



Chimeric Cas9 allows PAM-Independent Gene Editing

Tian Z. Wang

Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708: tian.wang@duke.edu

Commentary

CRISPR-Cas9 has revolutionized gene editing for the last decade, with the ability to quickly and accurately cut DNA, opening the possibility of curing many genetic diseases. Since the first paper of CRISPR to edit DNA in 2012, different enzymes have been discovered and engineered, extending its functions to be able to change DNA bases directly, insert regions of genetic material, and alter gene expression, with new developments constantly adding to its toolbox [1]. The first CRISPR therapy has currently been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of sickle cell *ex vivo*, with some of the first *in vivo* delivery of Cas9 trials currently underway such as for the treatment of hereditary transthyretin, a disease where toxic protein aggregates settle by nerve fibers [2]. These enzymes have been used not only in the treatment of diseases, but also to understand the genome, increase agriculture production, and develop new animal models [3, 4]. At the heart of these advances is the relatively simple mechanism of CRISPR-Cas9. For a CRISPR-Cas9 system to edit, the Cas9-gRNA complex binds the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) which causes local unwinding of the DNA. The now exposed DNA allows for the hybridization of the chosen gRNA, and subsequent successful cleavage of the strand by the Cas9 [5]. However, a limitation with current CRISPR enzymes is that the PAM sequence is highly specific to each ortholog and prevents editing of many regions, creating the need for editors with reduced PAM requirements.

Previously, various efforts have been made to explore natural orthologs of CRISPR and to engineer existing enzymes for reduced PAM requirements. Bioinformatics pipelines such as Search for PAMs by ALignment Of Targets (SPAMALOT) and Spacer-2PAM have been used to explore the PAM sequences of Cas9 orthologs and to predict the PAM sequence given a CRISPR array [6, 7]. Additionally, extensive

screening has been performed to find Cas9 and Cas12 relatives, revealing enzymes with many novel PAMs [8]. Rational engineering efforts have produced enzymes with altered

or reduced PAM requirements including enFnCas9 variants which demonstrated high specificity as well as a reduced PAM requirement(5'-NRG/NGR-3') [9-11]. Other engineering efforts, such as directed evolution through random mutagenesis, phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE), structure-guided evolution, and chimera generation have also been utilized to both relax PAM requirements and create novel variants [8]. Most notably, Chatterjee et al. have engineered Sc++, a CRISPR enzyme with a positive-charged loop, enabling a 5'-NNG-3' PAM, while Walton et al. have developed SpRY, an enzyme with mutations in the PAM interacting domain allowing for a 5'-NRN-3' PAM (R signifies either A or G can be used as a PAM) [12-14]. While these efforts have generated many enzymes that can edit a majority of the genome in combination with one another, an enzyme with the ability to edit any sequence had not previously been discovered or engineered.

Using experimental enzyme techniques and computational modeling, Zhao et al. have developed SpRYc, a chimeric enzyme consisting of SpRY and Sc++ [14]. They hypothesized that combining the Pam-interacting domain (PID) of SpRY and the N-terminus of Sc++ would allow for the reduced PAM requirements of each respective enzyme. Notably, Zhao et al. demonstrate that the enzyme has a 5'-NNN-3' PAM, allowing for genome editing of the entire genome [14]. Using PAM-SCANR, a NOT gated assay where a library of DNA sequences is introduced to the enzyme and PAM binding induces a GFP signal, SpRYc was shown to be able to edit with

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: Dec. 14, 2024

Revised: Jan. 25, 2025

Accepted: Jan. 30, 2025

KEYWORDS

CRISPR, gene editing

only a minimal preference for the adenosine in the second position [15]. Further experimentation using HT-PAMDA, an assay where the cleavage site of a DNA library is sequenced *in vitro*, demonstrates that while this Cas9 has a wider targeting ability than previously characterized editors, the cleavage efficacy is lower, leading Zhao et al. to suggest that SpRYC may be more suited towards base editing rather than functioning as an endonuclease for direct DNA editing [14, 16]. The enzyme was then fused to the ABE8 adenine base editor and was shown to be able to edit at all PAM locations while demonstrating significantly more efficient conversion of Adenine to Guanine compared to SpRY ABE8 at 5'-NTN-3' and 5'-NNT-3' PAMs. Using both the endonuclease and the ABE8 base editor, therapeutically relevant edits were made, demonstrating the clinical applicability of SpRYC. Rett syndrome, a neurological disorder associated with impaired brain function and caused by various C to T point mutations, was corrected using the base editor (C502T) [14, 17]. Due to the 5'-NCN-3' or 5'-NTN-3' PAM required for the edit, this mutation was inaccessible to previously developed base editors [14, 17]. Additionally, Huntington's disease, a neuronal disease caused by repeats of CAG on the Huntington gene and characterized by brain impairment and neuronal death, was silenced by the SpRYC endonuclease [18]. Finally, the mechanism of action was explored using SWISS-MODEL, where the positively-charged loop of Sc++ was hypothesized to relax the PAM requirement of position 2 with sequence non-specific interactions, and the mutations of SpRY and ScCas9 were shown to result in nonspecific backbone interactions, allowing for the PAM leniency of SpRYC [14].

Going forward, it may be interesting to see further applications of this enzyme, such as expanding the base editing capabilities with a C to T base editor, or a prime editing enzyme. New applications for both disease and scientific models could be explored using this PAM-free enzyme. Additionally, the increased specificity of SpRYC despite the more lenient PAM requirement compared to SpRY raises the question of how PAM domains affect specificity. This observation emphasizes further mechanistic studies on how Cas9 interrogates DNA and how SpRYC binds *in vitro* in comparison to its predecessors. *In vivo*, assays and cryo-electron microscopy could be used to gain further insights into the activity of the enzyme to further validate the mechanism of action seen in the homology modeling. Finally, with the development of gene delivery vehicles, such as adeno-associated virus

(AAV) and lipid nanoparticles (LNP), the therapeutic possibilities could be further explored *in vivo* for the diseases in this paper as well as other diseases with previously inaccessible regions. Several strategies have been used to deliver CRISPR systems in AAVs while LNPs have emerged as a viable non-viral carrier, creating the possibility of future translational work [19, 20].

References

1. Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E: **A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity.** *Science* 2012, **337**(6096):816-821; doi:10.1126/science.1225829; PMC6286148.
2. Batista AR, Flotte TR: **In vivo gene editing works in humans: Results of a phase 1 clinical trial for TTR amyloidosis.** *Mol Ther* 2021, **29**(9):2633-2634; doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.08.014; PMC8417911.
3. Lemmon ZH, Reem NT, Dalrymple J, Soyk S, Swartwood KE, Rodriguez-Leal D, Van Eck J, Lippman ZB: **Rapid improvement of domestication traits in an orphan crop by genome editing.** *Nat Plants* 2018, **4**(10):766-770; doi:10.1038/s41477-018-0259-x.
4. Wang JY, Doudna JA: **CRISPR technology: A decade of genome editing is only the beginning.** *Science* 2023, **379**(6629):eadd8643; doi:10.1126/science.add8643.
5. Anders C, Niewoehner O, Duerst A, Jinek M: **Structural basis of PAM-dependent target DNA recognition by the Cas9 endonuclease.** *Nature* 2014, **513**(7519):569-573; doi:10.1038/nature13579; PMC4176945.
6. Chatterjee P, Jakimo N, Jacobson JM: **Minimal PAM specificity of a highly similar Sp-Cas9 ortholog.** *Sci Adv* 2018, **4**(10):eaau0766; doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau0766; PMC6200363.
7. Rybnicky GA, Fackler NA, Karim AS, Kopke M, Jewett MC: **Spacer2PAM: A computational framework to guide experimental determination of functional CRISPR-Cas system PAM sequences.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2022, **50**(6):3523-3534; doi:10.1093/nar/gkac142; PMC8990532.
8. Collias D, Beisel CL: **CRISPR technologies and the search for the PAM-free nuclease.** *Nat Commun* 2021, **12**(1):555; doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20633-y; PMC7822910.
9. Nishimasu H, Shi X, Ishiguro S, Gao L, Hirano S, Okazaki S, Noda T, Abudayyeh OO, Gootenberg JS, Mori H et al: **Engineered CRISPR-Cas9 nu-**

- clease with expanded targeting space.** *Science* 2018, **361**(6408):1259-1262; doi:10.1126/science.aas9129; PMC6368452.
10. Kleinstiver BP, Pattanayak V, Prew MS, Tsai SQ, Nguyen NT, Zheng Z, Joung JK: **High-fidelity CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases with no detectable genome-wide off-target effects.** *Nature* 2016, **529**(7587):490-495; doi:10.1038/nature16526; PMC4851738.
 11. Acharya S, Ansari AH, Kumar Das P, Hirano S, Aich M, Rauthan R, Mahato S, Maddileti S, Sarkar S, Kumar M *et al*: **PAM-flexible Engineered FnCas9 variants for robust and ultra-precise genome editing and diagnostics.** *Nat Commun* 2024, **15**(1):5471; doi:10.1038/s41467-024-49233-w; PMC11213958.
 12. Chatterjee P, Jakimo N, Lee J, Amrani N, Rodriguez T, Koseki SRT, Tysinger E, Qing R, Hao S, Sontheimer EJ *et al*: **An engineered ScCas9 with broad PAM range and high specificity and activity.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2020, **38**(10):1154-1158; doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0517-0.
 13. Walton RT, Christie KA, Whittaker MN, Kleinstiver BP: **Unconstrained genome targeting with near-PAMless engineered CRISPR-Cas9 variants.** *Science* 2020, **368**(6488):290-296; doi:10.1126/science.aba8853; PMC7297043.
 14. Zhao L, Koseki SRT, Silverstein RA, Amrani N, Peng C, Kramme C, Savic N, Pacesa M, Rodriguez TC, Stan T *et al*: **PAM-flexible genome editing with an engineered chimeric Cas9.** *Nat Commun* 2023, **14**(1):6175; doi:10.1038/s41467-023-41829-y; PMC10550912.
 15. Leenay RT, Maksimchuk KR, Slotkowski RA, Agrawal RN, Gomaa AA, Briner AE, Barrangou R, Beisel CL: **Identifying and Visualizing Functional PAM Diversity across CRISPR-Cas Systems.** *Mol Cell* 2016, **62**(1):137-147; doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.02.031; PMC4826307.
 16. Walton RT, Hsu JY, Joung JK, Kleinstiver BP: **Scalable characterization of the PAM requirements of CRISPR-Cas enzymes using HT-PAMDA.** *Nat Protoc* 2021, **16**(3):1511-1547; doi:10.1038/s41596-020-00465-2; PMC8063866.
 17. Liyanage VR, Rastegar M: **Rett syndrome and MeCP2.** *Neuromolecular Med* 2014, **16**(2):231-264; doi:10.1007/s12017-014-8295-9; PMC5798978.
 18. McColgan P, Tabrizi SJ: **Huntington's disease: a clinical review.** *Eur J Neurol* 2018, **25**(1):24-34; doi:10.1111/ene.13413.
 19. Asmamaw Mengstie M: **Viral Vectors for the in Vivo Delivery of CRISPR Components: Advances and Challenges.** *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2022, **10**:895713; doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.895713; PMC9133430.
 20. Kazemian P, Yu SY, Thomson SB, Birkenshaw A, Leavitt BR, Ross CJD: **Lipid-Nanoparticle-Based Delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 Genome-Editing Components.** *Mol Pharm* 2022, **19**(6):1669-1686; doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.1c00916; PMC9176214.