

TRANSITIVE INFLECTION IN (MOSES) COLUMBIAN SALISH

M. Dale Kinkade  
University of British Columbia

In this paper<sup>1</sup> I will present a sketch of transitive inflection in Columbian Salish. As used here, 'transitive inflection' will include a number of grammatical categories, such as transitive, transitivizer, control, indirective, causative, object pronoun, and subject pronoun. Control will only be touched on, and left for fuller treatment elsewhere. Transitive inflection in Columbian is entirely suffixal, and is the most elaborated part of Columbian inflection. Most of the suffixes involved will be familiar to anyone who has followed the growing literature on Interior Salish; cognates for all the suffixes involved in Columbian transitive inflection occur elsewhere, although no attempt at comparison will be made here.<sup>2</sup> However, Columbian seems to elaborate the overall system by allowing combinations apparently excluded in other Interior Salishan languages. I will first present the subject and object suffixes; second the transitive, control, and causative suffixes; third -min- 'relational'; fourth -nú- 'success'; and fifth the indirective suffixes. Then I will indicate some of the ordering and cooccurrence possibilities in Columbian.

Pronominal suffixes on transitive constructions can be split into object and subject sequences with relative ease, although various morphophonemic processes produce some irregularities in the overall system. These processes have to do with primary stress location, some apparent analogical formations with 1 sg. subjects, and some irregularities when 1 pl. is combined with certain other personal endings.

The basic subject suffixes are the following. These may be compared with the intransitive subject clitics, which consist basically of k- plus the transitive subject suffixes except in the third person. Independent pronominal forms also occur, but they are fully predicative, and are of no relevance here.

	<u>transitive</u>	<u>intransitive</u>	<u>independent</u>
1 sg.	-n, -nn	kn	?incá
2 sg.	-x <sup>w</sup>	k <sup>w</sup>	?inwí
3 sg.	-s	∅	cníl, cní
1 pl.	-t	kt	nmníml
2 pl.	-p	kp	lplápst
3 pl.	-s	lx	cncníl

The -nn variant of the 1 sg. suffix occurs when the stressed vowel of the word immediately precedes this suffix; this can occur only when -cí- '2 sg. object' or -stú- 'causative' (with a zero 3 sg. object)

occurs.<sup>3</sup> Ambiguous in this respect are 1 sg. subject after -mín- 'relational' or -nún- 'success' plus 3 sg. object; if these two suffixes are, alternatively, analyzed as -mí- and -nú- (which seems perfectly possible in Columbian, although at least -mín- with n seems historically correct; morphophonemic rules produce the correct output no matter which variants are considered basic), then -nn occurs as after -cí- or -stú-. I will here assume -mín- and -nún- as basic, and the -nn variant of 1 sg. -n as being analogical to these forms when followed by -n '1 sg. subject'. (For further discussion of -mín- and -nún-, see below.) Third person plural forms are not always distinguished from 3 sg. forms, and will largely be ignored in this paper; note, however, that lx occurring with a transitive construction will refer to the object, not the subject. Subject suffixes in Columbian, unlike all other Interior Salishan languages, never occur stressed or in a variant with a vowel.

There are two sets of object suffixes in Columbian, although they are distinct only in 1 sg. and 2 sg. Set one occurs after -n- 'control', set two after -stu- 'causative'. Imperfective aspect forms have generalized the use of 'causative' so that all transitive non-perfective forms include -stu- (although not all causatives are non-perfective). Hence other transitivity suffixes occur with both sets of suffixes, although -xi- calls for the causative set and -túá- 'indirect' calls for the non-causative set.

	<u>non-causative</u>	<u>causative</u>
1 sg.	-sá(1)-/-s(1)-	-m-
2 sg.	-sí-/-s-	-m-
3	∅	∅
obv.	-wá-/-u-	-wá-/-u-
1 pl.	-ál-/-l-	-ál-/-l-
2 pl.	-úlm-/-lm-	-úlm-/-lm-

All the non-causative endings must be preceded by -t- 'transitive'; this fuses with the singular endings, so that they appear as -cá(1)-/-c(1)- and -cí-/-c-. The l of 1 sg. non-causative forms occurs only before 2 pl. subjects. The causative 1 sg. -m- usually (but optionally) appears as u following a consonant and preceding 2 sg. subject -x<sup>w</sup>;<sup>4</sup> it is occasionally deleted entirely by some speakers between causative -stú- (stressed) and 2 pl. subject -p. Full transitive paradigms follow, showing stressed and unstressed variants of both non-causative and causative object suffixes.<sup>5</sup>

subj.-obj.	<u>non-causative</u> <u>object stressed</u>	<u>non-causative</u> <u>object unstressed</u>
1sg-3	kλ'ém'n	ʔác'xn
2sg-3	kλ'ém'ntx <sup>w</sup>	ʔác'xntx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	kλ'ém's	ʔác'xs

1pl-3	kλ'ém'ntm	ʔác'xntm
2pl-3	kλ'ém'ntp	ʔác'xntp
3-obv	kλ'ém'ntwás	ʔác'xntus
1sg-2sg	kλ'ém'ncínn	ʔác'xncn
3-2sg	kλ'ém'ncís	ʔác'xnc
1pl-2sg	kλ'ém'ncít	ʔác'xnc̣t
2sg-1sg	kλ'ém'ncáx <sup>w</sup>	ʔác'xncx <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	kλ'ém'ncás	ʔác'xnc
2pl-1sg	kλ'ém'ncálp	ʔác'xnc̣lp
1sg-2pl	kλ'ém'ntúlmn	ʔác'xntlmn
3-2pl	kλ'ém'ntúlms	ʔác'xntlms
1pl-2pl	kλ'ém'ntúlmt	ʔác'xntlmt
2sg-1pl	kλ'ém'ntált	ʔác'xntlt
3-1pl	kλ'ém'ntáls	ʔác'xntls
2pl-1pl	kλ'ém'ntálp	ʔác'xntlp
	'go past'	'look at'

	causative subj.-obj. object stressed	causative object unstressed
1sg-3	cəkstúnn	çəhám'aʔsn
2sg-3	cəkstúx <sup>w</sup>	çəhám'aʔstx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	cəkstús	çəhám'aʔsc
1pl-3	cəkstúm	çəhám'aʔstm
2pl-3	cəkstúp	çəhám'aʔstp
3-obv	cəkstwás	çəhám'aʔstus
1sg-2sg	cəkstúmn	çəhám'aʔstmn
3-2sg	cəkstúms	çəhám'aʔstms
1pl-2sg	cəkstúmt	çəhám'aʔstmt
2sg-1sg	cəkstúmx <sup>w</sup>	çəhám'aʔstux <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	cəkstúms	çəhám'aʔstms
2pl-1sg	cəkstú(m)p	çəhám'aʔstmp
1sg-2pl	cəkstúlmn	çəhám'aʔstlmn
3-2pl	cəkstúlms	çəhám'aʔstlms
1pl-2pl	cəkstúlmt	çəhám'aʔstlmt
2sg-1pl	cəkstált	çəhám'aʔstlt
3-1pl	cəkstáls	çəhám'aʔstls
2pl-1pl	cəkstálp	çəhám'aʔstlp
	'hit'	'dislike'

Irregularities to be noted are the following: (1) the loss of -t- 'transitive' in all 1sg-3 forms and non-causative 3-3 forms; (2) the loss of -n- 'control' in 1sg-3 non-causative forms (note the difference between this and the addition of an n in a form like cəkstúnn or kλ'ém'ncínn; n is regularly lost before s, ʃ, or x, as in kλ'ém's, with this regular loss ordered after the irregular loss of -t-); (3) the merger of t and s to c in 3-3 unstressed causative (this can also be analyzed as loss of t and a suffixed s becoming c after another s, which is a general rule); (4) merger of -cs to -c in 3-2sg and 3-1sg

unstressed non-causative forms; (5) occurrence of -m for the expected -t in all lpl-3 forms; (6) occurrence of -t for the expected -x<sup>w</sup> in all 2sg-lpl forms; (7) stress on the object, rather than on -stu- in stressed causatives with obviative objects or lpl objects (the same may be true for 2pl objects, but since both -stu- and -ulm- have u there is no way to tell); (8) the usual shift of m to u in 2sg-lsg unstressed causative forms and its occasional loss between -stú- and 2pl -p.

Object suffixes also occur after what Thompson and Thompson (1981) call 'control roots', roots which do not require -n- 'control'. These roots are probably fewer in number in Columbian than in Thompson, but they do occur; some which would be expected through similar meanings or cognacy with Thompson occur in Columbian preferably with an indirective suffix before the transitive and object suffixes (e.g. wík-t- 'see'). As with non-control roots, control roots may be stressed or unstressed, so the same variation between stressed and unstressed object forms occurs. Imperfective aspect forms again require causative endings. The following are sample paradigms.

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>non-causative object stressed</u>	<u>non-causative object unstressed</u>	<u>causative object stressed</u>
1sg-3	ʔəmtn	káɬn	cʔəmstúnn
2sg-3	ʔəmtx <sup>w</sup>	káɬtx <sup>w</sup>	cʔəmstúx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	ʔəmc	káɬc	cʔəmstús
lpl-3	ʔəmtm	káɬtm	cʔəmstúm
2pl-3	ʔəmtp	káɬtp	cʔəmstúp
3-obv	ʔəmtwás	káɬtus	cʔəmstwás
1sg-2sg	ʔəmcínn	káɬcn	cʔəmstúmn
3-2sg	ʔəmcís	káɬc	cʔəmstúms
lpl-2sg	ʔəmcít	káɬct	cʔəmstúmt
2sg-lsg	ʔəmcáx <sup>w</sup>	káɬcx <sup>w</sup>	cʔəmstúmx <sup>w</sup>
3-lsg	ʔəmcás	káɬc	cʔəmstúms
2pl-lsg	ʔəmcálp	káɬclp	cʔəmstú(m)p
1sg-2pl	ʔəmtúlmn	káɬtlmn	cʔəmstúlmn
3-2pl	ʔəmtúlms	káɬtlms	cʔəmstúlms
lpl-2pl	ʔəmtúlmt	káɬtlmt	cʔəmstúlmt
2sg-lpl	ʔəmtált	káɬtlt	cʔəmstált
3-lpl	ʔəmtáls	káɬtls	cʔəmstáls
2pl-lpl	ʔəmtálp	káɬtlp	cʔəmstálp
	'feed'	'give'	'feeding'

The suffixes that usually immediately precede object suffixes are -t- 'transitive' and -stu- 'causative'; -n- 'control' usually precedes -t- 'transitive' and may also occur underlyingly before -stu- 'causative', but would never appear on the surface because of the automatic loss of n before s. All three appear in the paradigms above, and morphophonemic changes involving them were discussed there. -t- 'transitive' is mutually exclusive with -stu- 'causative'--i.e. they cannot

co-occur. As noted earlier, -stu- and the causative objects must be used in all transitive non-perfective aspect constructions, as in Colville-Okanagan, Kalispel, and Coeur d'Alene. In Columbian, non-perfective forms have a prefix s-, ?ac-/c-, or sac-/sc- (?ac- and sac- occur only when the stem to which they are prefixed begins with a single consonant followed by a stressed vowel), as in cp'əq'wstús 'he's spilling it', cmistúnn 'I know it', cwawáwxsn 'I'm talking to him', ?ack'í'wsc lx 'he's praying for them', scq'wúsn 'I'm reading it' (from cq'wun- 'call, name, read'), sc'əkstús 'he's counting them right now'. But many forms with -stu- 'causative' occur without these prefixes, and are clearly perfective aspect, as in λ'əxpstúnn 'I raised him', púlxsn 'I put him to bed, I tucked him in', ?úcqa'sn 'I took it out'. A specific contrast of the two aspectual usages where the causative force is clear occurs in the following forms based on cí'włx 'bathe': ?accí'włxsc 'she's bathing him', t'íl' cí'włxsc 'she already bathed him'.

The causative suffix may also be used to transitivize a stem already containing various intransitive suffixes. Only a few examples will be given here. (1) After -m 'middle': lək'mstúnn 'I forced him', wənmstúnn 'I lowered it'. (2) After -p 'inchoative': təx'wpstúnn 'I stopped him from doing it, I fired him', λ'əxpstúnn 'I raised him'. (3) After -ilx 'autonomous': t'áxlxsn 'I took/got them (fish) up the river bank', cwawáwxsn 'I'm talking to him'.

-min- 'relational' may be considered to be a transitivizer. As in Thompson (and other Salishan languages), 'relational' 'refers to objects toward whom/which the subject is moving or in relation to whom/which the action is accomplished' (Thompson and Thompson 1981). It occurs with and without stress, and with both causative and non-causative endings. The four paradigms follow.

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>-min-t-</u>	<u>-mn-t-</u>
1sg-3	yərmínn	cqána?mn
2sg-3	yərmíntx <sup>w</sup>	cqána?mntx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	yərmís	cqána?ms
1pl-3	yərmíntm	cqána?mntm
2pl-3	yərmíntp	cqána?mntp
3-obv	yərmíntus	cqána?mntus
1sg-2sg	yərmíncn	cqána?mncn
3-2sg	yərmínc	cqána?mnc
1pl-2sg	yərmínc <sup>t</sup>	cqána?mnc <sup>t</sup>
2sg-1sg	yərmíncx <sup>w</sup>	cqána?mncx <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	yərmínc	cqána?mnc
2pl-1sg	yərmínc <sup>l</sup> p	cqána?mnc <sup>l</sup> p
1sg-2pl	yərmíntlmn	cqána?mntlmn
3-2pl	yərmíntlms	cqána?mntlms
1pl-2pl	yərmíntlmt	cqána?mntlmt

2sg-1pl	yərmíntlt	cqánaʔmnl̩t
3-1pl	yərmíntls	cqánaʔmnl̩s
2pl-1pl	yərmíntlp 'push'	cqánaʔmnl̩p 'hear'
	<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>-mn-st-</u>
1sg-3	yərmísn	ʔacwákʷcnmsn
2sg-3	yərmístxʷ	ʔacwákʷcnmstxʷ
3-3	yərmísc	ʔacwákʷcnmsc
1pl-3	yərmístm	ʔacwákʷcnmstm
2pl-3	yərmístp	ʔacwákʷcnmstp
3-obv	yərmístus	ʔacwákʷcnmstus
1sg-2sg	yərmístmn	ʔacwákʷcnmstm̩n
3-2sg	yərmístms	ʔacwákʷcnmstms
1pl-2sg	yərmístmt	ʔacwákʷcnmstmt
2sg-1sg	yərmístuxʷ	ʔacwákʷcnmstuxʷ/stmxʷ
3-1sg	yərmístms	ʔacwákʷcnmstms
2pl-1sg	yərmístmp	ʔacwákʷcnmstmp
1sg-2pl	yərmístlm̩n	ʔacwákʷcnmstlm̩n
3-2pl	yərmístlms	ʔacwákʷcnmstlms
1pl-2pl	yərmístlmt	ʔacwákʷcnmstlmt
2sg-1pl	yərmístlt	ʔacwákʷcnmstlt
3-1pl	yərmístls	ʔacwákʷcnmstls
2pl-1pl	yərmístlp 'pushing'	ʔacwákʷcnmstlp 'talking about'

-min- frequently occurs to form secondary derivatives, as from the following reflexive and indefinite intransitive forms. In this function, only the weak form of the suffix occurs: káàʔqncút̩mnc 'he's leaning against me', káil̩ncút̩m̩n 'I'm jealous of him', kaslahlahscút̩m̩n 'I'm going to play a trick on him', kyaʃm̩ncút̩m̩ntm̩ 'we all jumped on him', xəltxíx̩m̩n 'I asked other people for it', kʷanxíx̩m̩n 'I took it away from them; pickpocket', kʷəɛ̩nxáx̩m̩n 'I loaned someone else's property to him'.

-nwáɛ̩n (intransitive)/-nú̩n- (transitive) 'success' is a strong suffix, i.e. always has primary stress. It usually means 'successful completion of an action' (often after much effort) or 'finally manage to do something' (sometimes accidentally). The intransitive form occurs very infrequently in my data. A few examples are kn xəɛ̩pnwáɛ̩n 'I'm finished filling them', kn sc̩mipnwáɛ̩nəxʷ 'I'm learning about it' (-əxʷ 'imperfective intransitive'), and wəlgʷnwáɛ̩n 'he accidentally swallowed it'. The transitive form, on the other hand, is quite common. It is followed by -t- 'transitive' in perfective aspect and -stu- 'causative' in imperfective aspect; since -nú̩n- is always stressed, it must be followed by the unstressed (vowelless) variants of object suffixes. Paradigms with -nú̩n- follow the pattern of -min-t- and -min-stu- given above.<sup>6</sup>

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>-nún-t-</u>	<u>-nún-stu-</u>
1sg-3	xəsnún̄n	cxəsnún̄sn
2sg-3	xəsnún̄tx <sup>w</sup>	cxəsnún̄stx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	xəsnún̄s	cxəsnún̄sc
1pl-3	xəsnún̄tm	cxəsnún̄stm
2pl-3	xəsnún̄tp	cxəsnún̄stp
3-obv	xəsnún̄tus	cxəsnún̄stus
1sg-2sg	xəsnún̄cn	cxəsnún̄stm̄n
3-2sg	xəsnún̄c	cxəsnún̄stms
1pl-2sg	xəsnún̄ct	cxəsnún̄stmt
2sg-1sg	xəsnún̄cx <sup>w</sup>	cxəsnún̄stux <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	xəsnún̄c	cxəsnún̄stms
2pl-1sg	xəsnún̄clp	cxəsnún̄stmp
1sg-2pl	xəsnún̄tlm̄n	cxəsnún̄stlm̄n
3-2pl	xəsnún̄tlms	cxəsnún̄stlms
1pl-2pl	xəsnún̄tlmt	cxəsnún̄stlmt
2sg-1pl	xəsnún̄tlt	cxəsnún̄stlt
3-1pl	xəsnún̄tls	cxəsnún̄stls
2pl-1pl	xəsnún̄tlp	cxəsnún̄stlp
	'lose'	'losing'

Other examples of -nún- are: k'wa?k'wa?n'únc 'he bit me all up', k'wu?nús 'he used it up', mipnúnn 'I found it out', cmipnúsn 'I know already', lipnúnn 'I hit the target', ǰaxq'wnúnn 'I got away from him', ktəqna?núnn 'I accidentally put my hand on it and (managed to) smear it', ck'wa?nústms 'he's always scolding/getting after me'.

I have dealt with Columbian indirectives elsewhere (Kinkade 1980; I am now inclined to consider the base form of the first of these to be -xit- rather than -xi- because of the difficulty in accounting for the t otherwise), identifying them and attempting to indicate their syntactic functions. However, I did not treat their role in word composition. None cooccur with -n- 'control'.

-xit- is followed by object suffixes from the causative paradigm. Since -xit- is a variable-stress suffix, it can occur with or without a vowel, depending on the strength of the preceding stem. Since all forms with -xit- take causative object suffixes, the only difference between perfective and imperfective forms is the presence of a prefix on the latter: cq'iy'xít̄n 'I'm writing to him', ?ackáǰxtx<sup>w</sup> 'you're giving it to him', etc. Perfective paradigms showing stressed and unstressed variants of -xit- follow.

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>weak root-xit-</u>	<u>strong root-xit-</u>
1sg-3	q'iy'xít̄n	káǰxt̄n
2sg-3	q'iy'xít̄x <sup>w</sup>	káǰxt̄x <sup>w</sup>
3-3	q'iy'xíc	káǰxc

1pl-3	q'iy'xítm	káíxtm
2pl-3	q'iy'xítp	káíxtp
3-obv	q'iy'xítus	káíxtus
1sg-2sg	q'iy'xítmn	káíxtmn
3-2sg	q'iy'xítms	káíxtms
1pl-2sg	q'iy'xítmt	káíxtmt
2sg-1sg	q'iy'xítux <sup>w</sup>	káíxtux <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	q'iy'xítms	káíxtms
2pl-1sg	q'iy'xítmp	káíxtmp
1sg-2pl	q'iy'xítlmn	káíxtlmn
3-2pl	q'iy'xítlms	káíxtlms
1pl-2pl	q'iy'xítlmt	káíxtlmt
2sg-1pl	q'iy'xítlt	káíxtlt
3-1pl	q'iy'xítls	káíxtls
2pl-1pl	q'iy'xítlp	káíxtlp
	'write to'	'give to'

Other examples with -xit- are c'əkkítms 'he counted for me', c'x<sup>w</sup>mxítms 'he promised me', k'í<sup>w</sup>xítn 'I said a prayer for him', ləm<sup>w</sup>xítn 'I stole it for him', táwxc 'he bought it for him', k'á<sup>?</sup>áyxtn 'I returned it for him', ɣalíxtn 'I asked him for it'.

-í- is usually followed by -t- 'transitive' and non-causative object forms in both perfective and imperfective aspects. 1sg-3 forms may end in either -í-n or -í-tn. Perfective paradigms of -í- following weak and strong roots follow; sample imperfectives would be ?acləmítx<sup>w</sup> 'you are stealing it from him', cləmícínn 'I am stealing it from you', ?ack<sup>w</sup>áícn 'I am holding it for you'.

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>weak root-í-</u>	<u>strong root-í-</u>
1sg-3	ləmít(t)n	k <sup>w</sup> áín
2sg-3	ləmítx <sup>w</sup>	k <sup>w</sup> áítx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	ləmítc	k <sup>w</sup> áíc
1pl-3	ləmítm	k <sup>w</sup> áítm
2pl-3	ləmítp	k <sup>w</sup> áítp
3-obv	ləmítwás	k <sup>w</sup> áítus
1sg-2sg	ləmícínn	k <sup>w</sup> áícn
3-2sg	ləmícís	k <sup>w</sup> áíc
1pl-2sg	ləmícít	k <sup>w</sup> áíct
2sg-1sg	ləmícáx <sup>w</sup>	k <sup>w</sup> áícx <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	ləmícás	k <sup>w</sup> áíc
2pl-1sg	ləmícálp	k <sup>w</sup> áíclp
1sg-2pl	ləmítúlmn	k <sup>w</sup> áítlmn
3-2pl	ləmítúlms	k <sup>w</sup> áítlms
1pl-2pl	ləmítúlmt	k <sup>w</sup> áítlmt
2sg-1pl	ləmítált	k <sup>w</sup> áítlt
3-1pl	ləmítáls	k <sup>w</sup> áítlts
2pl-1pl	ləmítálp	k <sup>w</sup> áítlp
	'steal from'	'take away from'



But in some instances -i- is followed by causative object suffixes.<sup>7</sup> It may be significant that the two examples of this that I know of are both control roots in other Interior Salishan languages (they cannot occur without an indirective suffix in Columbian).

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>perfective</u>	<u>imperfective</u>
1sg-3	wíkân	?acwíkân
2sg-3	wíkâtx <sup>w</sup>	?acwíkâtx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	wíkâc	?acwíkâc
1pl-3	wíkâtm	?acwíkâtm
2pl-3	wíkâtp	?acwíkâtp
3-obv	wíkâtus	?acwíkâtus
1sg-2sg	wíkâtmn	?acwíkâtmn
3-2sg	wíkâtms	?acwíkâtms
1pl-2sg	wíkâmt	?acwíkâmt
2sg-1sg	wíkâtx <sup>w</sup>	?acwíkâtx <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	wíkâts	?acwíkâts
2pl-1sg	wíkâtp	?acwíkâtp
1sg-2pl	wíkâtlmn	?acwíkâtlmn
3-2pl	wíkâtlms	?acwíkâtlms
1pl-2pl	wíkâtlmt	?acwíkâtlmt
2sg-1pl	wíkâtlt	?acwíkâtlt
3-1pl	wíkâtls	?acwíkâtls
2pl-1pl	wíkâtlp	?acwíkâtlp
	'see'	'seeing'

Other examples of -i- are pâiç 'she braided my (hair)', ?âc'xâcn 'I see what you have', mâ'wâcx<sup>w</sup> 'you broke my X', ?aniâtx<sup>w</sup> 'you took it for them', haw'iâtâlt 'you made us...', cikâtl 'you dug up our...', k'î'wân 'I prayed and blessed it for him', mâya?âc and miyâpâc both meaning 'he diagnosed her...', çaliâcx<sup>w</sup> 'you asked me for it'.

-tûi- is always stressed and is followed by -t- 'transitive' and non-causative object forms.

<u>subj.-obj.</u>	<u>perfective</u>	<u>imperfective</u>
1sg-3	wak <sup>w</sup> tûân	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûân
2sg-3	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâtx <sup>w</sup>	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâtx <sup>w</sup>
3-3	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâc	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâc
1pl-3	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâtm	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâtm
2pl-3	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâtp	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâtp
3-obv	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâtus	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâtus
1sg-2sg	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâcn	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâcn
3-2sg	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâc	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâc
1pl-2sg	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâct	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâct
2sg-1sg	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâcx <sup>w</sup>	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâcx <sup>w</sup>
3-1sg	wak <sup>w</sup> tûâc	cwak <sup>w</sup> tûâc

2pl-1sg	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> clp	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> clp
1sg-2pl	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlmn	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlmn
3-2pl	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlms	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlms
1pl-2pl	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlmt	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlmt
2sg-1pl	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlt	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlt
3-1pl	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tls	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tls
2pl-1pl	wak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlp	cwak <sup>w</sup> tú <sup>t</sup> tlp
	'hide it from'	'hiding it from'

Other examples of -tú<sup>t</sup>- are stám' ay' sawtú<sup>t</sup>c 'What did he ask you?', tər'qtú<sup>t</sup>tx<sup>w</sup> 'you kicked it towards them', k<sup>w</sup>ántú<sup>t</sup>n 'I loaned it to him', snk<sup>w</sup>λ'alg<sup>w</sup>ptú<sup>t</sup>n 'I took it out of his mouth'. -tú<sup>t</sup>- also seems to occur occasionally added to transitive middle forms: cəkmtú<sup>t</sup>n 'I threw it to the next person (to catch)', tumistmtú<sup>t</sup>c 'he sold it to me'. I do not yet fully understand the construction of these forms.

A wide variety of cooccurrences of -min-, -nú<sup>n</sup>-, indirectives, and causative is possible in Columbian--more than reported for other Interior Salishan languages. Since for some of these cooccurrences I have only one or two examples, I will for the most part simply list the forms that occur. Specific combinations are usually difficult to elicit because of the subtle semantics involved and because context is crucial to an acceptable combination. Combinations with causatives are given in the paradigms above, and need not be repeated here.

-min-nú<sup>n</sup>-: yər<sup>m</sup>nú<sup>n</sup> 'I accidentally pushed it for him', cqàna<sup>?</sup>m<sup>n</sup>ú<sup>n</sup> 'I happened to (over)hear it'.

-min-xi-: cək<sup>m</sup>xít<sup>n</sup> 'I threw it for someone else', k<sup>w</sup>u<sup>?</sup>ám<sup>i</sup>x<sup>t</sup>n 'I used up something belonging to someone else'. The two stress patterns appear contradictory.

-min-í-: ckmí<sup>t</sup>n 'I threw it', náltm<sup>n</sup> 'I forgot someone's whatever I had'.

-min-tú<sup>t</sup>-: cək<sup>m</sup>ntú<sup>t</sup>n 'I angrily threw it back at him'.

-nú<sup>n</sup>-í-: mipnú<sup>t</sup>n 'I caught on to it (his secret, something unknown)', k<sup>w</sup>u<sup>?</sup>ánú<sup>t</sup>tx<sup>w</sup> 'you used up his X for him', xəsnú<sup>t</sup>n 'I knew he lost it, I know about his loss', təmx<sup>w</sup>nú<sup>t</sup>n 'I wore out his...', cəkknú<sup>t</sup>n 'I accidentally hit it', ma<sup>?</sup>nú<sup>t</sup>n 'I didn't want it (noise) and wanted to be undisturbed'. This is a relatively common combination. Note also -min-nú<sup>n</sup>-í- in cək<sup>m</sup>nú<sup>t</sup>n 'I accidentally threw it at him'.

-xi-tú<sup>t</sup>-: k<sup>?</sup>á<sup>?</sup>áyxtú<sup>t</sup>n 'I brought something to change back, I returned (the gloves)(to the store)'.

-stu-í-: cmistú<sup>t</sup>n 'I know about it (a secret)', k<sup>w</sup>ən<sup>?</sup>stú<sup>t</sup>n 'I showed it to him'. Or this might be -stu-tú<sup>t</sup>-, with -stu- reduced to -s-.

-i-tú-: cmiítúnn 'I know what he's got, I know about it', çhaw'iítúnn 'I'm making it for him', cəkítúnn 'I'm throwing at it'. The identification of the second element is unclear. It is probably 'causative', but the constructions are problematic.

-cá-i-: xəscáic wa? ?ink'w'k'wúsm 'he lost my watch'. The identification of the first element is unclear.

Combinations that were specifically rejected were \*-nún-xi-, \*-nún-tú-i-, \*-xi-i-, and \*-i-xi-. Other possible combinations may or may not be possible, and some of these rejected forms may in fact prove to be possible in the right context or with specific roots.

The suffixes described above occur before reflexive and reciprocal suffixes, although these turn the stems into intransitive forms.

The reflexive suffix is -cút/-ct. Historically this derives from pre-Columbian \*-t-sút, but the t and s have fully merged, as can be seen in causative forms, where the causative suffix is reduced to -s- and its t reassigned to -cút. Reflexives occur (1) after -n- 'control': p'əq'wncút 'he spilled it on himself', kayak'ncút 'he set fire to himself', wák'wncút 'he hid', kn x'wúy'ncút 'I sighed', kn sacq'wúcnctəx'w 'I'm fattening myself up'; (2) after 'causative', here reduced to -s-: paxpaxscút 'he's acting smart', scwəlxscútəx'w 'he's talking to himself', l'əhl'əhscút 'he's teasing'; (3) after -min- 'relational' (both -mín-ct and -mn-cút occur--as well as weak -mn-ct--one of which must involve secondary derivation): wak'wminct 'perjure self, hide something inside self', ckminct 'shy at', qənnaqsmínct 'an unmarried person', xəsmncút 'dress up, put on one's best', xəc'mncút 'curdle', tx'w'ncút 'relax', wák'w'ncút 'hiding (self)', súlmncút 'numb', sút'mncút 'stretch oneself', límncút 'pl. lie down'; (4) after -min- plus -stu- 'causative': ?ac'xm'scút 'show off', xatmncút 'he's raising up', kn c'a'xm'scút 'I'm ashamed of myself'; (5) after -xi- 'indirective': scmay'xcútəx'w 'he's talking to himself'.

There are two reciprocal suffixes, -wáp and -wáx'w (both always stressed).<sup>8</sup> I do not know the difference between them, and have only four examples of -wáp, all four followed by lx '3d pl.'; two are preceded by -n- 'control' and -t- 'transitive', the other two apparently by neither: tər'qnantwáp lx 'they kicked each other', ckəlpəntwáp lx 'they hit each other (with rocks)', cuwcuwnawáp lx 'they hit each other with their fists', ckcknawáp lx 'they hit each other (by throwing rocks)'. -wáx'w occurs (1) after -n- 'control' and -t- 'transitive': ckcknantwáx'w 'they ran into one another', q'iyq'iy'nantwáx'w 'they called each other dirty names', sər'əy'a'qntwáx'w 'they're pulling each other's hair'; (2) after -t- 'transitive' alone: kəc'əmc'əmtwáx'w 'they're kissing'; (3) after -n- or -na-: k'ə?am'amnawáx'w 'they're waiting for each other', tx'wtwáx'w 'they're splitting up, they're separating', scu?cu?n'aw'áx'u?x'w 'they're boxing'; (4) after -stu- 'causative': yəlmstwáx'w 'they're

running off together', wəlxstwáx<sup>w</sup> 'they're arguing', scx<sup>w</sup>ay'ʔstwáx<sup>w</sup>əx<sup>w</sup>  
lx 'they're getting after each other'; (5) after -min- 'relational':  
nq'at'm'n'w'áx<sup>w</sup> 'log chain'; (6) after -xi- 'indirective': may'xtw'áx<sup>w</sup>  
 'they're telling each other stories'. Types 1 and 3 are the most frequent usages.

As can readily be seen, transitive inflection in Columbian is quite complex. But even given the variety of combinations of suffixes cited here, it seems unlikely that all the possibilities have been discovered yet. A number of logically possible combinations are not attested, although some have been suggested to informants and rejected. Further study is underway, but the general nature of Columbian transitivity is clear, and should make further comparative work possible.

#### NOTES

1. Research on Columbian Salish has been made possible by grants from the National Science Foundation, The American Philosophical Society Library, The University of Kansas, and the University of British Columbia. I am particularly grateful to Mrs. Mary Marchand, Mrs. Emily Peone, Mrs. Margaret Gorr, and especially the late Mr. Jerome Miller and his wife Agnes Miller for data cited in this paper. This is an extensively revised version of a paper presented to the 16th International Conference on Salishan Languages in 1981 in Missoula, Montana.

2. Relevant references may be found in Mattina (to appear); they will not be repeated here, since they are not immediately relevant to this paper. At least two additional references, not cited by Mattina, are relevant for comparative purposes, however: Gibson (1973) and van Eijk (1981). The latter includes information on Lillooet not available elsewhere. Among Interior Salishan languages, data are least available on Lillooet and Columbian. A forthcoming dissertation on Lillooet by Jan van Eijk will fill one of these gaps; the present paper is a start on the other.

3. Forms are cited in a phonemic transcription. Stress is unpredictable, and is assigned largely by the interplay of strong, variable, or weak underlying morphemes. Weak morphemes usually have no underlying vowel, so stress is irrelevant to the underlying form (used when citing a morpheme independently). Strong morphemes are cited with stress. Variable morphemes are cited without stress, and if primary word-stress does not fall on such a morpheme, the vowel will ordinarily be deleted.

4. The u before -x<sup>w</sup> is from an intermediate stage ə; this ə from underlying m actually appears in the surface form of one of the variants of at least two other suffixes: -míx/-mx/-əx<sup>w</sup> 'non-perfective', and -míx/-mx/-əx<sup>w</sup> 'people'. Comparative evidence shows that the ə of -ul'əx<sup>w</sup> 'land, earth' also derives from m.

5. The order of suffix combinations follows Thompson and Thompson (1981), except that I add 3-obv after 2pl-3. Columbian, unlike most Salishan languages, has an obviative object suffix -wa-/-u-, the same in both object sets; it occurs in exactly the same types of constructions as other object suffixes. When the vowel is deleted from -wa-, the remaining w automatically becomes u between consonants. Some of the forms cited in the paradigms are extrapolated, rather than attested.

6. Imperfective forms with -nú- can be ambiguous, and hence tricky to elicit or verify. The sequence -nú-s- can be derived either from -nú-stu- ('success-causative') or -nú-us ('success-face/eye/fire'); here -us would lose its vowel to a strong suffix, and the final n of -nú- would be deleted before s). Thus yərnmún means 'I accidentally pushed it for him'. The imperfective would be cyərnmún. But forms very similar to this also occur, and are often what a native speaker first thinks of when presented with this imperfective form: yərnmún 'I pushed his face' and nyərnmún 'I pushed him into the fire'.

7. Because these forms unexpectedly have -t- 'transitive' it may be best to consider the underlying form of this suffix as -t-, with (optional) loss of the t before -n 'I'.

8. The similarity of these to the obviative is intriguing (although the obviative suffix has variable stress and the reciprocals are always strong). The obviative object can only be followed by a third person subject: -was. If the final consonants of -wáx<sup>w</sup> and -wáp could be recognized as second person subjects (singular and plural, respectively), the beginnings of a paradigm can be seen (missing are forms with first person subjects). If this comparison of the obviative and the reciprocals has any merit, then the element -wa- may have meant something like 'other person'. Support for this hypothesis comes from Upper Chehalis, one of the few other Salishan languages with an obviative suffix. There the obviative is -twal-/-twali and the reciprocal is -twal-/-tuš (and in Upper Chehalis -š is the suffixed form of 2 sg. subject).

## REFERENCES

- Gibson, James A. 1973. Shuswap Grammatical Structure. Ph.D. dissertation. Honolulu: University of Hawaii. Also available as University of Hawaii Working Papers in Linguistics 5(5). 1-119.
- Kinkade, M. Dale. 1980. Columbian Salish -xí, -t, -túá. International Journal of American Linguistics 46. 33-36.
- Mattina, Anthony. to appear. The Colville-Okanagan transitive system. International Journal of American Linguistics.
- Thompson, Laurence C. and M. Terry Thompson. 1981 ms. The Thompson Language.
- van Eijk, Jan. 1981. Grammar notes. In Cuystwí malh Ucwalmícwts: Lillooet Legends and Stories, ed. Jan van Eijk and Lorna Williams, pp. 119-134. Mount Currie, B.C.: The Tszil Publishing House.