A sketch of a Mexican soldier meant to illustrate, in simple pen and ink, the easy business of fulfilling manifest destiny appears within the pages of the *Rough and Ready Songster,* a collection of song lyrics from the U.S.-Mexican War. Dominating the drawing is the common iconography of wounds: a sling, patch, and bandages. The body is failed, defeated, unmanly. What identifies the body’s owner is, not surprisingly, another wound—a wooden leg. This artificial limb, combined with a tripartite hat, unquestionably identify the owner of this wounded body as General Antonio López de Santa Anna, Mexico’s own Napoleon. In the place of a uniform, Mexico’s military leader wears a loincloth that resembles a diaper covering the ultimate site of emasculation, the groin.

These physical markers reference the pseudo-scientific disciplines of phrenology and physiognomy popular in the United States at mid-nineteenth century that strove to factually bind outward appearance with the unseen qualities of morality and intelligence. Questions over the racial identity of Mexicans, a central debate after the 1848 peace treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo conferred citizenship on former Mexican citizens, would turn to these quasi-scientific models to deny rights and privileges. But even before the War’s end, perhaps because of the “double time” of manifest destiny to presuppose territorial capture as early as 1845, the Mexican Question illustrated the nation’s volatility. The nationalism of Manifest Destiny could not contain all of the meanings generated.
by the Songster's preoccupation with the Mexican soldier's body, depicted in its lyrics as well as its drawings. Anxieties about race, class, and indeed, the very identity of the nation, were grafted onto the failed bodies of Mexican soldiers. As Raymund Paredes discovered in his survey of American literature, no singular image of Mexicans dominates. Indeed, the depiction of Mexicans in U.S. fiction varies within a particular moment, reminding us as critics and historians that no time is ever without its own dissonance. Far from a singular voice of militaristic might and righteousness, war songs did not necessarily harmonize with the jingoism of manifest destiny. The popular products of material culture—dime novels and war songs—reflect their polysemous nature, their ability to reproduce and mollify the disparate tensions and desires of what Michael Rogin termed the American 1848.

Evinced in the lyrics, and in the borrowed melodies of songs from previous wars, the convictions driving the U.S.-Mexican War were ambiguous, and oftentimes contradictory. Eric Lott argues "so visible were the social contradictions in American life from the start of the Mexican War in 1846... that earnest analogies and casual representations, generic conventions and
allegorical flights of fancy—social figurations of almost every kind—threatened a formidable visitation of unwelcome meanings and resonances. As an indication of the tumultuousness of the country at mid-century, its wrestling with slavery and its class warfare waged between an increasingly industrial North and an agrarian South, the *Rough and Ready Songster* references the American Revolution, the War of 1812, and, through its use of minstrel song, the Slave Question.

On the surface, the U.S.-Mexican War seems, like all military conflicts, to evoke scenes of battles past and to create a seamless narrative of U.S. military strength and national history. However, the preponderance of these other historical referents, coupled with their key racial and imperial characteristics, bespeak a larger uneasiness with Mexicans and their potential for U.S. citizenry. Indeed, the U.S.-Mexican War is a singular event marking the country’s entry into imperialism on an international scale and potentially opening up the category of citizen beyond the 1790 definition of free white male. Certainly, the nation had invaded other territory before, but never at 1848’s magnitude and never with the intent of incorporating the territory’s residents as citizens.

At mid-century, the domestic metaphor was ubiquitous, but its saturation in the national consciousness was also dangerous, because any modification or challenge to the domestic would have a large-scale effect. At first glance, the war songs collected in the *Rough and Ready Songster* seem to champion the cause of manifest destiny, yet further investigation of the lyrics and melodies reveals a messy tangle of references to racial, national, and class-based tensions plaguing the U.S. This sense of chaos, of a nation more divided than united, manifests itself in the various guises assumed by the Mexican male body in the songs, and in what Lott terms the “racial ambiguity” of the musical form. Thus, it is only fitting that the medium of popular song should be the forum for sounding out issues of national identity on micro and macro levels in relation to the newly-expanded landscape.

**Texas: Marriage for Love**

In 1836, Texas gained its independence from Mexico and functioned as a republic—indeed of both the United States and Mexico—until annexation by the United States in 1845. The fight for independence accelerated the U.S.-Mexican War and first introduced the nation to the figure of General Antonio López de Santa Anna. To resolve the dispute over the Texas/Mexico border (Mexico claimed it to be the Nueces River; the U.S. insisted on the Rio Grande/Rio Bravo) in March of 1846 President Polk sent General Zachary Taylor with troops to occupy the land under question, the Nueces Strip. Mexico recognized this maneuver as a declaration of war. Not surprisingly, several U.S.-Mexican War songs, reproduced in the *Rough and Ready Songster*, mention the dispute over Texas. In one particularly telling song, the annexation of Texas is cast as a marriage to the United States.
“Uncle Sam’s Song to Miss Texas”
– tune “Yankee Doodle”

Walk in my tall haired Indian gal,
Your hand, my star-eyed Texas,
You’re welcome to our White House hall,
Tho’ Mexy’s hounds would vex us;

Come on an’ take some Johnny cake,
With lasses snug an’ coodle,
For than an’ Independence make,
A full blood Yankee Doodle.

Yankee Doodle is the word,
Surpassin’ all creation,
With the pipe or with the sword,
It makes us love our nation.

My overseer, young Jimmy Polk,
Shall show you all my nieces,
An’ then the cabinet we’ll smoke,
Until our eagle sneezes;

If Johnny Bull’s fat greedy boys,
About our union grumble,
I’ll kick up sich a tarnal noise,
‘Twill make ‘em feel quite humble.

Yankee Doodle, & etc.
If Mexy, back’d by secret foes,
Still talks of taking you, gal,
Why we can lick ‘em all, you know,
An’ then annex ‘em too, gal;

For Freedom’s great millennium,
Is working airth’s salvation,
Her sassy kingdom soon will come,
Annexin’ all creation.

Singing Yankee Doodle, & etc.

“Uncle Sam’s Song to Miss Texas” accomplishes with relative ease a sophisticated reconfiguration of the Southwest Territory through the guise of adoption, “salvation,” and love. Texas, depicted as “my tall haired Indian gal,” is inaugurated into the high social circle of “our White House hall.” The annexation is allegorized as a romance, perhaps even imagined in the very structured frame of a novel of manners, which is preoccupied with marriage plots among the wealthy and beautiful and particularly attentive to the coming out season.

Not surprisingly, class tensions brewing nationally—reflected here in the juxtaposition of the White House and a political merger alongside mention of Johnny cake and dialect (represented by the apostrophe for certain missing letters in words and by misspelled words)—surface in a song about the U.S.-Mexican War. After all, many believed the addition of Northern Mexico (or all of Mexico, for there were advocates for annexing the entire Mexican nation), would provide an easy answer to the problems of class disparity by opening up the territory to the disenfranchised. The Southwest as willing bride to Uncle Sam satisfied the national fantasy of a nurturing, sustaining landscape. As Richard Slotkin notes in *The Fatal Environment*, the city and the frontier, here the pre-1848 United States and Northern Mexico, existed in a dialectic:

the particular forms taken by the developing political economy of the Metropolis—its modes of production, its system for
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valuing social and economic goods, its peculiar culture and history of social relations, its characteristic political institutions—inform the decision to seek the Frontier wealth, and determine the kind of people that will go (or be sent) to the colonies, the kinds of resources they will be interested in, the ways in which they will organize their exploitation and governance of the territory, and so on.16

Following Slotkin’s insight, the Metropolis manufactured the public’s conception of expansion and eventual interaction with and in the former Spanish borderlands. Within the song’s lyrics, expansion is the panacea for class and racial differences. In taking Texas’ hand, Uncle Sam extends the promise of equality and projects onto the newly acquired territory, the Frontier, a wealth of opportunity and natural resources capable of transcending class and racial conflicts. Yet, residual class and racial distinctions appear in this song’s reference to President Polk as “my overseer.”

Just as the annexation proves inevitable, “for freedom’s great millennium/is workin’ airth’s salvation,” the marriage between Miss Texas and Uncle Sam occurs offstage. Perhaps the third line, “your hand my star eyed Texas,” does the work of this union. After it, Texas is deracialized as “gal” rather than “Indian gal,”—a feat attributable to annexation/marriage—and, in accordance with the captive/captor paradigm,17 she is promised support and protection from Mexico: “If Mexy, back’d by secret foes,/ Still talks of taking you, gal,/ Why we can lick ‘em all, you know,/ An’ annex ‘em, too, gal./ For Freedom’s great millennium/ Is working airth’s salvation.” The song’s reference to “Johnny Bull,” a term for Britain, alludes to this country’s schemes towards the cotton industry in Texas.18 Miss Texas marries for love; the United States will gladly protect her from future captivity, figured here as the reabsorption into the Mexican nation or as annexation by Britain.

The melody for “Uncle Sam and Miss Texas,” “Yankee Doodle,” erupts into the lyrics, and gives voice to the anxiety haunting Texas annexation—the loss of U.S. republican government through its inauguration into the British business of colonialism. Britain’s schemes for Texas—either as a partner in cotton trade or as a colony—propel and impede its inclusion into the United States. Whigs opposed “the idea of United States colonial rule in the area, for this would endanger the republican form of government; the power of the president would be enhanced, militarism would be rife, and the corruption would sap the vitals of free America.”19 Whig fears stemmed primarily from anxieties over racial amalgamation. The Charleston Mercury wondered about “melt[ing] into our population eight millions of men, at war with us by race, by language, manners, and laws?”20 For members of the Whig party, American militarism did not bolster the national physique by flexing some military muscle against Mexican soldiers, but rather threatened American republican government both by the incorporation of Mexicans, and by annexation’s resemblance to British
colonialism. By invading Mexico, the United States proved itself less the liberating force of “Yankee Doodle” and more the imperial figure of Johnny Bull. And lest we overlook it, the tone sharply turns to militaristic sacredness, the religious language of war that so profoundly imbued the rhetoric of manifest destiny, in its final line, “annexin’ all creation.”

The song’s lyrics mitigate against this latter image of America as a colonial force (“for than an’ independence make/ a full blood Yankee Doodle”). The qualifier of “full blood” is telling, because the standard racist remarks against Mexicans as a “half-mongrel race” focused on the nation’s common mestizo background. Indeed, after the U.S.-Mexican War, the ratification of the peace treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo stalled over the “Indian question.” Following its independence from Spain in 1821, Mexico had awarded citizenship to its indigenous population. If the U.S. ratified the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, which gave Mexican citizens the option of becoming U.S. citizens, then all native peoples in the southwest territory would be de facto members of the U.S. citizenry, an outrageous solution given the Indian Removal policies of President Andrew Jackson less than sixteen years earlier. With annexation, Texas sheds its “Indian gal” status and becomes “full blood[ed] Yankee Doodle.” This transformation, marked in both this military song and in the dime novels of the U.S.-Mexican War, is made possible by the “whitening” power of marriage to an Anglo soldier.

Texas’ re-identification as “a full blood Yankee Doodle,” potentially reveals the influence of Enlightenment ideology and removes the United States’s invasion from the taint of colonialism by hearkening back to its founding principles. Thomas Paine and other voices central to the American Revolution were deeply invested in the notions of natural rights and innate equality among men, tenets that also emerged during Texas’ fight for independence from an oppressive Mexican government. As the democratic government of Mexico was itself suffering under military despotism and martial law, Stephen F. Austin characterized the potentially insurrectory actions of himself and his fellow colonists who take up arms and travel to Anahuac to demand the release of the wrongfully imprisoned as patriotic. Toasting “la federación y la constitución mejicana,” along with General Antonio López de Santa Anna as their defender, Austin and others publicly announced that their loyalties and sentiments lie squarely with the Mexican government, to whom they had pledged their allegiance. Austin championed General Santa Anna, even naming themselves the Santa Anna Volunteer Company. This small-scale rebellion was a dress rehearsal for the Texas Revolution of 1836, and its shared factors (rights abrogated, lands seized, and elections curtailed) with the 1776 American Revolution were heightened.

In her 1836 emigrant guide, Texas, Mary Austin Holley crafts a usable past out of the American Revolution, thus structuring the Republic of Texas within what Homi K. Bhaba has called the “double-time” of national narratives. Texas independence from Mexico, articulated with the rhetoric common to the
American Revolution, focused on the struggle against an oppressive government (Mexico rather than Britain) that denied local representation. This framing of Texas independence as a second American Revolution served a dual purpose—it skillfully marshaled the War into the service of the nation, and thus presaged Texas’ annexation by the U.S. in 1845. Casting these two historical events under the banner and tune of “Yankee Doodle” creates a shared historical past between Texas and the U.S., and transforms the annexation of Texas into an organic, seamless union.29

Aside from precipitating the U.S.-Mexican War, the invasion of Texas, and its incorporation into the nation, raised the anxious question of citizenship. What would the domestic look like after 1845? Numerous scholars have recently documented the ways in which American culture and its modes of representation and contestation were transformed. The cheap publication of dime novels, development of the American sheet music industry, and unexpected boom in minstrel shows at mid-century all contributed to mutually constitutive ties between the domestic sphere and an expanded landscape. Historian Robert Johannsen mentions the public craving for Mexican maps and histories of the Spanish Conquest as examples of the War’s impact on cultural and national production at home.30 Even the mid-century increase in domestic fiction was not immune. As Amy Kaplan notes, “the development of domestic discourse in America is contemporaneous with the discourse of Manifest Destiny.”31 Lott illustrates this point by linking the popularity of minstrel shows to dime songbooks’ mass publication, “usually only printed lyrics without music . . . [which] evidently allowed fans of blackface to sing the words at home to tunes they knew by heart from the theater.”32 Stephen Hartnett and Robert Branham remark on the increased production of pianos at mid-century, many of which were used in homes as part of a domestic ritual of evening entertainment: “The typical family repertoire included many patriotic and military songs.”33 Sheet music publishers were able to print lyrics alone not only because theatergoers were familiar with the tunes from the minstrel shows, but also because so many of the songs, as has been true for military songs, were adapted to already familiar tunes.34

Because the metaphor of home and nation so dominated national discourse, the notion of annexation appears as marriage not only in war song lyrics, but also in dime novels, and domestic fiction set in and during the U.S.-Mexican War.35 Kaplan argues that U.S. imperialism penetrated the “privileged space of the domestic novel—the interiority of the female subject—to find traces of foreignness that must be domesticated or expunged.” This is the dialectic of domestic/foreign on the smallest scale. If one were to imagine this relationship mapped in concentric circles, the next level would be the protection of the home from the foreign threat posed by domestic slaves and servants. At the largest, national level, Kaplan writes, “‘Manifest Domesticity’ turns an imperial nation into a home by producing and colonizing specters of the foreign that lurk inside and outside of its ever shifting borders” (602). In terms of the U.S.-Mexican
War, the political—and thus the racial—identities of residents in the Southwest are located in the constant struggle between “foreign” and “domestic.”

In the next tune from the *Rough and Ready Songster*, one can see how the nation wrestled with the Mexican Question, framing it largely as parallel to the Slave Question, not merely because of uncertainty about whether the new territory would upset the balance of slave and free states, but also because of the debate over the racial identity of Mexicans and thus their fitness for U.S. citizenship.

“Get Out De Way”—Old Dan Tucker and Gen. Santa Anna

With a willing bride in Texas, U.S.-Mexican War songs painted the Mexican government, embodied in its soldiers and in General Antonio López de Santa Anna, as “foreign,” transforming them into a threat to Mexican women and land. Through these grotesque and disfigured Mexican male bodies, the war songs erase the guilt of conquest and western expansion by demonstrating that victory is inevitable, that Manifest Destiny will be fulfilled. And yet, creating the spectacle of the Mexican male body as unhealthy and effeminate serves another purpose—it nearly compels miscegenation in the form of Anglo males rescuing Mexican and Mexican American women from Mexican men, as we’ll see in the final poem, “They Wait for Us.”

“Uncle Sam and Mexico”—tune “Old Dan Tucker”

Throughout de land dar is a cry, And folks all know de reason why, Shy Mexico’s two-legged b’ars, Am ‘tacking Uncle Sammy’s stars, Chorus wid drum—

Den march away,

Den march away—

Den march away, bold sons of freedom, You’re de boys can skin and bleed ‘em. Dey’re kicken up gunpowderation, About de Texas annexation, Since Mexico makes sich ado, We’ll flog her and annex her too. Den march away &c Young Texas came on de Rio Grandy,

We showed ‘em Yankee Doodle Dandy But when brave Taylor cross de line, He’ll make ‘em snort like a steam bullgine

Den march away &c Little Texas when quite in her teens, Did give ‘em a dose of leading *beans*, An’ now old Sammy is called out, Dey’ll catch salt-petre sour crout. Den march away, &c Since Texas cut off Sant Anna’s peg, We’ll *Amputate* Ampudia’s leg, An’ so his carcass de air shan’t spoil We’ll boil it in his own hot oil.

Sung to the tune of “Old Dan Tucker,” “Uncle Sam and Mexico” fixates on the dismemberment of Mexican generals Ampudia and Santa Anna as a metaphor for the splitting apart of the Mexican nation through the annexation of nearly a third of Mexican territory by the War’s end. In his study of Dan Emmet, Hans Nathan dates the rapid increase in minstrel song production to the early 1840s.
It is therefore not surprising that this popular form of entertainment, which reached its apex in the years preceding the War, would inform the military songs both in form and content. Nathan notes minstrel songs’ “heritage of borrowing or [creating] adaptations of texts to well-known tunes.” Nathan includes “Old Dan Tucker” among those songs. Daniel D. Emmet, probably best known for writing or plagiarizing “Dixie,” reportedly composed “Old Dan Tucker” at the age of fifteen and performed it during a Fourth of July celebration at Mount Vernon in 1830. The tune is reported to have first gained national recognition in 1843 when Emmet’s quartet, the Original Virginia Minstrels, performed it at the Bowery Amphitheatre in New York. The coterminous rise in minstrelsy and westward expansion via the U.S.-Mexican War is not lost on Eric Lott who writes, “It is in every respect striking, therefore, that black minstrelsy should have moved to the cultural center at precisely this moment, and . . . by means of the matter of the West.”

Although some historians argue that not until Jim Crow days in the South would Mexicans and African Americans be conflated, the texturing of “Uncle Sam and Mexico” over “Old Dan Tucker” perhaps hints at the recognition by politicians and U.S. citizens alike that the U.S.-Mexican War would increase southern territory and thus upset the balance of abolitionist and slave states. Overlaying war song lyrics on top of a minstrel song illustrates racial ambiguity on two levels: there is the mixing of racially-marked melodic structure and the unknown racial identity of Mexicans, depicted by many newspaper editorials as a “mongrel race.” In his study of nineteenth-century music, Richard Middleton draws a key distinction between forms of repetition: musematic repetition, which is characterized by riffs and shouts of African American music, and discursive repetition, which is a repetition of musical phrasing typified by Anglo American popular music. The musematic repetition of “Get out de way” in “Old Dan Tucker,” replaced with the phrase, “Den March Away,” in “Uncle Sam and Mexico” keeps racial difference a key issue at the level of melody. Preoccupation with Mexican savagery on the battlefield maintains this issue at the level of the lyrics.

Just as the first song analyzed takes up a host of issues animating Texas’ annexation, afromestizos, the Black Legend, and the Slave Question haunt “Uncle Sam and Mexico.” In Recovering History, Constructing Race, Martha Menchaca outlines Mexico’s connection with Africa and slavery via Spanish colonialism. As Menchaca writes, Portuguese slave expeditions coincided with Spanish colonialism and the “discovery” of the New World. Thus, black slaves began arriving in Mexico in 1519. The result was the creation of afromestizos, who were a mixture of indigenous and African peoples. When the U.S.-Mexican War ended in 1848, Mexicans’ black roots were a source of panic, based on the fear of miscegenation and the loss of white racial purity and national identity. This panic played itself out in the same way that it did for black men: lynchings, myths of sexual promiscuity and lasciviousness, and a general incapacity for civility and other markers of citizenship.
Although church and census records bear out a sustained pattern of interracial marriages between Spaniards, Mexicans and Anglo Americans, these unions threatened the domain of Anglo American men once territorial conquest was achieved. Indeed, Whig opposition to the War cited the popular “scientific” racial theories of the day, arguing that the nation could not absorb millions of Mexicans and still maintain its Anglo Saxon heritage. Just as Mexican American claims to land were immediately contested by squatters and newly arrived Anglo Americans (California instituted the Land Commission to survey and rule on Spanish and Mexican land grants as early as 1851), Mexicans’ claims to a privileged racial position in the American racial hierarchy as necessary to gain access to legal rights were equally suspect. Tomás Almaguer, Martha Menchaca, and others have studied the creation of “white Mexicans” in California who were granted the rights and privileges of U.S. citizens based on their claims to pure Spanish blood. “Non-white” Mexicans, on the other hand, struggled through the court systems in their respective states for legal rights. Included in these struggles was the right to marry. As Menchaca notes, the black/white binary was an overpowering lens for viewing all interracial marriages. If Mexicans were deemed to be black, then their marriages to whites were illegal.

Mexicans’ black ancestry was a subject for concern in the question of annexation because of the issue of incorporating black citizens in the U.S. Just as musematic repetition peppers a song with African American shouts, the original lyrics of “Old Dan Tucker” weave into the song’s new lyrics and create a chorus as they test the mettle of Mexican masculinity along lines of black male identity. In the original song, Dan Tucker is a foolish African slave whose “nose so flat, his face so full,/ De top ob his head like a bag ob wool.” Fixating on Dan Tucker’s body, and its tell-tale racial markers, provides the racial undertones to a musical tune and lyrical background for soldiers to overlay lyrics about Mexico’s generals.

In the song, General Santa Anna is imagined in violent states of current and future dismemberment. He is figuratively castrated, “since Texas cut off [his] peg.” It was well known that General Santa Anna lost a “peg,” one of two prosthetic legs at the Battle of Cerro Gordo. To this day, his leg remains a national spectacle, a site of American heartiness and triumph over the dandy Mexicans. Currently housed in the Illinois State Military Museum, General Santa Anna’s leg is a spoil of war and as such, cannot be returned to Mexico. It did, however, tour during the World’s Fair of 1851. General Santa Anna’s extravagance, coded as a feminized unfitness for war, is related in the story of his lost leg—he was eating a lunch of roasted chicken when an infantry unit from Illinois surprised him and he was forced to make a hasty retreat without chicken or the leg—and in the popular song “The Yellow Rose of Texas,” his other famous surprise during the Battle of San Jacinto, which also led to a hasty retreat, this time without his pants. In both instances, the songs create an image of Santa Anna as unfit for military service. General Santa Anna’s defeats at both battles are synecdoches for Mexico’s losses: Texas Independence in 1836 and
the annexation of the southwest territory in 1848. Further, his physical body and its well-noted shortcomings, his height as well as his prosthetic leg, index the inadequacies of Mexican soldiers and the Mexican government.

The threat of boiling General Ampudia’s leg in his “own hot oil” retaliates against Mexican cruelty by supposedly mastering the sadistic art of decapitation, and mixing in just a dash of cannibalism. Texturing the song over “Old Dan Tucker” further the cannibalism reference, as the original song’s chorus concludes, “You’re too late to come to supper.” The (black) legend behind this verse refers to Ampudia’s order to decapitate a disobedient officer under his command in the Yucatán. This head was then boiled in oil (it is unclear if this action was taken at Ampudia’s request or under the soldier’s own initiative) and stuck on a pole as a warning to other insubordinate soldiers. In “Uncle Sam and Mexico,” the U.S. Army brags of its ability to outdo the savagery of the Mexicans, which involves amputation, decapitation, cannibalism, and emasculation.

Unlike the “Yellow Rose of Texas” which indirectly addresses General Santa Anna’s prowess as a lover, “Uncle Sam and Mexico” directly attacks his virility, with the line “dey’ll catch salt-petre.” Also known as potassium nitrate, saltpeter was reported to prevent erections, and legends abound that military food was dusted with saltpeter to limit the number of rapes committed during wars. In the song, saltpeter clearly enhances the emasculating overtone. Perhaps we should read the reference to cutting off Santa Anna’s peg and “catching salt-petre” as direct reactions to his virility; he is emasculated precisely because his virility is inappropriate—he’s sexual with a light-skinned African American woman. In the case of Santa Anna, legends of his hyperbolic sexuality, and its aberrant, indeed pathological nature, cast doubt upon his masculinity altogether. Because of its “excessiveness,” Santa Anna’s sexual prowess is called into question and he is effectively castrated. Moreover, in reading these two songs alongside each other, coupled with a knowledge of the widespread practice of interracial marriage between Anglos and Mexicans, we recognize the nuanced and fluid nature of anti-miscegenation laws.

In Texas in the 1840s, the category of “white” was as much in flux as that of “Mexican.” In the Geography of Marriage, lawyer William Snyder explicitly addresses how statutes regarding the legality of certain unions varied drastically from state to state. In Texas, Snyder notes, marriages were forbidden on the basis of incest, bigamy, and miscegenation. In the case of the latter category, miscegenation is defined exclusively as the marriage between “any person of European blood or their descendants” and “Africans or the descendants of Africans” who are also referred to as “a person of mixed blood descended from negro ancestry to the third generation inclusive.” However, what is much more striking and speaks directly to the issue of whiteness as a fluid category is the statement that follows: “all persons not included in the above definition of negro are deemed in law white persons.” Thus, the term “white” comes to signify exclusively “not negro.” By opening up the category of white, ostensibly all
other races, like Mexican and American Indian, are eligible to legally claim the rights and privileges enjoyed by whites.  

They Wait For Us—Spanish Brides

The Spanish maid, with eye of fire,  
At balmy evening turns her lyre  
And, looking to the Eastern sky,  
Awaits our Yankee chivalry  
Whose purer blood and valiant arms,  
Are fit to clasp her budding charms.  
The man, her mate, is sunk in sloth—  
To love, his senseless heart is loth:  
The pipe and glass and tinkling lute;  
A sofa, and a dish of fruit;  
A nap, some dozen times a day;  
Sombre and sad, and never gay.

Originally published in the Boston Uncle Sam on June 20, 1846, “They Wait For Us” appears among a handful of patriotic poems in McCarty’s compilation of National Songs, Ballads, and Other Patriotic Poetry and is unique for the absence of any language of war, mention of bravery, or reference to a particular battle or victory. And yet, this patriotic poem becomes the culmination of the work performed by the war songs: it quite deliberately effeminizes Mexican men as a means of sanctioning romance and marriage with Mexican women. The description of the “Spanish maid” is a far cry from the “tall-haired Indian gal” of the first song discussed; and this transformation should be read as a precursor to an international rather than interracial union.

“[H]er mate,” is described as the corruption of wealth—decadence. He is “loth” to love; we might imagine that his heart has become “senseless” from overindulgence in the lute, the pipe, the glass, the nap, and the dish of fruit. Not only does the laundry list of luxuries convey his decadence, but their elevation in value above the Spanish maid glosses the inappropriateness of his wealth and desires. He is effete. Historian Antonia Castañeda interprets this poem succinctly: “The meaning is clear—Mexicans cannot appreciate, love, direct, or control their women/country.” In the absence of an attentive lover, the Spanish maid pines for “Yankee chivalry,” with “purer blood and valiant arms.” Clearly, the racialization cuts across gender and class lines; yet unlike Cecil Robinson’s argument, class status does not secure whiteness for the Mexican male. He in fact is “senseless” from an inability to balance his various temptations, so much so that he has neglected his mate. This image of the dandy Mexican male is not, however, far removed from the reading of General Santa Anna.

This racialization of Mexican suitors arises from the preoccupation with arranged marriages, a Spanish custom among the aristocratic class, and a
profound desire to remove the land-grubbing character from Anglo colonists, gold diggers in their marriages to Mexican women. There exists, however, another aspect of the characterization of Mexican men that is not fully addressed in the criticism of Myers, Paredes, or Robinson—the Mexican dandy. Travel writers in the Southwest consistently remarked about the elaborate costuming of Mexican men, caballeros, and rancheros, and their stubborn sense of pride that was nearly always translated as arrogance.

It is in the figure of the Mexican dandy, who is likewise the rival suitor of Anglo soldiers in U.S.-Mexican War dime novels, that we witness the crossover between literature and war song. Overly attentive to his dress, to the silver studs on his charro suit (and, I might add that we would not be aware of these sumptuary details were it not for writers like Dana and Susan Magoffin who painstakingly recorded them in their own travel narratives), the Mexican ranchero is routinely described in derisive terms as a dandy. This reading is, of course, shot through with the anxieties of the colonists not encountering their fantasy in the Southwest—a de-peopled frontier at best, or at worst, a hostile “savage” population that can be overcome easily. There was no literary precedent for writing about the original inhabitants of the Southwest; they had to be invented to secure the rights of Manifest Destiny that animated them. Not only were Anglo travelers like Susan Magoffin and Richard Henry Dana dismayed by the presence of three hundred years of Mexican, Spanish, and indigenous cultures, they were perhaps even further bewildered by the opulence of Spanish landowners. Thus, the figure of the Spanish dandy was formed. He was extremely arrogant, superficial, interested only in his appearance and in pleasure. Indeed, if we consider Leslie Fiedler’s thesis that the frontier was specifically characterized in literature as a male space created in the absence of women’s “civiliizing forces,” then we can recognize the mechanism that emasculated the Spanish don.

The figure of Old Rough and Ready, which appears as the frontispiece of the Rough and Ready Songster (1848), embodies the United States. He is tall, confident, leaning a bit leisurely against a fence. In light of the above discussion of the emasculation of Spanish dons, we can recognize ironically how a U.S. military aesthetic of clean lines, and an almost European uniform, functions as the standard by which all subsequent figures will be judged. In direct contrast to his name, rough and ready, Zachary Taylor appears refined and relaxed. He takes pride in his appearance, but he does so through the masculine civilizing structure of the military; his leisurely pose stems from his confidence in inevitable U.S. victory rather than in the ennui that befalls pleasure-seekers such as the dandy.

Anxieties over the nation’s robustness—its strength as an international force invading Mexico, an ongoing inferiority complex with Britain, and the racial tensions of slavery and the slave question in the newly acquired southwest—in short, all of these anxieties about a nation that we know to have been on the verge of the Civil War are gendered as masculine and displaced onto the figure
of the Mexican male. It is not enough for Anglo soldiers to turn suitor and woo Mexican women, they must test their mettle on the battlefield as well. White male panic turns Mexican foes into one of two images: the fearsome, barbaric heathen represented by Generals Santa Anna and Ampudia, who rape women and order decapitations, and the effete dandy, also represented by General Santa Anna, whose moral character and fortitude have degenerated through overindulgence in luxuries. The rhetoric surrounding the U.S.-Mexican War, which manifested itself in contradictory attacks on the enemy’s masculinity, reappeared over fifty years later in the Spanish-American War, targeting Spanish soldiers. In Kristin Hoganson’s analysis of the gendered politics towards Spain that attended the Spanish-American War, she writes of the seemingly contradictory attacks on the enemy’s masculinity: “Like the stereotypically savage Spaniards, the seemingly childlike and feminine Spaniards seemed to exemplify perverted gender roles.”

Far from an exclusively male discourse, war songs borrow heavily from the feminine realm of sentimentality, which as Shirley Samuels argues, was a
Embodying the West

“national project: in particular a project about imagining the nation’s bodies and the national body” (3). What better discourse to address the literal and metaphorical question of the post-1848 national body than sentimentality? After all, marriage as a domestic metaphor for annexation appears in two of the three texts, and in both cases, as in the dime novel plots, the unions are characterized by mutual feeling and are set in opposition to arranged marriages. But, as Gillian Silverman has demonstrated in “the paradox of sentimentalism,” this discourse of political egalitarianism can also reinforce racial and socio-economic hierarchies.

“That the Indian race of Mexico must recede before us is quite as certain as that that is the destiny of our own Indians”

Waddy Thompson, minister for the Whig administration in 1842, traveled to Mexico and wrote the above sentence in his *Recollections of Mexico*. Although he opposed aggressive expansionism, Thompson imagines Mexico’s indigenous population mysteriously disappearing in a manner akin to James Fenimore Cooper’s vanishing race. In *Home Fronts*, critic Lora Romero recognizes that much of the anxiety in the nineteenth century about racial difference, land entitlement, and miscegenation had earlier been grafted onto the bodies of American Indian characters who were depicted hurling themselves en masse headlong off of cliffs and other precipices. As Romero argues, the top-heavy, suicidal American Indians conveniently rid the United States of themselves, voluntarily abandoning their former lands to the more hearty Americans for cultivation and further western expansion. Romero cleverly links the particular form of death—head long plunging—with the “anxiety over the decorporealization of power [that] compels the advice offered time and again in educational treatises in the early nineteenth century: more emphasis should be placed upon the cultivation of the juvenile body and less upon the development of the juvenile mind.”

The unhealthy (read dead) bodies of American Indians buttress Anglo American masculinity, which was dangerously undermined by the feminizing teachings of true women. Weak male subjectivity is displaced onto the bodies of American Indians and Mexicans, and Anglo American males (re)gain their physical and mental supremacy. The fantasy of a vanishing race, extended to include Mexico’s indigenous population, would erase the fear of miscegenation and other threats to the newly-created notion of Anglo Saxonism fashioned by expansionism. Here we witness how the dominating metaphor of home and nation can work against its intended purpose. The perpetual struggle of foreign and domestic is inadvertently continued by this act of U.S. imperialism, and the threat to the domestic becomes the threat of the domestic. In other words, the newly-acquired territory is at once feminized (as in the marriage of Miss Texas to Uncle Sam and the pining Spanish maid in the last poem) to shore up the
masculinity of an over-domesticated Anglo American male population; Mexican men are dandified to the same effect; Mexican women are deracialized and depicted as eager brides to Anglo suitors; but an overreliance on the domestic metaphor is not without cost.

Taken together, the war songs and patriotic poetry collected in the *Rough and Ready Songster* and *National Songs, Ballads, and Other Patriotic Poetry* simplify and mollify the fears of white masculinity and the sanctity of the national family romance surrounding the U.S.-Mexican War. They dress up annexation in wedding clothes and take Texas to the altar; they castrate or otherwise emasculate Mexican men through their obsession with Santa Anna’s leg, and they oppose the effeminacy of Mexican wealth—coded as male—with the body of Zachary Taylor, Old Rough and Ready. What results from these lyrics and poetry is a national discourse of Manifest Destiny that sugarcoats marriages of convenience, the disenfranchisement of landed Mexican families, and the gold-grubbing desires that animated the U.S.-Mexican War. As I have argued, the culmination of these documents of popular culture—war songs, patriotic poetry, and dime novel plots—converge over the issue of gold, specifically the gold discovered at Sutter’s Mill soon after the U.S.-Mexican War. Taken together, these elements of mid-nineteenth-century popular culture work assiduously to justify gold digging of various kinds: interracial marriages intended to fatten up the pockets of Anglo settlers and a war that doubled the U.S. territory.
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