

Systematic Review of the Representation of Female Athletes in Meniscal Repair Studies

Gabrielle Crowell, B.S.¹, Sheridan Scott, B.S.¹, LaTarsha Taylor, M.D.², Rachel Long, B.S.², Lisa Vopat, M.D.², Bryan Vopat, M.D.², Ashley Herda, Ph.D.^{2,3}

¹The Kansas University Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas

²The University of Kansas Health System, Kansas City, Kansas, Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Sports Medicine

³The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, Department of Health, Sport, and Exercise Sciences

Received Apr. 18, 2025; Accepted for publication Apr. 18, 2025; Published online Apr. 21, 2025

<https://doi.org/10.17161/kjm.vol18.23881>

Introduction. Female athletes have been historically underrepresented within sports science and sports medicine research, including studies on meniscal repair and partial meniscectomy—common arthroscopic procedures in this population. In studies including female athletes, important biological differences are often overlooked, despite the influence hormones, menstrual status, and other sex-specific traits have on performance and recovery. This study systematically reviews female athlete representation in studies on meniscal repair and partial meniscectomy.

Methods. A systematic review was conducted using PubMed to analyze the representation of female athletes in meniscal repair or partial meniscectomy studies. Studies were analyzed by: study population, sex distribution, athletic caliber, menstrual status, research theme, journal impact factor, failure rates, and return to sport rate.

Results. Eighty-two studies were included. No female-only studies were identified, while 9/82 (11%) were male-only. No studies examined females at the highest athletic caliber (Tier 5), though two male-only studies did. Menstrual status was not considered. Among treated participants, 2,157 (70.3%) were male and 911 (29.7%) female. Male-only studies had the highest journal impact factor of 4.39, compared to 3.64 for mixed-sex cohort and 4.21 for male vs. female sub-analysis studies. Females were underrepresented in studies evaluating surgical failure and return to sport.

Discussion. Research on meniscal repair and partial meniscectomy relies heavily on male-based evidence. Even studies including females overlooked potential impacts of biological differences. Future studies should account for sex-specific factors to improve study quality and applicability. Key limitations of this study are use of a single database and publication bias.