

# Auslegung a journal of philosophy

aaaaaaaaaaa  
aaaaaaaaaaa  
aaaaaaaaaaa  
aaaaaaaaaaa

uuuuuuuuuuu  
uuuuuuuuuuu  
uuuuuuuuuuu  
uuuuuuuuuuu

sssssssssss  
sssssssssss  
sssssssssss  
sssssssssss

llllllllllll  
llllllllllll  
llllllllllll  
llllllllllll

eeeeeeeeeee  
eeeeeeeeeee  
eeeeeeeeeee  
eeeeeeeeeee

ggggggggggg  
ggggggggggg  
ggggggggggg  
ggggggggggg

uuuuuuuuuuu  
uuuuuuuuuuu  
uuuuuuuuuuu  
uuuuuuuuuuu

nnnnnnnnnnn  
nnnnnnnnnnn  
nnnnnnnnnnn  
nnnnnnnnnnn

ggggggggggg  
ggggggggggg  
ggggggggggg  
ggggggggggg

Volume 18  
Number 2  
Summer 1992

# **AUSLEGUNG**

## **A Journal of Philosophy**

*Summer 1992*

*Volume 18, Number 2*

*ISSN: 0733-4311*

## *Auslegung*: A Journal of Philosophy

*Auslegung* is published semiannually through the auspices of the University of Kansas. The Journal is intended as a forum for the expression of any and all scholarly philosophical perspectives. The editors are primarily interested in publishing the work of new Ph.D's and advanced students pursuing a Ph.D. degree in Philosophy. However, all technically competent philosophical work will be considered. *Auslegung* opposes all forms of discrimination on the basis of: race, gender, creed and national origin.

Persons wishing to submit papers should note the following: papers normally should not exceed 30 typed, double-spaced pages, although longer papers may be considered in some cases. Contributors should submit three clear, error-free manuscripts in the style suggested by *The MLA Style Sheet*, the most recent edition, if possible. *Auslegung* subscribes to a blind review policy; the author's name and academic institution should appear only on a separate cover sheet. Whenever possible, *Auslegung* furnishes copies of the referees' comments to contributors.

Book reviews and review articles may be written on books listed in the "Books Available" section. Book reviews should be around four to six typed pages. Occasionally, longer review essays are published under the heading "Critical Notices." Please consult with the Book Review Editor regarding these. Any individual who wishes to review a book should inform the Book Review Editor of the book(s) she or he wishes to review. Books not listed in this section may be reviewed if the Book Review Editor is notified and gives approval in advance. Papers and book reviews should be sent to the Editor and Book Review Editor at the following address:

*Auslegung*  
Department of Philosophy  
The University of Kansas  
Lawrence, Kansas 66045

Subscription requests should also be sent to this address. Current Subscription rates: \$12.00 institution, \$10.00 individual, \$8.00 students and unemployed philosophers. A sample copy is available for \$4.00. All prices in US dollars. Pre-payment is required.

*Auslegung* gratefully acknowledges the support it receives from the University of Kansas Philosophy Department and the University of Kansas Student Senate.

All rights reserved 1992  
*Auslegung*: A Journal of Philosophy

## AUSLEGUNG: A Journal of Philosophy

Editor ..... Albert Cinelli, University of Kansas  
Associate Editor ..... Daniel Norwood, University of Kansas  
Book Review Editor ..... Richard Buck, University of Kansas  
Business Manager ..... Gregory Wegst University of Kansas  
Production Assistant ..... Todd Wiseman, University of Kansas

### Assistant Editors

Harold W. Baldwin, University of South Alabama  
Karen Bell, California State University--Fresno  
Charles Betros, City of New York  
John Carlos Cantú, Washtenaw College  
Peter P. Cvek, St. Peter's College  
Helen Czosnyka, St. Louis University  
David Detmer, Purdue University at Calumet  
David A. Duquette, St. Norbert College  
Pieranna Garavaso, University of Minnesota at Morris  
Mary Hayden, College of St. Thomas  
Jack Horner, Science Applications, Inc.  
Jonathan Katz, Kwantlen College  
David Larson, Central Missouri State University  
Bill Martin, De Paul University  
Roy Martinez, Spelman College  
Patricia Matthews, University of Iowa  
Patrick Rardin, Appalachian State University  
Martha Reineke, University of Northern Iowa  
Frank Schalow, Loyola University, New Orleans  
James K. Swindler, Westminster College  
George Trey, Loyola University of Chicago  
Jim Tuedio, California State University-Stanislaus  
Joseph Van Zandt, University of Kansas  
Andrew Ward, San Jose State University  
Theodore Zenzinger, University of Kansas

### Internal Reviewers

Keith Coleman

Kae Chatman

Word Processing ..... Lynn Porter  
Secretarial Assistance ..... Janice Doores  
Printing Assistance ..... Linda Weeks  
Cover Design ..... Bill Martin

## CONTENTS

### ARTICLES:

David Baxter  
*New 'True' Socialism*..... 97

Andrew Norman  
*Naming, Reference, and Sense: Theoretical and Practical  
Attitudes at Odds*..... 113

Patrick Rardin  
*A Congery of Self-Reference*..... 123

Nathan Hellman  
*It is Generally the Case That*..... 131

### 1992 EDWARD S. ROBINSON ESSAY CONTEST AWARD RECIPIENTS:

Akissi Gbocho  
*A Rortyan Dilemma of Conversation*..... 145

Christian K. Campolo  
*Unidentified Awareness: Hume's Perceptions of Self*..... 157

### CRITICAL NOTICES:

*Hobbes and the Social Contract Tradition*, by Jean Hampton  
Reviewed by Theodore S. Zenzinger..... 167

*Hume's System: An Examination of the First Book of  
his Treatise*, by David Pears  
Reviewed by David A. Reidy, Jr..... 179

### BOOK REVIEWS:

*Three Rival Versions of Moral Enquiry: Encyclopedia, Genealogy and  
Tradition*, by Alasdair MacIntyre  
Reviewed by Michael J. Quirk..... 189

*How Should I Live: Philosophical Conversations About Moral Life*, by  
Rudolph M. Feezell and Curtis L. Hancock  
Reviewed by William T. Mehl..... 193

BOOKS AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW..... 197

ANNOUNCEMENTS..... 203

## A NOTE FROM THE EDITORS

We would like to encourage our authors to follow these guidelines for inclusive language.

### LANGUAGE ABOUT PERSONS

#### I. Generic Usage

Although "man" originally carried the meaning of both "human beings" and "adult males," such can no longer be assumed. Even though technically "man" is inclusive, its actual use is often exclusive.

- A. Use precise language. When in the past you would have been inclined to use the generic term "man," find creative ways to use such words as "humankind, humans, persons, everyone, men and women, children of God, etc."
- B. Use words that do not include "man" when referring to occupations and positions that can include both males and females. Alternative descriptions can often be found which are not awkward compounds.

#### II. Pronoun Usage

Pronoun usage which avoids gender specific categories is an effective way to include all members of society or a given community in general references. While English grammars generally maintain that the nonspecific individual be referred to as "he," such a reference is not inclusive. One should attempt to make all pronoun references inclusive.

- A. When speaking in general terms or when referring to both women and men, use pronouns so as to make explicit that both men and women are included. This may be accomplished by using such methods as "he and she," "hers and his," or combinations such as "he/she," "s/he," and "his./hers."
- B. Other approaches to the pronoun issue include:
  - 1. Use writing that reduces unnecessary or excessive gender specific pronouns: "The average American drives his car to work" can become "The average American drives to work."
  - 2. Rephrase statements into the plural: "Most Americans drive their cars to work."

3. When speaking in generic terms or when including women and men in the same group, some guides suggest alternating female and male pronouns: "A person should take good care of her car. He should check the oil level daily. she should also make sure that the tires are properly inflated."
4. The indefinite use of the second person pronoun *you* to refer to people in general is a widespread conversational device. You must realize, however, that the use of the second person in writing creates an intimate relationship between the writer and the reader. For this reason, when you use the second person, be sure that the person or persons to whom the argument is directed is clearly identified.
5. Masculine pronouns can be replaced by the impersonal pronoun *one* and this is still preferred in formal usage. However, one should use this form sparingly.

(Adapted from the Duke University Divinity School Bulletin)