



Part R, Revised, Volume 1, Chapter 8T10: Systematic Descriptions: Superfamily Eriphioidea

Carrie E. Schweitzer, Rodney M. Feldmann, and Hiroaki Karasawa

2020



Lawrence, Kansas, USA ISSN 2153-4012 paleo.ku.edu/treatiseonline

PART R, REVISED, VOLUME 1, CHAPTER 8T10: SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS: SUPERFAMILY ERIPHIOIDEA

CARRIE E. SCHWEITZER,¹ RODNEY M. FELDMANN,² and HIROAKI KARASAWA³

['Department of Geology, Kent State University at Stark, cschweit@kent.edu; ²Department of Geology, Kent State University, rfeldman@kent.edu; ³Mizunami Fossil Museum, Japan, GHA06103@nifty.com]

Classification for Eriphioidea follows NG, GUINOT, & DAVIE (2008) and DAVIE, GUINOT, & NG (2015).

Superfamily ERIPHIOIDEA MacLeay, 1838

[nom. transl. ŠTEVČIĆ, 2005, p. 34 ex Eriphidae MACLEAY, 1838, p. 60]

Carapace hexagonal or ovate, wider than long, maximum carapace length 60-75 percent maximum carapace width, widest about half to two-thirds the distance posteriorly on carapace; dorsal carapace regions moderately or weakly defined; frontal margin bilobed or quadrilobed excluding inner-orbital spines, frontal margin about 20-30 percent maximum carapace width except in Eriphiidae (50 percent); frontoorbital width about one-third to half maximum carapace width except in Eriphiidae (70 percent) anterolateral margins with four spines or lobes, or entire, or with a variable number of spines; anterolateral margin distinct from posterolateral margin; buccal frame anteriorly tapered, trapezoidal; sternum narrow; sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 interrupted but uninterrupted in female of Menippidae; sternal sutures 6/7 and 7/8 complete; all male pleonal somites free, movable; male pleon fills space between coxae of fifth pereiopods; male gonopod 1 stout, straight or weakly curved or long and slender; gonopod 2 as long as or longer than gonopod 1, with a short, developed, long, or very long flagellum; chelipeds at least weakly heterochelous, sometimes markedly so. [Emended from DAVIE, GUINOT & NG, 2015).] *Eocene–Holocene.*

Family DAIROIDIDAE Števčić, 2005

[Dairoididae ŠTEVČIĆ, 2005, p. 37]

Carapace hexagonal, widest about threequarters the distance posteriorly, narrowing markedly anteriorly; front more or less straight, with thin attenuated spines; axial regions well outlined laterally; carapace ornamented with dense nodes of varying sizes; margins ornamented with attenuated spines; orbits small; chelipeds large, other pereiopods ornamented strongly with spines; pleonal somites 3–4 fused. [Emended from DAVIE, GUINOT, & NG, 2015.] *Holocene*.

Dairoides STEBBING, 1920, p. 233 [*D. margaritatus, p. 234, pl. 98; M] [=Asterolambrus SAKAI, 1938, p. 341 (type, A. kusei, p. 341, pl. 41,5, OD]. As for family. Holocene: Indo-Pacific Ocean.—FIG. 1,1. D. kusei (SAKAI), MNHN-IU-2008-10320, Holocene, Mozambique Channel, scale bar, 1 cm (copyright MNHN).

Family ERIPHIIDAE MacLeay, 1838

[nom. correct. STIMPSON, 1871, p. 141 pro Eriphidae MacLeay, 1838, p. 60]

Carapace hexagonal, wider than long; regions distinct, with ridges and granules especially on anterior regions; front axially notched, bordered on either side of notch by straight segment, notch just proximal to each orbit, front about half maximum carapace width; orbits closed, strongly rimmed, directed anterolaterally, fronto-orbital margin

© 2020, The University of Kansas, Paleontological Institute, ISSN (online) 2153-4012

Schweitzer, C. E., R. M. Feldmann, & Hiroaki Karasawa. 2020. Part R, Revised, Volume 1, Chapter 8T10: Systematic descriptions: Superfamily Eriphioidea. Treatise Online 132:1–8, 3 fig.

about 70 percent maximum carapace width; antennae placed at some distance from orbits and antennules; anterolateral margin with variable number of spines; sternum narrow; sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 incomplete, 6/7 and 7/8 complete; male pleon covers space between coxae of fifth pereiopods; chelipeds heterochelous, fingers of chelipeds black; male gonopod 1 stout; male gonopod 2 long with long flagellum. [Emended from DAVIE, 2002; KARASAWA & SCHWEITZER, 2006.] *Miocene (Langhian)–Holocene.*

Eriphia LATREILLE, 1817, p. 404 [* Cancer spinifrons HERBST, 1785 in 1782-1804, p. 185, pl. 11,65; SD H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1842 in 1836-1844, pl. 14,1, ICZN Opinion 712, 1964; =Cancer verrucosus FORSKÅL, 1775, p. 93]. Carapace hexagonal, wider than long; regions distinct, with ridges and granules especially on anterior regions; front axially notched, bordered on either side of notch by straight segment, notch just proximal to each orbit, front about half maximum carapace width; orbits closed, strongly rimmed, directed anterolaterally, fronto-orbital margin about 70 percent maximum carapace width; antennae placed at some distance from orbits and antennules; anterolateral margin with variable number of spines; sternum narrow; sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 incomplete, 6/7 and 7/8 complete; male sternite 8 not visible barely; male pleon covers space between coxae of fifth pereiopods; chelipeds heterochelous, fingers of chelipeds black. Male gonopod 1 stout; male gonopod 2 long with long flagellum. Miocene (Langhian): Spain. Miocene: Cuba, Japan. Pliocene-Pleistocene: Italy, Jamaica. Pleistocene: France, Taiwan. Holocene: Caribbean Sea, Atlantic and Indo-Pacific Oceans.-FIG. 1,2a-b. Eriphia gonagra (FABRICIUS, 1781), USNM 20712, Holocene, Bahamas, dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).

Family HYPOTHALASSIIDAE Karasawa & Schweitzer, 2006

[Hypothalassiidae Karasawa & Schweitzer, 2006, p. 52]

Carapace ovate to hexagonal, wider than long, maximum carapace length about 75–85 percent maximum carapace width; front bilobed, medially notched, projecting anteriorly, with numerous supplementary spines; orbit not closed; inner-orbital spine well developed; frontal width about onequarter to 30 percent maximum carapace width; orbits spinose, with two fissures; fronto-orbital width about half maximum carapace width; basal article of antenna not reaching to front; endostomial ridges present; anterolateral margins moderately convex, spinose; posterolateral margin nearly straight, tuberculate; posterior margin about half maximum carapace width; carapace regions well defined, ornamented with spines anteriorly and posterolaterally; chelipeds heterochelous, spinose, fingers black; pereiopods 2-5 spinose, with corneous dactyl tips; thoracic sternum moderate in width; sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 not continuous; sternite 8 not visible in ventral view; male pleon reaching to posterior end of coxa of pereiopod 1; all male pleonal somites free, movable, filling entire space between coxae of fifth pereiopods; male gonopod 1 stout, gently curved; male gonopod 2 longer than 1, with long flagellum. [Emended from KARASAWA & SCHWEITZER, 2006.] Eocene-Holocene.

- Hypothalassia GISTL, 1848, p. viii [*Cancer (Acanthodes) armatus DE HAAN, 1835 in 1833–1850, p. 52, pl. 4; M, non Acanthodes AGASSIZ, 1835, p. 19 (fish)]. Carapace not much wider than long, length/ width about 85 percent; anterolateral margins and pereiopods strongly spinose, dorsal carapace regions spinose; front with four spines. Eocene (Priabonian): Italy. Holocene: Australia, Indo-Pacific Ocean.—FIG. 1,3a-b. *H. armata (DE HAAN), USNM 260964, Holocene, Vanuatu, dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).
- Lathahypossia SCHWEITZER, ARTAL, VAN BAKEL, JAGT, & KARASAWA 2007, p. 292 [**Titanocarcinus aculeatus* BUSULINI, TESSIER, & VISENTIN, 1984, p. 110, pl. 1–3; OD]. Carapace transversely ovate, wider than long, length about 75 percent maximum width; front with 6 strong spines, about 30 percent carapace width; upper and lower orbital margin spinose; anterolateral margin with 6 or 7 spines; carapace regions well defined, ornamented with large tubercles; chelipeds strongly heterochelous. *Eocene:* Italy.——FIG. 1,*4. *L. aculeata*, MSNVE 25083, Eocene, Italy, scale bar, 1 cm (image provided by A. Busulini, Museo di Storia naturale, Venezia, Italy).

Family MENIPPIDAE Ortmann, 1893

[Menippidae Ortmann, 1893, p. 428] [=Myomenippinae Ortmann, 1893, p. 429; =Ruppellioida Alcock, 1898, p. 176]

Carapace ovate to hexagonal, regions poorly defined; frontal margin about 20 percent carapace width, with 2–4 lobes; antennal flagellum free; anterolateral margin with spines or lobes; chelipeds often strongly



FIG. 1. Families Dairoididae, Eriphiidae, Hypothalassiidae (p. 1-2).

heterochelous, with molariform crushing teeth on occlusal surface of fingers; female sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 complete and nearly parallel; distal portion of gonopod 2 subequal to or longer than subdistal portion, narrowing distally into very slender element. [Emended from DAVIE, GUINOT, & NG, 2015.] *Eocene–Holocene.*

Menippe DE HAAN, 1833 in 1833–1850, p. 21 [*Cancer rumphii FABRICIUS, 1798, p. 336; SD GLAESSNER, 1929, p. 253; =Pseudocarcinus bellangerii H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1834 in 1843–1840, p. 409]. Carapace broadly hexagonal, wider than long, regions poorly defined; front with four lobes, about 20 percent carapace width; anterolateral margins with four spines or lobes excluding outer-orbital spines, last spine extending onto carapace in weak oblique ridge; posterolateral margin convex; chelipeds strongly heterochelous. *Eocene:* Ivory Coast, USA (Mississippi, North Carolina). *Miocene:* USA (Florida). *Pliocene:* Fiji. *Pleistocene:* USA (Florida, South Carolina, Texas). *Holocene:* Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean Sea, Red Sea, Indian Ocean.—FIG. 2,1*a–b. M. mercenaria*, USNM 8964, Holocene, North Atlantic Ocean, dorsal (*a*) and ventral (*b*) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).

Pseudocarcinus H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1834 in 1834– 1840, p. 407 [*Cancer gigas LAMARCK, 1818, p. 272; SD MIERS, 1886, p. 141]. Carapace ovate, length about 70 percent width, narrowing strongly posteriorly; regions moderately well marked; front with four spines; anterolateral margins with four lobes which themselves are ornamented with short spines; posterior margin short, concave; crab of overall giant size, very strongly heterochelous. Miocene: Australia, New Zealand. Holocene: Australia.— FIG. 2,2a-b. *P. gigas (LAMARCK), USNM 55162, Holocene, Tasman Sea, dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).

Family OZIIDAE Dana, 1851

[nom. transl. ORTMANN, 1893, p. 429, nom. correct. KINGSLEY, 1880, p. 396, ex Ozinae DANA, 1851, p. 127]

Carapace hexagonal or ovate, wider than long, maximum length 60-75 percent maximum carapace width, widest about half to two-thirds the distance posteriorly on carapace; dorsal carapace regions moderately or weakly defined; frontal margin bilobed or quadrilobed excluding innerorbital spines, frontal margin about 20-30 percent maximum carapace width; orbits opened medially or incompletely closed; fronto-orbital width about one-third to half maximum carapace; anterolateral margins with four or five spines or lobes, or entire, or with a variable number of spines; anterolateral margin distinct from posterolateral margin; antennae positioned close to antennules and orbits; buccal frame anteriorly tapered, trapezoidal; sternum narrow; female sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 interrupted medially; all male pleonal somites free, movable; male pleon fills space between coxae of fifth pereiopods; male gonopod 1 stout, straight, or weakly curved; male gonopod 2 as long as or longer than 1, with long, filamentous flagellum. [Emended from DAVIE, 2002; KARASAWA & SCHWEITZER, 2006; DAVIE, GUINOT, & NG, 2015.] Miocene (Langhian)-Holocene.

Ozius H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1834 in 1834–1840, p. 404 [*O. tuberculosus, p. 405; SD DESMAREST, 1858, p. 17]. Carapace hexagonal, strongly vaulted longitudinally, especially anteriorly; regions weakly defined, smooth; front downturned, about 40 percent maximum carapace width; anterolateral margins with two broad lobes followed by two spines, last extending onto carapace in weak ridge; orbits open. *Miocene (Langhian):* Japan. *Holocene:* Caribbean Sea, Indo-Pacific Ocean.——FIG. 2,3. *O. collinsi,* MFM 39001, Miocene, Japan, scale bar, 1 cm (new).

- Epixanthus HELLER, 1861, p. 323 [*E. kotschii, p. 325, pl. 1,14; M; = Ozius frontalis H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1834 in 1834-1840, p. 406, ICZN Opinion 85, 1925, ICZN Direction 36, 1956, ICZN Direction 37, 1956]. Carapace ovate, wider than long, front wide, axially weakly notched, front about one-third maximum carapace width; orbits small, fronto-orbital width about half maximum carapace width; anterolateral margin with three long lobes and one blunt spine at anterolateral angle or several spines; posterolateral margins weakly concave; region weakly defined; chelipeds moderately heterochelous. Pleistocene: Taiwan. Holocene: Indo-Pacific Ocean, Red Sea, eastern Atlantic Ocean.-FIG. 2,4a-b. *E. frontalis (H. MILNE EDWARDS), USNM 184251, Holocene, Indian Ocean, dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).
- Lydia GISTL, 1848, p. ix [*Cancer tenax RÜPPELL, 1830, p. 11, pl. 2,1; SD HOLTHUIS, 1993, p. 623] [=Cancer (Eudora) DE HAAN, 1833 in 1833-1850, p. 22 (type, C. tenax, SD HOLTHUIS, 1993, p. 623, non Eudora Péron & Lesueur, 1810, p. 326 (cnidarian); [=Ruppellia H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1834 in 1834-1840, p. 422 (type, R. annulipes, M); non Rueppellia KERTÉSZ, 1909 (dipteran); non Ruppellia WIEDEMANN, 1830, p. 625 (dipteran); = Eurueppellia MIERS, 1884, p. 533 (type, R. annulipes, M)]. Carapace wider than long, ovate; front with four lobes, about 38 percent maximum carapace width; orbits circular, rimmed, fronto-orbital width about 58 percent maximum carapace width; anterolateral margins with five spines, last very small; posterolateral margin convex; regions weakly defined, epibranchial region arcuate; chelipeds markedly heterochelous, short. Pleistocene: Taiwan. Holocene: Indian Ocean, Tropical Pacific Ocean, Red Sea. FIG. 2,5. L. annulipes (H. MILNE EDWARDS), USNM 1005164, Holocene, Mariana Islands, scale bar, 1 cm (new).

Family PLATYXANTHIDAE Guinot, 1977

[Platyxanthidae GUINOT, 1977, p. 1052]

Carapace hexagonal, wider than long, length about two-thirds maximum carapace width, ranging from 0.63 to 0.70; front with four spines excluding inner-orbital projection or nearly straight with central notch, frontal width about 0.2 maximum carapace width, ranging from 0.13 to 0.24; orbits with fissures, usually two, fronto-orbital width about 0.36 maximum carapace width,



FIG. 2. Families Menippidae, Oziidae (p. 3-4).

ranging from 0.33 to 0.38; anterolateral margins moderately convex; many possible configurations, either with numerous blunt spines, or with broad, bluntly spinose lobes separated by fissures, or with broad, entire

lobes separated by fissures; last anterolateral spine extending posteriorly and axially onto dorsal carapace; angle of posterolateral to posterior margin about 38 degrees; posterior width about 0.38 maximum carapace width,

ranging from 0.36 to 0.41; carapace regions poorly defined, protogastric and hepatic regions weakly inflated; endostomial ridges absent; chelae stout, smooth, coxa of first pereiopod articulating with merus, merus not fused to basis-ischium; sternum relatively straight and narrow or rather wide, sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 not continuous, 6/7 and 7/8 continuous; suture between sternites 2 and 3 complete; suture between sternites 3 and 4 deep, well developed laterally but becoming a shallow groove axially, merging with long groove extending anteriorly from sterno-pleonal cavity to form deep, Y-shaped groove pattern; sternite 8 not visible in ventral view; all male pleonal somites free, male pleon barely reaching or not reaching level of posterior margin of coxae of first pereiopods, covering entire space between coxae of fifth pereiopods; male gonopod 1 stout; male gonopod 2 long, with an elongated proximal article and a developed flagellum. [Emended from KARASAWA & SCHWEITZER, 2006.] Eocene-Holocene.

- Platyxanthus A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1863, p. 280 [*Xantho orbignyi H. MILNE-EDWARDS & LUCAS, 1843, p. 14, pl. 7, 1; M]. Carapace wider than long; regions weakly defined; front with four blunt spines; anterolateral margins with eight blunt spines of varying widths; chelae stout. Miocene: Dominica, Panama. Holocene: eastern Pacific Ocean.——FIG. 3,1a-b.*P. orbignyi (H. MILNE-EDWARDS & LUCAS), USNM 13864, Holocene, eastern Pacific Ocean, dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).
- Peloeus EYDOUX & SOULEYET, 1842, p. 224 [*P. armatus, p. 226, pl. 1,10–15; M; =Platyxanthus cokeri RATHBUN, 1930, p. 283, pl. 120–122] [=Gordonoxanthus ŠTEVČIĆ, 2011, p. 135 (type, Platyxanthus cokeri, OD)]. Carapace hexagonal, wider than long; anterolateral margin initially extending nearly laterally, then curving tightly convexly, with three broad lobes which are themselves spinose followed by sharp spine; regions poorly defined, sparsely ornamented with swellings and weakly formed ridge parallel to anterior margins of carapace. Eocene: France. Holocene: east Pacific Ocean.—3,2a-b. P. cokeri (RATHBUN), USNM 40409, Holocene, Peru, dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views, scale bars, 1 cm (new).

ABBREVIATIONS FOR MUSEUM REPOSITORIES

- MCZ: Museo Civico "G. Zannato" di Montecchio Maggiore, Vicenza, Italy
- MFM: Mizunami Fossil Museum, Mizunami, Gifu, Japan
- MNHN IU: Muséum National d'histoire naturelle, Paris, Marine Invertebrates, Decapod and nondecapoda Crustaceans, Paris, France
- USNM: United States National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., USA

REFERENCES

- Agassiz, Louis. 1835. Recherches sur les poisons fossiles, Volume 2. Petitpierre. Neuchatel. 336 p.
- Alcock, Alfred. 1898. Materials for a carcinological fauna of India, No. 3. The Brachyura Cyclometopa. Part I. The family Xanthidae. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 67, part 2 (1):67–233.
- Busulini, Alessandra, Giuliano Tessier, & Marina Visentin. 1984. *Titanocarcinus aculeatus* nuova specie di Brachiuro dell'Eocene del Veneto (Crustacea, Decapoda). Lavori. Società Veneziana di Scienze Naturali 9:107–117.
- Dana, J. D. 1851. On the classification of the Cancroidea. American Journal of Science and Arts (series 2) 12(34):121–131.
- Davie, P. J. F. 2002. Crustacea: Malacostraca: Eucarida (Part 2): Decapoda Anomura, Brachyura. *In:* A. Wells & W. W. K. Houston, eds., Zoological Catalogue of Australia 19.3B. CSIRO Publishing. Melbourne. 641 p.
- Davie, P. J. F., Danièle Guinot, & P. K. L. Ng. 2015. Systematics and Classification of Brachyura. In Peter Castro, Peter Davie, Danièle Guinot, Frederick Schram, & Carel von Vaupel Klein, eds., Treatise on Zoology, Taxonomy, Biology: The Crustacea, Vol. 9, Part C. 2 vol. Brill. Leiden. p. 1049–1130.
- Desmarest, Eugène. 1858. Crustacés, Mollusques, Zoophytes. In J. Chenu, Encyclopédie d'Histoire Naturelle ou Traité complet de cette Science. Marescq et Compagnie. Paris. 312 p., 40 pl.
- Eydoux, Fortuné, & L. F. S. Souleyet. 1842. Crustacés, Voyage autour du Monde exécuté pendant les Années 1836 et 1837 sur la Corvette La Bonite, Zoologie, vol. 1, part 2. Bertrand. Paris. p. 219–272.
- Fabricius, J. C. 1781. Species Insectorum. Carol Ernest Bohnii. Hamburg. (1):552 p
- Fabricius, J. C. 1798. Supplementatione Entomologiae Systematicae. C. G. Proft et Storch. Hafniae (Copenhagen). iv + 572 p.
- Forskål, Petrus. 1775. Descriptiones animalium, avium, amphibiorum, piscium, insectorum, vermium; quae in itinere orientali observavit Petrus Forskål. Mölleri. Hauniae (Copenhagen). 164 p.
- Gistl, Johannes. 1848. Naturgeschichte des Thierreichs für Höhere Schulen. Hoffman'scher Verlags-Buchhandlung. Stuttgart. xvi + 216 p., 32 pl.



FIG. 3. Family Platyxanthidae (p. 6).

- Glaessner, M. F. 1929. Crustacea Decapoda. In F. J. Pompeckj, ed., Fossilium catalogus, 1: Animalium, vol. 41. W. Junk. Berlin. 464 p.
- Guinot, Danièle. 1977. Propositions pour une nouvelle classification des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris (D) 285:1049–1052.
- de Haan, Wilhelm. 1833–1850. Crustacea. In P. F. von Siebold, ed., Fauna Japonica sive Descriptio Animalium, quae in Itinere per Japoniam, Jussu et Auspiciis Superiorum, qui summum in India Batava Imperium Tenent, Suscepto, Annis 1823–1830 Collegit, Notis, Observationibus et Adumbrationibus Illustravit. J. Müller et Co. Leiden. p. i–xvii, i–xxxi, ix–xxi, 1–243, pl. A–J, L–Q, 1–55, circular graph 2.
- Heller, Camil. 1861. Beiträge zur Crustaceen-Fauna des Rothen Meeres. Sitzungsberichte der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften 43(1):297–374.
- Herbst, J. F. W. 1782–1804. Versuch einer Naturgeschichte der Krabben und Krebse nebst einer systematischen Beschreibung ihrer verschiedenen Arten. G. A Lange & C. Fuessly. Berlin & Zürich. vol 1 (1782–1790), 274 p., pl. 1–21; vol 2 (1791–1796), i–viii, iii, iv, p. 1–225, pl. 22–46; vol 3 (1799–1804), p. 1–66, pl. 47–50.
- Holthuis, L. B. 1993. The non-Japanese new species established by W. de Haan in the Crustacea Volume of Fauna Japonica (1833–1850). *In* T. Yamaguchi, ed., Von Siebold and Natural History of Japan, Crustacea. The Carcinological Society of Japan. Tokyo. p. 599–642.

- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature). 1925. Opinion 85. Ninety-eight generic names in Crustacea placed in the Official List of Generic Names. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 73(3):13–18.
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature). 1956. Direction 36. Addition to the "Official List of Specific Names in Zoology" (a) of the specific names of the type species of one hundred and seventy genera of the Order Decapoda (Class Crustacea), the names of which were placed on the "Official List of generic names in Zoology" in the period up to the end of 1936 and (b) of the specific names currently treated as senior subjective synonyms of the names of the type species of nine other such genera. Opinions and Declarations rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature 1(D.1):1–46.
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature). 1956. Direction 37. Completion of the entries relating to the names of certain genera of the Order Decapoda (Class Crustacea) placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology in the period up to the end of 1936. Opinions and Declarations rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature 1(D.2):49–82.
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature). 1964. Opinion 712. Forty-seven genera of decapod Crustacea: placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 21:336–351.
- Karasawa, Hiroaki, & C. E. Schweitzer. 2006. A new classification of the Xanthoidea sensu lato (Crustacea:

Decapoda: Brachyura) based on phylogenetic analysis and traditional systematics and evaluation of all fossil Xanthoidea *sensu lato*. Contributions to Zoology 75(1/2):23–73.

- Kertész, Calman. 1909. Catalogus Dipterorum hucusque descriptorum. Volume 5. Bombyliidae, Therevidae, Omphralidae. Guilelmum Engelmann. Budapest. 199 p.
- Kingsley, J. S. 1880. On a collection of Crustacea from Virginia, North Carolina, and Florida, with a revision of the genera of Crangonidae and Palaemonidae. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 1879. p. 383–427.
- Lamarck, J. B. P. A. 1818. Histoire Naturelle des Animaux sans Vertébrés, Présentant les Caractères Généraux et Particuliers de ces Animaux, leur Distribution, leurs Classes, leurs Familles, leurs Genres, et la Citation des Principales Espèces qui s'y Rapportent; Précédée d'une Introduction Offrant la Détermination des Caractères Essentiels de l'Animal, sa Distinction du Végétal et des Autres Corps Naturels, Enfin, l'Exposition des Principes Fondamentaux de la Zoologie. vol. 5. Verdière. Paris. 612 p.
- Latreille, P. A. 1817. Eriphie, Nouveau Dictionnaire D'histoire Naturelle, appliquee Aux Arts, à l'agriculture, à l'économie rurale et domestique, à la medicine... (10):404–405.
- MacLeay, W. S. 1838. On the brachyurous decapod Crustacea brought from the Cape by Dr. Smith. *In* A. Smith ed., Illustrations of the Annulosa of South Africa. Consisting Chiefly of Figures and Descriptions of the Objects of Natural History Collected During an Expedition into the Interior of South Africa, in the years 1834, 1835, and 1836. Fitted out by "The Cape of Good Hope Association for Exploring Central Africa." Smith, Elder and Company. London. p. 53–71, 2 pl.
- Miers, E. J. 1884. Crustacea, Report on the Zoological Collections made in the Indo-Pacific Ocean during the Voyage of H.M.S. 'Alert' 1181-2. Part II. Collections from the western Indian Ocean. Taylor and Francis. London. p. 513–575, pl. 46–52.
- Miers, E. J. 1886. Report on the Brachyura collected by H. M. S. Challenger during the years 1873–1876. *In* C. W. Thomson & J. Murray, eds., Report of the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H. M. S. Challenger during the years 1873–1876, (Zoology). Johnson Reprints. New York. 362 p.
- Milne-Edwards, Alphonse. 1863. Monographie des Crustacés de la famille Cancériens. Annales des Sciences Naturelles, (Zoologie) (4)20[1863]:273– 324, pl. 5–12.
- Milne Edwards, Henri. 1834–1840. Histoire naturelle des Crustacés, Comprenant l'anatomie, la Physiologie, et la Classification de ces Animaux. vol. 1 [1834]: 468 p.; vol. 2 [1837]: 532 p.; vol. 3 [1840]: 638 p., Atlas: 32 p., 42 pl.
- Milne Edwards, Henri. 1836–1844. Les Crustacés. *In* G. Cuvier, Le Règne Animal, Distribué d'Après son Organisation, pour Servir de Base à l'Histoire Naturelle des Animaux, et d'Introduction à l'Anatomie Comparée. Fortin, Masson et Co., Libraires. Paris.

278 p., Atlas, pl. 1–80 (for dates of publication, see Manning & Holthuis, 1981).

- Milne Edwards, Henri, & P. H. Lucas. 1843. Crustacés. Voyage dans l'Amérique Méridionale (le Brésil, la République orientale de l'Uruguay, la République Argentine, La Patagonie, la République de Bolivia, la République du Pérou), exécutée pendant les années 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831, 1832 & 1833, vol. 6, part 1, p. 1–38, pl. 1–17.
- Ng, P. K. L., Danièle Guinot, & P. J. F. Davie. 2008. Systema Brachyurorum: Part I. An annotated checklist of extant brachyuran crabs of the world. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology (supplement) 17:1–286.
- Ortmann, A. E. 1893. Abtheilung: Brachyura (Brachyura genuina Boas), II. Unterabtheilung: Cancroidea, 2. Section: Cancrinea, 1. Gruppe: Cyclometopa. Die Decapoden-Krebse des Strassburger Museums, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der von Herrn Dr. Döderlein bei Japan und bei den Liu-Kiu-Inseln gesammelten und zur Zeit im Strassburger Museum aufbewahrten Formen, VII. Theil. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere 7:411–495, pl. 17.
- Péron, François, & C. A. Lesueur. 1810. Tableau des caractères génériques et spécifiques de toutes les espèces de méduses connues jusqu'à ce jour. Annales du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris 14:325–366.
- Rathbun, M. J. 1930. The cancroid crabs of America of the families Euryalidae, Portunidae, Atelecyclidae, Cancridae, and Xanthidae. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 152:1–609.
- Rüppell, Eduard. 1830. Beschreibung und Abbildung von 24 Arten kurzschwänzigen Krabben, also Beitrag zur Naturgeschichte des Rothen Meeres. H. L. Brönner. Frankfurt am Main. p. 1–28, pl. 1–6.
- Sakai, Tune. 1938. Studies on the crabs of Japan. III. Brachygnatha, Oxyryncha. Yokendo Co. Tokyo. p. 193–364, pl. 20–41.
- Schweitzer, C. E., Pedro Artal, Barry van Bakel, J. W. M. Jagt, & Hiroaki Karasawa. 2007. Revision of the genus *Titanocarcinus* (Decapoda: Brachyura: Xanthoidea) with two new genera and one new species. Journal of Crustacean Biology 27:278–295.
- Stebbing, T. R. R. 1920. South African Crustacea (Part X of S. A. Crustacea, for the Marine Investigations in South Africa). Annals of the South African Museum 17:231–272, pl. 18–27 (Crustacea plates 98–107).
- Števčić, Zdravko. 2005. The reclassification of brachyuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura). Natura Croatica 14 (supplement 1):1–159.
- Števčić, Zdravko. 2011. Addition to the reclassification of brachyuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura) Part I. New Taxa. Natura Croatica 20:125–139.
- Stimpson, William. 1871. Preliminary report on the Crustacea dredged in the Gulf Stream in the Straits of Florida, by L. F. de Pourtalès, Assist. U. S. Coast Survey. Part I. Brachyura. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 2 (1–5):109–160.
- Wiedemann, C. R. B. 1830. Aussereuropäische Zweiflügelige Insekten, Theil 2. Schulzischen Buchhandlung. Hamm. 684 p., pl. 7–10.