

# A Logic Model for Montessori Education

## Children Flourish in High-Quality Montessori Environments

<b>Key Concepts</b>	Innate tendencies, critical periods, and stages drive development	Movement and cognition are intertwined	Learning progresses from concrete to abstract	Interest drives motivation and learning
<b>Application</b>	Pedagogy geared toward predispositions at each level	Hands-on materials matched to inner needs	Activities incrementally build procedural understanding	Lessons pique curiosity, and are optimally timed

## Overall Impact

Teachers who model kindness, restraint, humility, enthusiasm, consistency, appreciation, trust, patience, respect, and hope
Children who are physically healthy, mentally and psychologically fulfilled, spiritually nurtured and aware, and highly educated

## Inputs

Critical Components		Evaluation
Mixed-age grouping across a 3-year span	Larger classes / higher child-to-teacher ratios	Principal and teacher implementation surveys
3-hour uninterrupted work periods	Montessori classroom design and pedagogy	Classroom observations
Full set of Montessori materials	Teacher observation and ongoing assessment	Environmental rating scales
Montessori credentialed teachers	Continuing professional development	Teacher and administrator surveys, focus groups, and interviews
Small group / one-on-one instruction	Diverse student population	Student surveys, focus groups, and interviews
Extensive student choice		

## Programming Across Levels

Resources	Actions	Goals
Ordered environments	Choose activities of interest	Purposeful activity
Broad, interrelated curriculum	Use real-life and manipulative materials	Sustained focus
Individualized instruction	Assist and collaborate with peers	Self-discipline and knowledge
Positive emotional climate	Resolve disagreements	Compassion for others
Clear expectations	Express self artistically	Positive attitude toward school
Experiences with nature	Move freely in classroom	Confidence and initiative
Adaptation for atypical development	Help maintain the environment	Contributing member of society

## Expected Outcomes & Suggested Assessments

Nonacademic	Academic
<b>Executive Function</b> • BRIEF • HTKS • MEFS • NIH Flanker  <b>Creativity / Self-Expression</b> • EPoC • TTCT • Working Sample Portfolio Analysis  <b>Motivation</b> • ACT Engage • School-level behavioral data	<b>Social Fluency and Emotional Flexibility</b> • SSWH • SSIS • NIH TB-EB • ASQ-3  <b>Self-Regulation</b> • DESSA • NIH Cognition Battery
	<b>Academic Performance</b> • NIH TPVT • EDI • BICS-3: R • MAP • PPVT-4  <b>Cognition / General Development</b> • NIH TB-CB • ASQ-3 • WJ IV

### Infant / Toddler

birth through age 2½ to 3

Resources	Actions	Goals
Nurturing, homelike setting	Engage in basic self-care	Emotional wellbeing
Consistent routines	Move and explore safely	Awareness of self and others
Healthy interactions	Make simple choices	Self-soothing
Precise language and communication	Reproduce motor patterns	Sense of belonging
Children treated with dignity	Contribute to the community	Independence in dressing and toileting

### Early Childhood

age 2½ to 3 through age 6

Resources	Actions	Goals
One-on-one instruction	Repeat activity sequences	Independence
Precise presentations	Structure time	Responsible group member
Content grounded in reality	Complete work cycles	Factual knowledge
Friendly attitude toward mistakes	Explore the environment	Joy
Lessons on social etiquette	Self-correct	Self-regulation
	Prolonged activity	Love of work and order

### Elementary

age 6 through 9 & 9 through 12

Resources	Actions	Goals
Interactive small-group lessons	Examine interdependencies	High productivity
Integrated curriculum	Conduct in-depth investigations	Interconnected knowledge
Storytelling and key presentations	Work toward abstraction	Intellectual curiosity
Increased freedom, greater responsibility	Build community	Social competence
Challenging activity	Explore beyond the classroom	Sense of justice and morality
	Plan and track activities	Global perspective

### Secondary

age 12 through 15 & 15 through 18

Resources	Actions	Goals
Vigorous thematic learning	Personal expression	College and career readiness
Work of the head, hands, and heart	Civic engagement, service, and stewardship	Understanding of dignity of work
Strong classroom community	Field studies	Independent and interdependent citizen
Constructivist curriculum	Microenterprises	Engaged community contributor
Integration of divergent and convergent thinking	Reflective and contemplative study	Dedication to future humanity

## References

### Inputs† and Key Concepts, Applications, Overall Impact, and Programming Across Levels‡

(† and ‡ denote the sections supported by each reference)

- AMS. (2014). *AMS school accreditation standards and criteria*. †‡
- Ansari, A., & Winsler, A. (2014). Montessori public school pre-K programs and the school readiness of low-income Black and Latino children. *Jnl of Ed Psych*, 106, 1066–1079. †
- AMI/USA. (2009). *AMI/USA Montessori school standards*. Alexandria, VA: Author. †‡
- Boehlein, M. M. (1988). Montessori research analysis in retrospect [Preface]. *The NAMTA Journal*, 13(3). †‡
- Byun, Blair, & Pate. (2013). Objectively measured sedentary behavior in preschool children: Comparison between Mont & traditional preschools. *Int Jnl of Beh Nut & Phys Act*, 10(2), 1–7. †
- Chattin-McNichols, J. (1992). *The Montessori controversy*. Albany, NY: Delmar Publishers. †‡
- Culclasure, Fleming, Riga. (2018). *An evaluation of Mont education in SC's public schools*. Unpublished manuscript, The Riley Institute at Furman University, Greenville, SC. †
- Daoust, C. (2004). *An examination of implementation practices in Montessori early childhood education* (Doctoral dissertation). University of California, Berkeley, CA. †
- Dohrmann, K. R., Nishida, T. K., Gartner, A., Lipsky, D. K., & Grimm, K. (2007). High school outcomes for students in a public Montessori program. *Jnl of Rsch in Ch Ed*, 22, 205–217. †
- Feez, S., Miller, J., & Tyne, M. (2012). A National Montessori Curriculum Report. *NAMTA Journal Special Issue*, 37(1), pp. 1-307. †‡
- Hanson. (2009). *An exploratory study on the effectiveness of Mont constructs and trad teaching method as change agents to increase acad ach of elementary Black students*. (Diss). †
- Kahn, D. (n.d.). *Ten steps to Montessori implementation*. North American Montessori Teachers Association. †‡
- Lillard, A. S. (2012). Preschool children's development in classic Montessori, supplemented Montessori, and conventional programs. *Journal of School Psychology*, 50(3), 379–401. †
- Lillard, A. S. (2017). *Montessori: The science behind the genius*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. †‡
- Lillard, A., & Elise-Quest, N. (2006). Evaluating Montessori education. *Science*, 313, 1893–1894. †
- Lillard, P. P. (1972). *Montessori: A modern approach*. New York, NY: Schocken. †‡
- Lillard, P. P. (1980). *Montessori in the classroom*. New York, NY: Schocken. †
- Lillard, P. P. (1996). *Montessori today*. New York, NY: Schocken. †‡
- Lopata, C., Wallace, N. V., & Finn, K. V. (2005). Comparison of acad achievement between Montessori and traditional education programs. *Jnl of Rsch in Childhood Ed*, 20(1), 5–13. †
- Montessori, M. (1912/1964). *The Montessori Method*. New York, NY: Schocken. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1914/1965). *Dr. Montessori's own handbook*. New York, NY: Schocken. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1917/1965). *Spontaneous activity in education: The advanced Montessori Method*. Madras, India: Kalakshetra Publications. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1939/1966). *The secret of childhood*. New York, NY: Ballentine Books. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1948/1973). *To educate the human potential*. Madras, India: Kalakshetra Publications. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1948/1976). *From childhood to adolescence*. New York, NY: Schocken. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1956/1970). *The child in the family*. New York, NY: Avon. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1962/1988). *The discovery of the child*. Oxford, England: Clio Press. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1967/1989). *The absorbent mind*. New York, NY: Dell Publishing. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1976). *Education for human development*. New York, NY: Schocken. †‡
- Montessori, M. (1997). *Basic ideas of Montessori's educational theory: Extracts from Maria Montessori's writings and teachings*. Oxford, England: ABC-Clio. †‡
- Montessori, M. (2000). *The California lectures of Maria Montessori, 2015*. Oxford, England: Clio. †‡
- Montessori, M. (2008). *The child, society, and the world: Unpublished speeches and writings*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Montessori-Pierson Publishing Company. †‡
- MPPI. (2015). *Montessori essentials*. †‡
- NCMPS. (2015). *Essential elements of Montessori practice in the public sector*. †
- Pedersen, H., & Pedersen, J. (2008). *What is Montessori? A basic guide to the principles, practices, and benefits of a Montessori education*. San Anselmo, CA: Sandpiper Press. †‡
- Peng, H., & Md-Yunus, S. (2014). Do children in Montessori schools perform better in the achievement test? A Taiwanese perspective. *Intl Jnl of Early Childhood*, 46, 299–311. †
- Pottish-Lewis, P. (2011). *Elementary classroom management: How to implement cosmic education*. Rochester, NY: AMI/USA. †‡
- Rambusch, & Stoops. (1992). *The auth Am Montessori school: A guide to the self-study, evaluation, and accreditation of Am schools committed to Mont ed*. New York, NY: AMS. †‡
- Rathunde, & Csikszentmihalyi. (2005). Middle school students' motivation and quality of experience: A comparison of Mont and trad school environments. *Am Jnl of Ed*, 111, 341–371. †
- Standing, E. M. (1957/1984). *Maria Montessori: Her life and work*. New York, NY: New American Library. †‡

### Suggested Assessments

- ACT Engage: ACT. (2012). *ACT Engage* [Assessment instrument]. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ASQ-3: Squires, J., Potter, L., & Bricker, D. (1995). *Ages and Stages Questionnaire* [Assessment instrument]. Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
- BBCS-3: R: Bracken, B. (2006). *Bracken Basic Concept Scale Third Edition: Receptive* [Assessment instrument]. Bloomington, MN: Pearson Assessments, Inc.
- BRIEF: Gioia, G., Isquith, P., Guy, S., & Kenworthy, L. (2000). *Behavior Rating of Inventory of Executive Function* [Assessment instrument]. Lutz, FL: PAR, Inc.
- DESSA: LeBuffe, P., Shapiro, V., & Robitaille, J. (2018). *The Devereux Student Strengths Assessment* [Assessment instrument]. Fort Mill, SC: Aperture Education, Inc.
- EDI: Janus, M., Offord, D., & Mustard, F. (1999). *Early Development Instrument* [Assessment instrument]. Hamilton, Canada: McMaster University.
- EPoC: Lubart, T., Besançon, M., & Barbot, B. (2011). *Evaluation du Potentiel Créatif* [Assessment instrument]. Paris, France: Editions Hogrefe France.
- HTKS: Ponitz, C., McClelland, M., Matthews, J., & Morrison, F. (2009). *Head-Toes-Knees-Shoulder Task* [Assessment instrument]. Charlottesville: UVA Social Development Lab.
- MAP: NWEA. (2019). *Measures of Academic Progress* [Assessment instrument]. Portland, OR: Author.
- MEFS: Carlson, S., & Zelazo, P. (2017). *Minnesota Executive Function Scale* [Assessment instrument]. St. Paul, MN: Reflection Sciences, Inc.
- NIH TB-CB: Gershon, R., & Wagster, M. (2010a). *NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery* [Assessment instruments]. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health.
- NIH TB-EB: Gershon, R., & Wagster, M. (2010b). *NIH Toolbox Emotion Battery* [Assessment instruments]. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health.
- NIH TB-Flanker: Gershon, R., & Wagster, M. (2010c). *NIH Toolbox Flanker Inhibitory Control & Attention Test* [Assessment instrument]. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health.
- NIH TPVT: Gershon, R., & Wagster, M. (2010d). *NIH Picture Vocabulary Test* [Assessment instrument]. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health.
- PPVT-4: Dunn, L., & Dunn, D. (2007). *Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test Fourth Edition* [Assessment instrument]. Bloomington, MN: Pearson Assessments, Inc.
- SSIS: Gresham, F., & Elliott, S. (2008). *Social Skills Improvement System Rating Scales* [Assessment instrument]. Bloomington, MN: Pearson Assessments, Inc.
- SSWH: Bronson, M. B. (2000). *Self-regulation in early childhood*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- TTCT: Torrance, E. P. (2010). *The Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking* [Assessment instrument]. Bensenville, IL: Scholastic Testing Service, Inc.
- WJ IV: Schrank, F. A., McGrew, K. S., & Mather, N. (2014). *Woodcock-Johnson IV* [Assessment instrument]. Rolling Meadows, IL: Riverside Insights.
- Working Sample Portfolio Analysis: Meisels, S., Jablon, J., Dichtelmiller, M., & Marsden, D. (2015). *Work Sampling System* [Assessment instrument]. Bloomington, MN: Pearson.