widow Mrs. Delaney resembles the classic “old maid”—an unattractive man-hunter. While the words to the opening song for the first version of “Dangerous Mrs. Delaney” portray a delicate, desirable woman, it is easy to imagine the ways Kate Elinore’s appearance and movements would have provided sharp contrasts to the lyrics:

Who’ll have a chance at something tasty  
Not too hasty, slim of wasty [sic] . . . .  
Gentlemen you have a perfect treat on  
Something you can afford to be sweet on.  
Chance your luck. Show your pluck.  
I’m throwing myself away (45).

This caricature of the “old maid” as a woman desperately throwing herself at men was popular in vaudeville as well as in early twentieth century films. Such films as The Old Maid and the Burglar (1903), The Old Maid’s Disappointment (1902) and The Old Maid and the Fortune Teller (1904) feature sexually aggressive women who are rejected by men and rebuked for their ugliness. To demonstrate the “old maid’s” lack of femininity even further, a man—Gilbert Saroni—performed the “old maid” role in vaudeville and in many films, including The Old Maid Having Her Picture Taken (1901) and The Old Maid and the Horse Car (1901). As in “Dangerous Mrs. Delaney,” many of the comic gags in these films focus on the “old maid’s” face: mirrors shatter, cameras explode and, most importantly, men recoil in horror.
Kate Elinore’s physically and verbally antagonistic comedy seemed masculine to observers, just as male performers often seemed most suited to the portrayal of rough Irish maids and “old maids.” It is not surprising, therefore, to find Kate Elinore at the center of speculations about her “true” sex and accusations that she was a transvestite. Several observers, for example, challenged the portrait of Kate Elinore as an exceptional female performer by arguing that she was, in fact, a man. “It’s quite a common thing with us to have the daily papers insist that I am a man for reasons all their own,” explained Kate Elinore in one article, “and many is the letter received asking us to settle a dispute or wager.”

In one case, the comedian’s dresser announced that she had won five dollars for settling a bet about Kate Elinore’s “true” sex by verifying that she changed her clothes in the ladies’ room. Yet the dresser confessed that she was still unsure about whether or not Kate Elinore was a woman. Another article included Kate Elinore’s description of how her “masculine” voice—a voice she allegedly cultivated to replace her “singing” voice—incited debates about her gender. She recalled walking home from a performance and overhearing one man challenge another: “I tell you the big one is a man and no mistake and I’ll bet you anything you like on it.” Much like her popular stage characters would have done, Kate Elinore interrupted the men and announced (in a “guttural” tone), “Excuse me sir, but you are a liar.” With her gruff manner and voice, she thus left the men confused about her gender.

The inquiries into Kate Elinore’s true gender identity demonstrate that her slapstick portrayals of immigrant women and flirtatious widows were clearly part of traditions of male performance in vaudeville. Not only did Kate Elinore play the “male” comic role in relation to her “straight” sister, but she also portrayed stock characters associated with female impersonation. In this light, the accusation that Kate Elinore was a man may have been an attempt to erase her rebellion against the norms for women’s performances. Indeed the myth of Kate Elinore’s hidden manhood replaced a woman’s unruliness with a traditional male clown.

This rumor of female impersonation corresponds to theater historian Géraldine Maschio’s claim that female impersonators in vaudeville were a misogynist response to women’s power. Maschio argues that “prima donna” female impersonators—beautiful, glamorous and fashionable—created a convincing illusion of femininity through their expensive wardrobes, make-up and manners. In Maschio’s view, this type of female impersonation indicates male control over the female image and role, presenting the ideal woman as a beautiful ornament. Male performers’ impersonation of Irish maids and old maids and the myths about Kate Elinore’s cross-dressing also help bolster male authority, but, far from creating the feminine ideal (as the prima donna impersonators did) these manly maids expressed the antithesis of femininity. The roots of Kate Elinore’s characterizations thus reveal a tradition of female impersonation in vaudeville that contrasts the ultra-feminine female impersonators. The men who impersonated Irish maids and old maids marked particular women as undesirable as they ridiculed the aggressive, sexually assertive woman.
The rumor about cross-dressing both attempted to subdue Kate Elinore’s intrusion into the male-dominated field of ethnic comedy and reinforced the conception of working-class Irish women as manly. Indeed, it is important to follow the ways that Kate Elinore’s “alleged” gender masquerade influenced her ethnic disguises, for the figure of the transvestite often followed and shaped the nature of other challenges to social categories such as ethnicity. For Kate Elinore, the suggestion that she was actually a female impersonator intensified her ethnic caricature by implying that working-class Irish women were not really women. The confusion of gender in the publicity surrounding Kate Elinore thus exaggerated the manliness of working-class Irish women, reinforcing the class and ethnic limits of femininity.

Kate Elinore is indeed unusual because she occupied the traditional male role in these sketches and drew on the transvestite traditions associated with the portrayal of Irish maids. Nevertheless, the Elinore Sisters’ sketches also include many of the traditional elements of vaudeville’s ethnic comedy. While Kate Elinore subverted gender conventions in vaudeville, May Elinore exemplified the feminine role in vaudeville’s ethnic comedy: the “civilizing woman.” The comedy of many vaudeville routines with immigrant characters depended upon a woman as the clown’s antagonist, representing bourgeois values. For example, in “The Mill Owner’s Daughter,” a vaudeville sketch written by M. S. Robinson and H. S. Gibson in 1902, an Irishman and his daughter represent different degrees of assimilation into high society. The authors describe the father as “an uneducated Irishman, who has acquired wealth, but not Polish [sic],” while his daughter “has been educated and has acquired Polish.”

Here it is revealing to compare Kate and May Elinore with one of the most popular male/female comedy teams in vaudeville, Thomas J. Ryan and Mary Richfield, because Richfield, like May Elinore, played the classic civilizing woman in the long-running Mag Haggerty sketches in vaudeville. Written by Will Cressy (one of vaudeville’s most prolific sketch writers) and performed by Ryan and Richfield, the Mag Haggerty sketches focus on an Irish hod carrier who must mingle in high society after his daughter Mag marries a millionaire. This successful series spanned approximately ten years and includes at least three different sketches: “Mag Haggerty’s Father,” probably first performed during the 1901-1902 vaudeville season; “Mag Haggerty’s Daughter,” produced in 1904; and “Mag Haggerty’s Reception” in 1906.

In “Mag Haggerty’s Reception,” Mag Haggerty, reminds her father that he will be meeting a “lady of title! of rank!” at the upcoming party. Mike Haggerty responds, “Yis, a rank lady.” In “The Irish 400,” the Elinore Sisters’ 1897 sketch, Mamie (played by May Elinore) tries to convince her mother (Kate Elinore) that her suitor is respectable by telling her that he “comes from a family of rank.” Her mother retorts, “the rankest I ever saw.” As the resemblance of these jokes suggests, both the Mag Haggerty sketches and the Elinore Sisters’ routines focus on questions of rank in immigrant Irish families, following, in particular, the efforts of ladies of rank to reform the rank characters around them.
In the Mag Haggerty sketches the woman represents the successful bridging of class and ethnic boundaries; the man embodies the gulf between bourgeois society and working-class, ethnic life. Tussling with Mag’s servants, performing Irish jigs, and confusing Mag with malapropisms, Mike Haggerty is the source of disorder in these routines. Yet Mag, through her campaign to uplift her father and impress her elite companions with her high-brow tastes, is also the target of ridicule. In “Mag Haggerty’s Father’s Daughter,” written in 1905, Mag is unsuccessful in her campaign to move her father from his ramshackle house to her new home on “Cintrai Park West.” Mike Haggerty is often the target of jokes when he is baffled by modern technology—such as the telephone—and manners befitting a “gentleman.” Mike, for example, does not understand the new telephone his daughter has installed in his “shanty.” He says the voice on the line has “wrinkles in it” and, searching in vain for the voice’s “body”, he asks, “Where the divil is that feller?” (18). Yet the telephone later becomes a source of Mike’s superiority. As Mag leaves she reminds her father that he can “call [her] up at anytime.” He responds, “I’ll be more apt to call you down” (20). Mike seems justified in “calling her down” as Mag often looks ridiculous when she puts on airs. For example, Mag decides to pronounce her name “Margurite” instead of Mag and calls her father her “paternal parent” in her attempt to appear “refined” (4).

In a later sketch, “Mag Haggerty’s Reception,” Mag tries to teach Mike the proper manners for an upcoming party. When he first refers to the party as a “deception,” it seems similar to many of his other errors. But when Mag teaches him to say “I’m glad to meet you” to guests, even when he isn’t “glad,” Mike exclaims, “I tould [sic] you I had the name of this thing right! DE-ception” (14). As this joke indicates, the plots of these sketches allow Mike Haggerty to preserve his pride and masculinity at the expense of the “civilizing woman.”

It is important to consider the work of these two comedy teams to understand the dual images of Irish women—as both civilizers and rebels—and to see that the contrasts between masculine and feminine behavior frame both teams’ comedy. Just as Kate Elinore’s characters frequently triumph over and reveal the flaws of the “civilizing woman,” Mike Haggerty is also heroic in vaudeville’s ethnic comedy. The Mag Haggerty sketches and the Elinore Sisters’ acts reveal that the ethnic clown is often valorized, while the femininity and acculturation represented by the “civilizing woman” are ridiculed. Most importantly, the Elinore Sisters’ sketches, despite having a female clown, also demonstrate that the juxtaposition of masculinity and femininity reinforces the battles between the ethnic, working-class clown and the educated, assimilated comic antagonist.

Yet the Elinore Sisters, as opposed to Thomas J. Ryan and Mary Richfield, draw on the tradition of the masculine, working-class Irish woman to bring chaos and exuberance into the immigrant families in these sketches. As opposed to Mike Haggerty, Kate Elinore’s characters do not simply outwit, embarrass and escape from a suffocating feminine authority. Instead, she presents an alternative version of female power that contrasts with the “civilizing woman’s” attempts to
control her family through moral influence and instruction. Kate Elinore’s powers lie in her physical exertions, not the physical reserve associated with the “civilizing woman,” and in the expression of her own desires, as opposed to the “civilizing woman’s” emotional containment. Kate Elinore’s version of power, as I will show later in this paper, was often a model for female vaudeville patrons.

The attacks on the “civilizing women” were not limited to the vaudeville stage; rather, the comedy surrounding the “civilizing woman” on stage resonated with hostility toward female authority and class ascension throughout American culture around the turn of the century. The upwardly mobile and uptight “civilizing woman”—the symbol of order in these vaudeville sketches—was important to tensions within the Irish-American community as well as vaudeville’s drive for respectability.

One of the central conflicts in the Irish-American community around the turn of the century was between the newly-established middle-class or “lace curtain” Irish and working-class Irish. By 1880 the number of American-born Irish exceeded the number of Irish immigrants and this new generation improved their economic status. According to the 1900 census, for example, American-born Irish were succeeding disproportionately in white-collar occupations such as clerks and bookkeepers. Yet, as historian Timothy Meagher explains, the status of the new generation of middle-class Irish Americans was ambivalent: “No longer the newest of the new immigrants or the poorest of the poor, they were also not accepted by America’s native stock elite.”

Irish American women were pivotal in the path of upward mobility, often leading their families from “poverty to comfort, from shanty to lace curtain.” Popular Irish literature and drama reflected this phenomenon by portraying women as civilizers who attempted to control their husbands’ drinking and lift their families into the middle class through hard work and saving. For example, Peter Finley Dunne’s turn-of-the-century newspapers sketches focused on struggles for social mobility among the Irish in Chicago. The Donahue family’s debates over such status symbols as a piano were often the features of Dunne’s sketches. Mrs. Donahue and her daughter Molly were the social climbers, while Mr. Donahue resisted their rising pretensions. In addition, Edward Harrigan’s popular musical comedies, such as Cordelia’s Aspirations (1883), frequently followed an Irish woman’s attempts to assimilate into elite American society.

Many Irish men, in turn, resented Irish women’s power and their attempts to reform men: “The resentment stemmed not just from being the object of an uplifting campaign,” concludes historian Hasia Diner, “but that women carried on that campaign.” Many men were uneasy about Irish women’s assertiveness and power as these women sought education, white-collar jobs and bourgeois manners. Ambivalence about upward mobility and acculturation were thus tied to fears of women’s influence over men as well as their independence from men.

Just as women were identified with upward mobility in the Irish-American community, they were also linked with the Keith vaudeville circuit’s attempt to improve its social status. The Keith vaudeville circuit created the image of an
ideal female spectator—moral, chaste and high brow—as the linchpin of its campaign for increased respectability. They used this ideal female patron to bolster their attempts to civilize the audiences and the entertainment. To accommodate what theater managers identified as the tastes and manners of the female patrons, Keith’s managers tried to reform the rambunctious male patrons in the galleries, the cheapest sections of the theaters. One manager on the Keith circuit, for example, reported in 1911 that “riffraff in the gallery” tried to drive a Russian singer (“Princess Baranoff”) off the stage, but the disturbance “was quickly suppressed . . . by house officers.”

As this review indicates managers on the Keith circuit noted gallery patrons’ resistance to the reforms associated with feminization, sometimes complaining that it was impossible to keep the boys in the gallery quiet. In one case, a manager remarked that the “boys in the gallery whistled a low refrain” to one of Emma Carus’s songs, apparently hoping to add some spice to her primarily classical repertoire. The vaudeville audience, a collection of varied classes and ethnic groups, as well as men, women and children, was not united behind Keith and Albee’s uplift of the industry; rather, dissension and hostility surrounded the Keith circuit’s celebration of the female spectator’s authority.

It is important to acknowledge that female patrons as well as male patrons challenged the feminization of vaudeville. While managers often granted female patrons moral authority by defining their tastes as the respectable standard of Keith’s vaudeville, many women in the audience defied this characterization. They were interested in more than classical singing and fashion shows; and they were not always models of decorum in the theaters. Women in Keith theaters surprised managers by shouting encouragement for a boxing match on stage and also cheering singer Ethel Levey when she announced her divorce from the famous showman, George M. Cohan, on stage. In addition, managers reported that women were particularly impressed with a futuristic play, “In 1999,” which reversed gender roles. “The idea of social conventions, customs. . . . applying to the man instead of the woman is the nucleus from which are evolved any number of laughs,” wrote W. W. Prosser, the manager of a vaudeville theater in Columbus, Ohio, in 1912, “The act seemed to find instant favor with both sexes, and the women were especially delighted.” Women, it seems, were not content to influence vaudeville through their alleged moral superiority and refined tastes—the primary role vaudeville administrators assigned for them. Instead, they often celebrated the excitements associated with masculinity and applauded the unconventional women who claimed masculine prerogatives on stage.

While Keith managers celebrated feminine tastes in their publicity, they continued to offer entertainment that focused on resistance to female authority, such as the Elinore Sisters’ sketches and the Mag Haggerty series. The popularity of Kate Elinore and the Mag Haggerty series ran counter to Keith’s well-publicized attack on low comedy, a rough style of comedy that managers assumed would insult middle-class women’s fine tastes. The manager of the Bijou Theatre
in Woonsocket, Rhode Island, for example, revealed his distaste for low comedy in his description of Gwynne and Gosette’s sketch in 1916: “There were moments during the action of the piece when many persons laughed, seeming amused at the ‘comedy’? Personally I was at a loss to discern any. If setting upon a pan of moist dough and upon arising having the mass adhere to one’s anatomy constitutes comedy, then I’ll take tragedy.” Yet many acts with ethnic comedy and slapstick gags succeeded in vaudeville by playing to the gallery’s adventurous spirit, while ignoring the patrons in the higher-priced seats in boxes and on the orchestra floor. One Keith manager reported in 1904 that an Irish cross-fire act was a “good gallery act... very lively talking act but the orchestra and box patronage here will never be able to ‘see’ acts of this style. They don’t understand them at all.”

In particular, the exuberant, often violent comedy of Ryan and Richfield and the Elinore Sisters demonstrates the on-going power and popularity of low comedy, despite some managers’ complaints. Will Cressy, author of the “Mag Haggerty” sketches, was known for writing for the gallery. On February 3, 1908, the manager of Keith’s theater in Philadelphia remarked on the performance of a Will Cressy sketch: “Will Cressy knows what the gallery wants and gives it to them. This sketch is a little rough in spots and at times just escapes being objectionable.” It is not surprising then to find Cressy playing to the gallery as masculine, working-class heroes. Mike Haggerty’s rebellions against his daughter parallel the gallery’s resistance to the ascendance of the feminine spectator in vaudeville.

While most historians have focused on vaudeville’s unequivocal appeal to middle-class women, managers’ unpublished comments about low comedy and the enduring popularity of the Elinore Sisters as well as the Mag Haggerty sketches demonstrate that vaudeville administrators approached the ideal female spectator and their impression of middle-class feminine taste in contradictory ways. They tried to uphold their vision of feminine standards, but, at the same time, they championed working-class masculinity on stage. This contradictory approach, in turn, challenged the definition of femininity as primarily reserved, delicate and family-oriented, as managers, in some ways, questioned the feminization of vaudeville.

Central to the questions about women’s “lace-curtain” aspirations and the moral authority of female patrons in vaudeville, these sketches address far more than nativist anxieties about the containment of immigrant masses. The ethnic humor in these routines derides exaggerated ethnic traits, while also celebrating the ethnic character’s rebellion against the authority of the “civilizing woman.” The Mike Haggerty sketches and the Elinore Sisters’ routines articulate ambivalence about the “civilizing woman’s” campaigns to tame rough masculinity and reform ethnic identities, underscoring the degree to which resistance to upward mobility and Americanization was infused with gender hostility.

The Elinore Sisters’ career demonstrates the significance of rank ladies and ladies of rank to the popular dramas of ethnic upward mobility in vaudeville around the turn of the century. As foils for the exuberant clowns, the ladies of rank
were the targets of hostility and comic aggression based on resistance to and ambivalence about Americanization, upward mobility and feminization. Ethnic comedy involves gender relations, just as women’s comedy often depends on ethnic hierarchies. Gender polarities shape these comic scenarios of immigrant life, just as ethnic stereotypes fuel Kate Elinore’s gender transgressions. Her fist fights, insults and sexual adventure challenge feminine norms in vaudeville, but they simultaneously support the popular stereotype of working-class immigrant women as rank ladies.
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