Emerson slips in an unusual analogy in the middle of his essay on "Worship": "As gaslight is the best nocturnal police, so the universe protects itself by pitiless publicity." The assumption that "gaslight is the best nocturnal police" is striking. Only fifty years before he made this point there was no gaslight; there were not even police as we now know them. And yet both author and audience knew the connection between street lighting and police so well that Emerson could use that shared assumption to move on to universal matters.

The metaphor, "gaslight is the best nocturnal police," can tell us much about the shared value system and ideology of urban citizens who had to cope with immense change that always threatened to dissolve into social disorder. In the nineteenth century, cities expanded and transformed internally, while at the same time they seemed ever more "distant from nature." Meanwhile, new technologies that could be used for water, sewage and gas utilities and pavement became available for citizens to manage the growing metropolis. The usefulness of the new technologies depended in large measure on the perception of need, and those perceptions were nested in the value system of the growing numbers of middle- and upper-class nineteenth-century urban residents.

Why should lights attract our interest? Clean water and adequate waste disposal may have been far more important for the functioning of nineteenth-century towns, but they were hidden underground, and the ultimate enemy, the "germ," was a small and oft misunderstood foe. Lights, on the other hand, conquered darkness and all that lurked therein, real or imagined. Street lights betokened war on criminals, war on poverty, war on the unenlightened. In time,
lights would also be seen as hygienic, as Dr. Woods Hutchinson wrote in 1916 when he reflected on a century of technological change: “Light put a stop to the unsanitary practice of throwing garbage, waste materials, broken crockery, ashes, dead cats and other refuse into the streets under cover of darkness.” In short, street lights became a highly visible part of the urban landscape.

Certainly, the options for street lighting expanded markedly in the nineteenth century. In 1800, oil lamps, more often provided by private citizens than the municipality, were all that lit the way of the nighttime traveller, unless one carried one’s own lantern. By 1900, gas lamps, kerosene lamps, gasoline lamps, arc electric lamps and incandescent electric lamps were available for cities eager to use them. The story of how lighting technology was invented by people like Peale, Brush and Edison is one of the great tales of nineteenth-century history and the usual approach to the question of street lighting.

But ours is a different task. We need to know the roots of demand, not the routes of supply. For in the realm of ideology we are concerned with intentions, purposes and tacit assumptions. Why did people want lights in the first place? To answer the question about light’s role in creating an “ideology of the land” in an urban setting, then, we must assess the reasons why people chose to light their streets.

**Demand for Lighting**

Emerson’s quote might lead us to believe that lights only performed a policing function. However, at least three interrelated sources of demand for street lighting can be identified: utility, urbanity and security. Let us briefly consider the first two, before focusing on the third, security.

The first, utility, seems the most obvious. The nineteenth-century night was simply busier. In the first part of the century, for example, laborers working a twelve hour shift often came home in the dark, seeing sunlight only in the summer months. Agitation for a shorter working day started to have effect by midcentury, but it did not decrease nocturnal street traffic since many workers filled newfound leisure hours with activities that took place out of the house. And by century’s end, increasing numbers worked the night shift.

While many worked at night, others played. For those with limited domestic space, neat distinctions between the private home and the public street were not observed: household leisure activity (and for the poor, important elements of the household economy) seemed inevitably to spill outside the front door. For the middle and upper classes, public/private boundaries were more firmly established in the nineteenth century. Careful rules of appropriate conduct were observed, but the number of opportunities for nocturnal public life burgeoned. Theatres, pubs, brothels, operas and cafes stayed open until late at night.

Street lighting also had increasing utility for businessmen, who discovered that, as one street lighting advocate put it, “Trade follows the light.” Innovative merchants such as Potter Palmer in Chicago used phosgene lighting to highlight
display windows as early as 1852. John Wanamaker in Philadelphia used the brand new technology of arc-electric lighting in his store as early as 1878. After the Civil War department stores remained open up to sixteen hours a day.

All the comings and goings of workers, partners and shoppers, of teamsters and cabs, generated increased traffic on the streets and called for technological and regulatory response. As Anthony Sutcliffe and Francois Bedarida note, "the concentration of functions in the street made it an exciting and convenient place to be, and growing regulation made it much more comfortable in the nineteenth century than ever before." Better lighting helped one to avoid running into other pedestrians, the annoyingly frequent mudholes and other traffic. Where lighting was not sufficient, as in Louisville in 1819, one heard comments like this: "not a single lamp lends its cheering light to the nocturnal passenger, who frequently stands a good chance of breaking his neck."

The second reason, urbanity, is suggested when we think of America's first gasworks, at Charles Willson Peale's Museum in Independence Hall; here, says Lewis Mumford, prominent Philadelphians liked to promenade under the gaslights, an excellent way to see and be seen. As the nineteenth century progressed, the increasingly distinct business districts and wealthy residential areas were lit up earlier and better than other parts of town, so that by mid-century in New York, for example, gas lamps had become "standard in the principal streets and squares as well as in the new homes of uptown residents." The spatial complement of the areas where such "standard amenities" were consumed were the foul-smelling districts where the gas was actually produced and stored such as New York's "Gashouse District." The existence of such areas, as well as others that were still meagerly lighted long after more fashionable zones had gas lamps, are reminders that while the slippery term "urbanity" often refers to city life in general, it also carries strong socially elite implications.

The urbanity theme is also seen in the self-conscious way that cities used street lights to compete with each other and to mark off their differences from smaller places. For example, urbanity and utility were nicely merged in the turn of the century lighting schemes that led to H.L. Mencken's comment that "Every American town of any airs has a Great White Way..." Electric globe lamps on Corinthian pillars lit the way for both shoppers and strollers, and they bestowed a special civic grace on places that aspired to avoid, as one Minneapolis booster put it, "the country town bogey."

"White Way Lighting" captured attention, but a far greater number of lights in any given city existed for another reason: security. As Thoreau suggested, "...men are generally still a little afraid of the dark, though the witches are all hung, and Christianity and candles have been introduced." There is no doubt that people who were out and about at night simply felt less skittish with a little light.

But such metaphysical fear of darkness was surpassed by fear of crime. If lights as utilities were seen as a way to avoid breaking one's own neck, lights as security were a way to keep others from doing the job. Richard Wade describes
lightless frontier cities early in the nineteenth century as living "... in darkness after sundown, while criminals plied their trade under a black mantle which shielded them from both their victims and the police."23

If we probe more deeply into the security mode, however, we find the suggestion that lights not only aided police; they were police. So we return to the assumption of Emerson's day of an identity between lighting technology and police. Why was this such a powerful assumption?

A Good Lamp is the Best Police

Emerson's comment about gaslight was actually sparked by a visit to London, where he observed that as a result of a new lighting scheme in that city, "there is no more night." Twice he noted in his journal that "A good lamp is the best police."24 The deep-seated appeal of this sentiment was used in the twentieth century by Progressive street lighting engineers and the General Electric Publicity Department to advocate more street lights. (See Figure 1.) In 1924, for example, GE placed this advertisement in *New Republic, World's Work, Harper's, Holland's* and *Scribner's*:

To Emerson is ascribed the saying that "a light is the best policeman." The authorities of Fresno, California, have recognized this truth by giving their officers the help of G-E lights in dark corners—allies in the alleys. Light is also a good fireman, a first class salesman, and a wonderful protector of human life. And at what low wages it works!25

But there is more than metaphor and promotional rhetoric in the statement that "a good lamp is the best police." In fact, lamps and police, with their dual purposes of rooting out darkness and crime, were interchangeable. After all, street lights and police have shared much the same history.

Both institutions, for example, had a common origin in the eighteenth century night watch. In Louisville, night watchmen carried "a staff, ... a pike and hook on one end, a dark lantern [sic], a rattle and trumpet, a small ladder and flambeau, a pair of scissors and a tin pot with a spout for the purpose of filling lamps ... with oil."26 In many English cities, the lamps were literally called "police lamps," and the "Police Commissioners" had jurisdiction over the placement of the lamps. But ill-paid and ill-trained night watchmen were inadequate to the task of preserving order in the rapidly growing nineteenth-century metropolis.27

Nineteenth-century cities were increasingly a world of strangers, as immigrants flooded in from the country and from Europe. The introduction of mass transit fostered the separation of home and workplace and led to the sorting of classes and ethnic groups into homogeneous urban zones.28 Whole districts of town were now beyond one's field of immediate experience. While this
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rearrangement separated rich from poor, it also highlighted for the first time the popular contrast of areas at once poor and dark with others that were wealthy and bright. While “urbane” light twinkled on fashionable districts, the “other side of the tracks” was terra incognita, a world of darkness and disorder.29

To cross the line into districts like these was to cross a social frontier, and in this spatial and moral dichotomy of light and dark, we have something of an “ideology of the land.” If the feeling of “hopelessness and fear” regarding the inner districts of poverty was, as Sam Bass Warner suggests, one of the strongest legacies of the emergence of the modern spatially segmented metropolis, then an expanded police—and street lights—could not be far behind.30 To control the perceived disorder and reduce the fear, both permanent street lights and police burgeoned in the nineteenth century.31

What evidence exists for this assertion? We may look briefly at some of the more usual sources, such as municipal records and contemporary fiction. More revealing is the existence of an entire genre of popular non-fiction that exploited the contrast between “sunshine and shadows” in the metropolis.

Municipal authorities often cited police considerations when pressing for new or extended street lighting technologies. This resolution of Cincinnati’s city council in 1827 stands as a good example: “the interest as well as the safety of the City will be advanced from the introduction of Gas lights into general use.”32

Adrienne Siegel has written that “The books of the nation’s literary giants during this period paint a dark urban landscape pocked by brutish slums.”33 Nocturnal pastoral imagery was often inverted to depict the “wicked city.”34 The urban nighttime sky was illuminated by the Promethean light of artifice, not the pastoral light of the sun.35 For example, in Poe’s poem, “The City in the Sea”:

No rays from the holy heaven come down  
On the long nighttime of that town;  
But light from out the lurid sea  
Streams up the turrets silently.36

Similarly, riders on Hawthorne’s “Celestial Railroad” are taken through the gas-lit Valley of the Shadow of Death.37

In Poe’s short story “The Man in the Crowd” we see combined a general aversion to city life, an employment of the symbolic possibilities of gas lighting, and a willingness to depict urban social zones in terms of light and dark.38 The tale begins with the narrator looking out the window of a coffeehouse on “one of the principal thoroughfares of the city.” As darkness comes, he becomes absorbed by the crowd, and makes numerous finely detailed observations about the people who parade by in descending social rank. “As the night deepened, so deepened to me the interest of the scene.” Not only did the physical and social types appear cruder and more “infamous,” “but the rays of the gas-lamps, feeble at first in their struggle with the dying day, had now at length gained ascendancy, and threw over every thing a fitful and garish lustre. All was dark yet splendid . . .”
Out of the crowd now steps “a decrepid old man,” which awakens in the narrator the “craving desire to keep the man in view” by following him. The narrator again descends the social scale, this time by moving from rich districts to poor, a passage that also carries him from light to dark corners of the city. He is led down the main throughfare to a “brilliandy lighted” square, then to a bazaar, back to the main street and the coffee house—“still brilliant with gas,” (but with few people now to be seen), then to a series of avenues and a “narrow and gloomy lane little frequented,” and finally to the “most noisome quarter of London.” “By the dim light of an accidental lamp, tall, antique, worm-eaten tenements were seen tottering to their fall…” Still, there are some people here, and “the spirits of the old man again flickered up, as a lamp which is near its death-hour . . . . Suddenly a corner was turned, a blaze of light burst upon our sight, and we stood before one of the huge suburban temples of Intemperance—one of the palaces of the fiend, Gin.” Finally, at daybreak, the old man retraces his steps back to the hotel and coffeehouse. The narrator vows to follow him no more, finding him to be “the type and genius of deep crime. He refuses to be alone. He is the man of the crowd.”

The flickering lamps, directly tied to the spirit of the man of the crowd and therefore to the impersonality of the city itself, caution us that in a good writer’s hands there is more to lighting imagery than the light/dark landscape distinction. Lights are found wherever the two travel—even the most “noisome” parts of town—and are used to underscore the artificiality and unsociability of the town. As Melville put it in Pierre when the main characters have at last left the rural bliss of upstate New York and sadly, bitterly, enter New York City at nightfall, the lamps “seemed not so much intended to dispel the general gloom, as to show some dim path leading through it, into some gloom deeper beyond . . . .” For him, “God’s sunlight” is contrasted with “garish night-life” in a scene of urban artifice, danger and evil that is all the more crushing for the new arrivals because they lived the early chapters in an ironically described Elysium.

Other writers appealed to an audience less interested in advanced symbolism and tended to handle the light and dark issue more crudely. Siegel, who has examined mid-century popular writing in detail, points out that “novelists, startled by the high incidence of mugging in mid-nineteenth century cities, showed that citizens had to arm themselves if they wanted to step out at night.” Many of these “hack” writers “suggested the sinister quality of urban life in their numerous scenes of criminals garroting men and raping women after sundown.” “Eroding standards of personal rectitude” caused such behavior, not the social dislocations created by underlying economic upheaval. “Fear of disorder galvanized the guardians of Christian morality.”

In Maria Cummins’ popular mid-century novel The Lamplighter, for example, the poor districts of town are naturally dark, and the good and innocent child Gerty is cheered only by a kindly lamplighter, and ultimately, the light of Christianity. The contrast of bright and dark, rich and poor, is made explicit:
It was growing dark in the city . . . . a light fall of snow, which had made everything look bright and clean in the pleasant open squares near which the fine houses of the city were built, had only served to render the narrow streets and dark lanes dirtier and more cheerless than ever; for, mixed with the mud and filth which abound in those neighbourhoods where the poor are crowded together the beautiful snow had lost all its purity.

As Gerty lies in bed in her dark garret ("hat[ing] and fear[ing] the dark"), the narrator wonders, "Will [Christ] not send man or angel to light up the darkness within, to kindle a light that shall never go out, the light that shall shine through all eternity!"

Similar attitudes are revealed even more explicitly in the "sunshine and shadow" literature. Here, in social expeditions comparable to the exploration of Africa or Asia, travellers from the realms of enlightenment venture to the dark side of town to expose vice, gain sympathy for the poor, and titillate readers. Of this genre, Siegel says, "Whether fire or crime, riots or pollution, the 'shadows' of urban existence were exposed in lurid detail by hack writers."

The overarching motif in this literature is light and dark. (See Figure 2.) The very titles of novels, books and articles that appeared after 1845 suggest this, for example: *New York by Gas-Light: With Here and There a Streak of Sunshine; The Empire City, or New York by Day and Night; Light and Darkness, or The Shadow of Fate; Sunshine and Shadow in New York; Lights and Shadows of New York Life; or, The Sights and Sensations of the Great City; New York by Sunlight and Gaslight; Darkness and Daylight, or Lights and Shadows of New York Life; and Under the Gaslight: A Totally Original and Picturesque Drama of Life and Love in These Times in Five Acts.* As Stuart Blumin notes of this literature, citing the author of *New York by Gaslight*, "The most basic social fact in Foster’s depiction of New York City is polarization; more specifically, the increasing wealth and pretentiousness of the very rich and the simultaneous expansion of the numbers of the very poor.” And books after the Civil War emphasized the polarities even more.

As an example, let us briefly examine a few passages from one of the most popular authors, James McCabe. Note his application of the light/darkness theme to specific places and how his prose simultaneously piques the audience’s interest and warns them away. McCabe enjoyed the great lights of the Bowery—"The scene is as interesting and brilliant as that to be witnessed in Broadway; but very different”—yet he cautioned:

Until long after midnight the scene is the same, and even all through the night the street preserves its air of unrest . . . . Respectable people avoid the Bowery as far as possible at night. Every species of crime and vice is abroad at this time.
Figure 2. Artist’s view of the light/darkness theme. Frontispiece of Helen Campbell, * Darkness and Daylight, or Lights and Shadows of New York Life* (Hartford, 1892).
watching for its victims. Those who do not wish to fall into trouble should keep out of the way.  

While the Bowery had a sort of lurid attraction, McCabe depicted the poorer Five Points neighborhood in darker terms:

There [on Broadway] everything is bright and cheerful. Here every surrounding is dark and wretched. The streets are narrow and dirty, the dwellings are foul and gloomy, and the very air seem heavy with misery and crime . . . This is the realm of Poverty. Here want and suffering, and vice hold their courts. It is a strange land to you who have known nothing but the upper and better quarters of the great city. It is a very terrible place to those who are forced to dwell in it.

And, again: "... there are some sections of it in which it is not prudent to venture at night." McCabe, like Foster, found Five Points to be, in Blumin's phrase, "both wretched and vicious."  

Tied to the image of darkness is the notion of an underworld. George Foster, in fact, followed his nonfiction New York by Gaslight with a novel, Celio: Or, New York Above-Ground and Under-Ground. The persistence of the imagery was registered forty years later in Benjamin Flower's Civilization's Inferno: "Below the social cellar, where uninvited poverty holds sway, is a darker zone: a subterranean, rayless vault—the commonwealth of the double night." Flower then invited his reader to cross the "threshold" and view this zone.  

Occasionally, some middle-class people did venture across the threshold, into the vice districts that existed in most metropolitan areas. Even the lights here, however, were not as bright, forthright and urbane as the lights of, say, Fifth Avenue. As Blumin points out, "immorality that is visible is seen dimly, by gaslight, 'brandy-colored light,' or 'broad gleams of red light.' Hence, the casual observer, most obviously represented by the innocent visitor from the country, was deceived by this concealed or dimly lighted reality."  

We can see by the tone in these examples that the typical reader would find the world beyond the threshold to be unfathomable. Until about 1890, as Lewis Erenberg has pointed out in his study of nightlife, most middle-class people did indeed view the entertainments of the lower classes as exceedingly unseemly and irrational.  

On the other hand, that this literature was written and widely read is indicative of at least prurient interest in what was on the other side of the social frontier. As Siegel puts it, "Pulp writers presented the perils of city life so colorfully that the very shadows of the metropolis endowed it with charm."  

Perhaps it was his refusal to make the light/dark conceit yield a conventional charm that made it so difficult for Stephen Crane to find a publisher for Maggie: A Girl of the Streets (1893). Light and dark are used ironically, as when Maggie’s
brother, Jimmie, looks up on a star-lit sky and says "wonderingly and quite reverently: 'Deh moon looks like hell, don't it?'" Jimmie, at least, frankly recognizes his urban predicament, unlike naive Maggie, for whom "swaggering Pete loomed like a golden sun. . . ." When his true nature is revealed and her hopes for him are eclipsed, Maggie is drawn into prostitution. Unlike his pulp-writing contemporaries and predecessors, Crane withholds moral comment.

Instead, we see Maggie picking her way through the streets where "electric lights, whirring softly, shed a blurred radiance" on the "glittering avenues." Her "smiling invitations" coming to no avail, she "went into darker blocks than those where the crowd travelled." After more refusals, "the girl went into gloomy districts near the river, where the tall black factories shut in the street and only occasional broad beams of light fell across the pavements from the saloons." Another refusal: she moves "further on in the darkness." After another, "she went into the blackness of the final block," where "afar off the lights of the avenues glittered as if from an impossible distance." She meets her final customer. "At their feet the river appeared a deathly black hue. Some hidden factory sent up a yellow glare, that lit for a moment the waters lapping oilily against timbers. The varied sounds of life, made joyous by distance and seeming unapproachableness, came faintly and died away to a silence." Here Crane allows the darkening imagery to establish just how hopeless Maggie’s situation really is, as seen from the other side of the social gulf from the conventional middle-class view. Thus he admits no easy solution to her dilemma and marks a turning point, near century’s end, of the literary appraisal of rich and poor, light and dark.

If the nineteenth-century urban poor could not be not ignored—whether because of simple curiosity or compassion—then some sort of imaginative engagement in their problems was necessary. In the main, since root economic causes of poverty were either misunderstood or ignored, then the engagement took the form of control of the poor and the physical disorder they seemingly generated. Street lights and police helped to impose order on what was increasingly viewed as a chaotic social and spatial situation. The moral of lighting lay in its order—so visibly expressed in the geometric neatness of the twin ranks of lights lining streets. Police tracked down specific criminals, while lights dispelled the generalized disorder of darkness. People did see the "pitiless publicity" of lighting as the best way to protect the universe; lights thus became police. As the GE Publicity department and many others saw it, they were "allies in the alleys."

**Street Lights and the Urban Frontier**

To those critics who said city life was unnatural, Mr. Dooley replied,

Ye might say . . . that we’re ladin’ an artyficyal life, but, be Hivins, ye might as well tell me I ought to be paradin’ up and
down a hillside with a suit iv skins . . . an’ livin’ in a cave as to make me believe I ought to get along without sthreet cars an’ilictric lights . . .

Indeed, by the turn of the century lights were indispensible parts of the modern city. Street lights were useful guideposts; they were elegant street ornaments, booster propaganda, backdrop to the “urbane” social pageant; and, they were seen to control disorder, at least in the minds of those, like Emerson, for whom disorder disappeared under the glare of “pitiless publicity.”

Walter Benjamin has pointed out that there are “prophesying places” in cities, “above all the thresholds that mysteriously divide the districts of a town.” Urbane districts and “policed” districts were two sides of the same metropolis; social hierarchies, of course, existed prior to the nineteenth century, and rich could control poor with vast entourages of servants and torchbearers, but now, in an expanded and spatially divided metropolis, with the technology of street lighting at hand, old status and class relationships achieved prophetic meaning in the symbolic language of light and dark.

So frontiers were found in the American metropolis even as the metropolis became more distinct from the Western frontier. But just as the myth of the Western frontier provided a way to deny the grim social realities of life in the Age of Industrialization, so the metaphor of light and police may have covered as many problems as it illuminated.
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