Auslegung a journal of philosophy

 aaaaaaaaaaaa
 uuuuuuuuuuu

 aaaaaaaaaaaa
 uuuuuuuuuuu

 aaaaaaaaaaaa
 uuuuuuuuuuu

 aaaaaaaaaaaa
 uuuuuuuuuuuu

 սսսսսսսսս
 nnnnnnnnn

 սսսսսսսսս
 nnnnnnnnn

 սսսսսսսսս
 nnnnnnnnnn

gggggggggg Volume 23 ggggggggggg Number 1 ggggggggggg Winter/Spring 2000 gggggggggg

Notice of Correction

Jason A. Beyer is the author of the review of Wolterstorff's Divine Discourse. Kenneth Einar Himma's review of Dworkin's Freedom's Law will appear in Vol. 23, No 2.

Auslegung

A Journal of Philosophy

Winter / Spring 2000

Volume 23, Number 1

ISSN: 0733-4311

Auslegung: A Journal of Philosophy

Auslegung is published semiannually through the auspices of the University of Kansas. The Journal is intended as a forum for the expression of any and all scholarly philosophical perspectives. The editors are primarily interested in publishing the work of new Ph.D's and advanced students pursuing a Ph.D. degree in Philosophy. However, all technically competent philosophical work will be considered. Auslegung opposes all forms of discrimination on the basis of: race, gender, creed, age, sexual orientation and national origin.

Persons wishing to submit papers should note the following: papers normally should not exceed 30 typed, double-spaced pages, although longer papers may be considered in some cases. Contributors should submit three clear, error-free manuscripts in the style suggested by *The MLA Style Sheet*, the most recent edition, if possible. *Auslegung* subscribes to a blind review policy; the author's name and academic institution should appear only on a separate cover sheet. Whenever possible, *Auslegung* furnishes copies of the referees' comments to contributors.

Book reviews and review articles may be written on books listed in the "Books Available" section. Any individual who wishes to review a book should inform the Book Review Editor of the book(s) she or he wishes to review. Books not listed in this section may be reviewed if the Book Review Editor is notified and gives approval in advance. Papers and book reviews should be sent to the Editor and Book Review Editor at the following address:

Auslegung
Department of Philosophy
The University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas 66045

Subscription requests should also be sent to this address. In order for manuscripts to be returned to the author return postage and specific mailing instructions must accompany submission.

Auslegung gratefully acknowledges the support it receives from the University of Kansas Philosophy Department and the University of Kansas Graduate Student Council.

All rights reserved 2000 Auslegung: A Journal of Philosophy

AUSLEGUNG: A Journal of Philosophy

Editor Monica L. Gerrek, University of Kansas

201101	
Book Review Editor Christe	opher M. Caldwell, University of Kansas
Business ManagerD	Pelilah R. Caldwell, University of Kansas
Projects Manager	Pin-Fei Lu, University of Kansas
Aggigta	unt Editors
Richard Buck,	Creighton University
David Detmer,	Purdue University, Calumet Campus
David A. Duquette,	St. Norbert College
Pieranna Garavaso,	University of Minnesota, Morris
Martin Henn,	University of Missouri, Kansas City
Dawn Jakubowski,	University of Central Arkansas
Clarence Sholé Johnson,	Middle Tennessee State University

Bill Martin, DePaul University Roy Martinez, Spelman College John McClendon, Bates College

Jeanna Moyer, Iowa State University

Patrick Rardin, Appalachian State University

David Reidy, Indiana University - Purdue University Jim Tuedio, California State University, Stanislaus

Danney Ursery, St. Edwards University Joe D. Van Zandt, University of Kansas

Andrew Ward, Georgia Institute of Technology Darrell Wheeler, University of Maryland, Baltimore

County

Chris Foster

Word Processing	Lynn Porter
Secretarial Assistance	
Printing Assistance	Linda Weeks
Cover Design	

Editors' Note: In Volume 22, No. 2, we mistakenly attributed Kenneth Einar Himma's review to another reviewer. We apologize for this error and have reprinted Himma's review in this edition.

The staff wishes to thank the following for their assistance with the publication of this issue: Richard Cole, Ann Cudd, Richard De George, A. C. Genova, Rex Martin, Fred Rush, Thomas Tuozzo, James Woelfel.

Winter/Spring 2000 Volume 23, Number 1

CONTENTS

ARTICLES:	
Kevin	C. Klement
Ageno	ry, Character and The Real Failure
Ū	of Consequentialism1
Leslie	e MacAvoy
Dasei	n's Fulfillment: The Intentionality
	of Authenticity
EDWARD S.	ROBINSON MEMORIAL ESSAY, 1999
Mind	ie R. Miller
The E	thics of Confidentiality: Professional
L	Outies and the Uncooperative
H	HIV-Infected Client63
BOOK REVI	EWS:
	e Discourse: Philosophical Reflections on the Claim that God Speaks

by Nicholas Wolterstorff	
Reviewed by Kenneth Einar Himma	99
Critical Notice: Catholicism and Liberalism	
by R. Bruce Douglass and	
David Hollenbach, eds.	
Reviewed by Ted Zenzinger	115

BOOKS AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW 129

A NOTE FROM THE EDITORS

We would like to encourage our authors to follow these guidelines for inclusive language.

LANGUAGE ABOUT PERSONS

I. Generic Usage

Although "man" originally carried the meaning of both "human beings" and "adult males," such can no longer be assumed. Even though technically "man" is inclusive, its actual use is often exclusive.

- A. Use precise language. When in the past you would have been inclined to use the generic term "man," find creative ways to use such words as "humankind, humans, persons, everyone, men and women, children of God, etc."
- B. Use words that do not include "man" when referring to occupations and positions that can include both males and females. Alternative descriptions can often be found which are not awkward compounds.

II. Pronoun Usage

Pronoun usage which avoids gender specific categories is an effective way to include all members of society or a given community in general references. While English grammars generally maintain that the nonspecific individual be referred to as "he," such a reference is not inclusive. One should attempt to make all pronoun references inclusive.

A. When speaking in general terms or when referring to both women and men, use pronouns so as to make explicit that both men and women are included. This may be accomplished by using such methods as "he and she," "hers and his," or combinations such as "he/she," "s/he," and "his./hers."

B. Other approaches to the pronoun issue include:

 Use writing that reduces unnecessary or excessive gender specific pronouns: "The average American drives his car to work" can become "The average American drives to work."

- Rephrase statements into the plural: "Most Americans drive their cars to work."
- 3. When speaking in generic terms or when including women and men in the same group, some guides suggest alternating female and male pronouns: "A person should take good care of her car. He should check the oil level daily. She should also make sure that the tires are properly inflated."
- 4. The indefinite use of the second person pronoun *you* to refer to people in general is a widespread conversational device. You must realize, however, that the use of the second person in writing creates an intimate relationship between the writer and the reader. For this reason, when you use the second person, be sure that the person or persons to whom the argument is directed is clearly identified.
- 5. Masculine pronouns can be replaced by the impersonal pronoun *one* and this is still preferred in formal usage. However, one should use this form sparingly.

(Adapted from the Duke University Divinity School Bulletin)