Festoons of oak stood by the entrances of the new Harugari Hall in Buffalo, New York, in 1886. Louis Buehl, a hotelkeeper, welcomed the audience from the speaker's table. If a latter day practice held true, the officers also were seated in front, facing the members, wearing medallions held by ribbons of the German tricolor—black, red and gold. Buehl's speech was a series of injunctions. He enjoined his hearers to preserve German traits in Buffalo. According to Buehl, the work of Harugari prospered, as it was "right" and "necessary" to foster the "German language," the "noble" customs of the German people, and to "Germanize" America. This was the "duty" of Deutschtum, the German community.¹

Amidst the work on minorities in the United States during the last thirty years, there are reasons to wonder why an ethnic leader could recite a list of demands and speak of "duty." "Ethnicity" has come to mean a temporary construction of identity. And yet, if identity is always in flux, how can there be duty? In the German-American case, the recent literature has stressed the accommodations and inventions of ethnicity, the uncertain and shifting identity of the Germans, and the intrusions of a German socialist culture. But their own community was "Deutschtum," the realm of Germandom—not Ethnicdom or Socialistdom. German-American poets fashioned prayerful requests to the fatherland, and hymns to the Kaiser, German songs, and even lager beer. The essence of Deutschtum consisted in the imposition of remaining German, and this too was its lure in an age of nationalism. As a German-American lawyer noted in 1908, the "love for fatherland is such a powerful factor in world history, that we must view it as a gift from heaven."²

Nationalism was not only a consciousness; it was a power. It existed when demands were made in the name of a nation, and followers dutifully obeyed. The story of Deutschtum from the standpoint of Buffalo, New York, suggests how the German-American community embraced nationalism and functioned as a nationality. As Buffalo's community was prosaic, its ideology was representative. The sensibility of being a dutiful German remained viable in the United States through the 1930s, but especially in the period before World War I.

Nationalism was a gift from heaven, at least in a sense. In Buffalo, a century ago, the Germans dominated much of the skyline. On Main Street, the tallest Catholic church, St. Louis, conveyed what the speaker, Buehl, had called Germanization. Among
downtown Gothics such as St. Joseph’s Cathedral, and the new St. Paul’s Episcopal, St. Louis was conspicuously ornamented and European. Its austere finials, front façade tower, and spire of diaphanous openwork resembled the cathedrals at Freiburg and Ulm. Thirteen years before, German trustees had gutted its low-key Romanesque predecessor. It now housed a purely German Catholic congregation, on the edge of a Yankee neighborhood on land seized from a French congregation over the protest of an Irish Bishop. Other Gothics of the East Side such as St. Andrew’s Lutheran, St. Anna’s Catholic, and St. Boniface lacked the intricate tracery of St. Louis, but dutifully followed the German pattern with façade towers, belfries, and fanciful Germanic spires that dwarfed surrounding neighborhoods. Other buildings also emanated Germanic standards. The Germans had a Turnverein Halle, spelled with an e, the city’s Music Hall with a frieze of a Germanic eagle, book stores, a dark stone bank, a hospital, a “Buffalo Freie Presse” high-rise, and a half dozen fanciful breweries with loud gables and dentils. At Lafayette Square, at the city’s heart, a massively ornamented German Insurance Building maintained eight truncated tent roofs. Hundreds of feet of iron fretwork crested the tent roofs like a crown. Did not the Harugari speaker say that German culture was noble? And was not being German in 1900, like an insurance policy, a portfolio that promised dividends as the German fatherland led a worldwide renaissance of Germanic achievement? As a whole panorama in 1900, German Buffalo was overwrought but serious; its highest points evoked the fatherland.3

German-American architecture itself was didactic, and this made the effort to preserve German culture intelligible. Though later allegations that the German schools in the United States were “nurseries of Kaiserism” were overdrawn, the German presence in education was imposing. In 1981, ten years before the Ukraine became its own nation, there were Ukrainian cities without schools that taught Ukrainian. From 1874 to 1908 in Subcarpathian Europe, over 400 persecuted Rusyn schools passed at first into a bilingual status, and finally into schools where Hungarian replaced Rusyn altogether as the language of instruction. By contrast, from 1884 to 1917, there remained about twenty-five parochial schools in Buffalo that maintained instruction in German and English. By 1910, the best of the parochial schools such as St. Boniface, St. Anna, St. Mary, Sacred Heart, First Trinity, and St. Andrew’s Lutheran had become two- and three-storied brick monuments to bilingual education, built with the over-reverent, over-sturdy air of the Insurance Building and the Music Hall. Pastor-teachers such as August Senne and John Sieck in Buffalo taught the theology of Christ’s two-fold nature, and the history of the Reformation in German, and also covered mental arithmetic, and the story of the American government in broken English. The Buffalo Mission of 300 Jesuits, many of them teachers, fought successfully in 1903 to remain within the German province of Jesuits. To outsiders, they were “aliens,” and “Germans.” Many could hardly speak English. Some taught that the German tongue was the “vessel of faith.” Children who resisted the onslaught of German received the switch, and if one can imagine this scene, one can perceive a classic image of nationalism. In the public schools, German became an elective at the Central High School in 1862, and at four primary schools after 1868. By 1916, all of the city high schools and
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thirty-three of the primary schools had electives in German. By this point, a group of East Side primary-school principals maintained five-year programs of instruction in the German language, despite the opposition of the State Board of Regents who offered tests for only one year of German.4

Americans had one of the most progressive public school systems in the world, but the Germans willingly pushed their demands in the midst of this achievement. The petitions of local Germans first for German courses, and then for Kindergartens, and German gymnastics (Turnerei) were an intermittent feature of the period from the Civil War to World War I. These bids were demands for those who recognized that the Germans were threatening political retaliation if German was not taught. In 1873, Buffalo’s oldest newspaper, the Commercial referred to the case for German instruction as a show of “German proscription.” Forty years later, a study of the New York State Teacher’s Association, noted that the program of German classes in the primary schools had been sustained through “the demand of German parents.” In Cleveland at this time, a German pastor “demand[ed] … a brand of German language instruction that is sufficient to know the wealth and value of the German spirit.” The New York Times in 1905 even found the problem of German proscription in education a test case of national character. For it was the “Germans” who “clamor… again and again” for the teaching of German, “and by so doing incite others much more truly alien to make demands even more absurd.”5

Even as parents pushed for German language classes, it seemed unlikely that any kind of secular didacticism could enter the German churches. Pastors and priests suspected worldly aspirations, and were alert to strictures that departed from apostolic teaching. But as the brick and mortar campaign of the late-nineteenth century concluded with success, many wondered who would inherit the translucent stained glass windows, and the three-manual pipe organs: Germans or Americans? The Lutheran, Henry Karsten, a produce dealer, argued that the German liturgies should always be retained, for they alone were “beautiful.” A third-generation housewife told her family that German must always be retained, for it alone made the worship services “pleasant.” Reverend Friedrich Kahler built his Lutheran church on Main Street, married a Presbyterian, and used English for the services. But this enraged even fellow moderates in the New York Ministerium. In 1902, Kahler’s church, under fire, withdrew from the Ministerium. Kahler complained that East Side Germans believed in a “Teutonic Jesus.” At Bethlehem Evangelical church before World War I, a mother complained that elders and pastor were forcing their daughter to be confirmed in German. By 1915, the Catholic devotional newspaper in Buffalo, the Aurora, told all of its readers to become a member of the German-American Alliance. It expected its readers to “work unremittingly” to counter Anglophiles who wanted the United States to go to war against Germany.6

The demands of nationality entered the churches, and flourished in Vereine, associations dedicated to singing, gymnastics, humor and literature. Some had “papas” (founding members) and military units. Some conducted funerals and weddings. Such solidarity connoted a willingness to be bossed that was absent from the more chummy American clubs. Their formation even before 1871 as “German” societies also made
Germanic legalisms an elemental, binding force. The Saengerbund rehearsed by a sign: “Honor the German Language.” The Liedertafel had a banner: “Stay True to the Watch on Rhine.” The festival brochure of the German Society in 1891 bore the motto “Uphold the German Word.” Sweeping demands blended naturally with good-natured post-prandial oratory: “stay true to our traditions,” “Germans to the front,” “stay continually as one,” and “hold fast to the language of our fathers.” At the fiftieth anniversary of the German Society in 1891, F. A. Georger noted that the demand to preserve the German language in the organization went even beyond duty. It was “indispensable” for the survival of the Verein.7

At the apex of importunity, the leaders of Buffalo’s Deutschum acted as the righteous, highhanded guardians of Germanic idealism. During the years after 1900, Dr. Wilhelm Gaertner emerged as the German leader in Buffalo. With a Ph.D. from the University of Marburg as well as an American M.D., this gruff physician had such authority, that local Germans called him the “Führer.” When the prominent singing society, Orpheus, showed signs of lapsing in its commitment to the German language, Gaertner suddenly emerged from the ranks of its passive members to become its president. He arranged for a 1905 Schiller Festival in Buffalo, and for Orpheus-sponsored visits of a Vienna men’s choir and a German admiral. The climactic moment of his service came at the start of World War I when he read a letter he had composed to thousands of local Germans. As the head of the German-American Alliance in Buffalo, Gaertner pledged to the German Kaiser, the moral and financial support of Buffalo’s Deutschum.8

Singing society directors also had a penchant for winning prestige while playing the part of the didactic German. The Buffalo Truth observed in 1886 that the most widely known German conductor in Buffalo, Frederick Federlein, “often stormed and swore at luckless singer[s].” His regard for ladies in the mixed chorus was said to resemble the outlook of warriors in the Nibelungenlied, a medieval German poem. Nevertheless, Federlein lasted thirty years with the Saengerbund, an organization with over 500 members. A group of women supported him, and members arose to his defense when Buffalo’s German mayor, Philip Becker, tried to have him fired in 1883. Hermann Schorcht, a conductor of Orpheus during Gaertner’s tenure, also like Federlein, rehearsed all-German programs in a demanding manner. Schorcht once challenged an American-born singer to a duel over a “gibe,” and, on another occasion, threw a pencil at the choir because of their crooning. A German choir, he exclaimed, needed to convey more power of expression.9

This was classic nationalism—demands placed on others in the name of a people, a fatherland, and a culture. And if there were striking requests in this vein, one appeared in the Buffalo Demokrat in 1913: “Stay true to Germany, true until death.” This is not as staunch as “defend Buffalo’s Deutschum and German honor, even in the face of a fanatic American who might pistol-whip you to death should you try.” It is not necessarily fanatical, like the call of the pro-Indian, Sikh Ghadar party of California in 1911: “Come, let us become Martyrs.” But the cry of this mainstream German-American newspaper is truly nationalism. It is not merely an ethnic phrase such as: “German is the language that God spoke to Adam” or “knowing the German language
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profits a man in whatever field.” Instead, it is inherently religious as it deals with ultimate concerns. The 1913 quote has a kinship not with ethnic one-upmanship, but with an observation of a German Catholic priest in Buffalo in 1932 that love for the German fatherland stemmed from Christ’s commandment to love one’s heavenly father.10

It is notable that in German-American circles, the Buffalo community bore a stigma of inferiority. Theodore Sutro, a distinguished New York City businessman and poet told local Germans in 1908 that they were one of the “mainstays” of Deutschum, but only in the state of New York. Years before, Karl Heinzen charged that the Germans of Buffalo were interested only in beer, business and dancing. L. Viereck in an exhaustive study of German influence in the public schools classified the efforts of Buffalo’s Deutschum as “indifferent.” There are some major implications here about the scope of nationalism throughout the United States. For this community, skipped by Prince Henry of Hohenzollern in his celebrated tour of German-America in 1902, was, by the standards of the world and of local Anglo-Americans, a major immigrant colony. In 1906, the membership of German Lutheran and German Evangelical churches alone in Buffalo surpassed that of the Methodists, Presbyterians, Baptists, Congregationalists, and Disciples of Christ combined. In 1915, there were more German Catholics in Buffalo than Mormons in Salt Lake City. By 1900, there were more residents of German descent in Buffalo than there were Serbians in Belgrade, Russians in Minsk, Finns in Helsinki, Bulgars in Sophia, Croats in Zagreb, or even Greeks in Athens. Lackluster Buffalo alone had half as many German newspapers as the entire Brazilian Deutschum in 1900. Buffalo’s German league of nationalist Vereine, the Alliance, predated the ones in Rio de Janeiro and Buenos Aires by a decade, and appears to have attracted more supporters.11

The demands of German nationalism were alive and well outside of Buffalo. Karl Ruemelin, a Cincinnati politician, spoke of Germans in America who “demand that only German bees make their German honey.” German newspapers and major German-American leaders disseminated injunctions as Buehl did, in one community after another. Germans were to support a noble family life. German women could not be dilettante mothers like their American counterparts, but true candy-withholding madonnas of virtue. German girls were to dress more discreetly than American girls. German men were to shun factory-made folktypes such as the American male, who swore, drank whiskey and boasted of his new “auto-machine.” German males were to command their families through respect, stay awake for their family after work, fight prohibition, and always tell the truth since this was a key “German trait.”12

The importunate character of this culture was possible, as many German-Americans had internalized the demands. Secretary of State John Hay once told Theodore Roosevelt that it was a “singular ethnological and political [fact] that the prime motive of every German-American” was to support Germany in world affairs, even above the United States. In fact, municipal elections indicate that sizable numbers of German-Americans—in Buffalo’s case, probably a plurality—supported anti-war and anti-draft candidates after the United States had declared war on Germany. In 1895, a leader of the Turnverein could claim that German-American women “never”
neglected their domestic work. A German Jewish lawyer, Jacob Stern, argued in 1907 that the Germans would never assimilate in the United States, because in the hearts of German-Americans, there was “always” a pride of German achievements. In the fight against prohibition, the German county of Comal, Texas, produced a 99 percent majority for a wet gubernatorial candidate in 1912. Rudolf Cronau, a prolific, award-winning author, took it for granted in 1916 that the Germans “set a higher value on truth . . . than any other peoples. They all love truth.”

Even amidst the proliferation of those who baked pies instead of kuchen, and pronounced the letter b there were probably millions of Americans on the eve of World War I who were on some level of their psyche responding to the demands of German nationalism. This alone was the German case, in a nation of 100 million. The following numbers are only suggestive, and do not constitute a sum of those who showed fidelity to Deutschtum. But they do provide a sense of dimension. On the national level, a conservative estimate of the size of the German-American Alliance in 1914 (2,000,000) shows a group as large as the American Federation of Labor. Include the wives and children of Alliance members, but subtract all who were Catholics or Lutherans. Include one-tenth of all the congregations of the Roman Catholic Church in the United States in 1916, for they were German national parishes. Include about 1,000,000 Missouri and Wisconsin Synod Lutherans who maintained bilingual, or all-German parochial schools. There were about as many Germans here as there were people in the western third of the United States in 1910.

To be sure, during the turn of the century, Theodore Roosevelt stopped congratulating farmers for being as German as he was. Histories note that more and more Americans of German descent spoke English at home. Still, the entire population of German descent probably doubled in the United States from 1875 to 1915. If X + Y = Z, and Z doubles, it remains illogical to suggest a decline for Y just because X happens to increase. A Verein address book and newspaper accounts from Wilmington, Delaware, indicate that German associations such as Harmonie, and the Saengerbund increased to the point that by 1914, the combined Verein membership equaled half of the city’s German-born population. From 1895 to 1915, the circulation of German newspapers in small towns such as Hermann, Missouri, Carroll, Iowa, and Aurora, Illinois increased. In Chicago, the circulation of local German newspapers during this time increased from about 114,000 to 141,000. The German-American Alliance registered a 66 percent gain in membership from 1907 to 1915. In the nation's high schools in 1915, 28 percent of all students enrolled in a German-language class. This marked an all-time high for a foreign language in United States schools, a proportion that has only been matched by Spanish-language enrollments in the late 1990s. In the prairie town of Perham, in west central Minnesota, the percentage of German-Americans able to speak English actually declined from 1900 to 1910, and the rate at which Germans married out of their nationality dropped. It was little wonder that the New York Times referred after 1900 to an “adamantine Deutschtum.”

The case of Buffalo evokes the sense of “duty” alluded to in the beginning, the otherwise uncanny penchant for German-Americans to hold fast to their culture. From 1890 to 1915 the circulation of the four non-socialist German newspapers in
Buffalo increased from about 15,900 to 22,664. This came despite the falloff of German immigration after 1890 and despite the fact that the ratio of immigrant family heads to American-born family heads within the German population dropped from three in 1892 to one in 1915. During this same period, the number of pupils taking German in Buffalo's public schools increased from 5,435 to 12,406. By 1916, two-thirds of all Buffalo primary schools offered German, and the number of students completing the “highest grammar grade”—six years, increased from 40 in 1892 to 943 in 1915. From 1890 to 1915 in Buffalo, the number of students enrolling in Turnverein gym classes and Kindergartens, the number of German families in German neighborhoods, and the number of German Lutheran churches in the city increased. The names of parks attesting to German influence and nationalism—Teutonia, Schiller, Humboldt, and Germania—increased to four by 1914. In 1914, the largest gathering relative to the German-American population in Buffalo's history was reached when an equivalent of one-fourth of all German-Americans attended a German Day tribute to early German victories in World War I.

In some cities, such as Los Angeles, California, or Newark, New Jersey, increases in the German immigrant population after 1890 remained impressive. But Buffalo's German-born population began to decline in the 1890s when the generation that crowded into the city in the 1850s began to die off. Moreover, Buffalo, unlike New York, Chicago, Milwaukee, and St. Louis, had a distinctive Bavarian, and south-German slant to its German population. The Buffalo community, more so than other more renown centers of Deutschtum, contained a large number of south Germans from rural areas, the very group considered least attuned to the nationalistic political parties of Germany after 1871. Yet even in Buffalo, Deutschtum by 1917 was a movement with potential, rather than a rearguard act as has been suggested. The extent of nationalistic ardor implied here for the German-American experience as a whole, in fact, may be significant from an American, and even a world standpoint.

Consider, for instance, the German case in relation to Southern nationalism before the Civil War. In the last thirty years, at the same time it has become unfashionable to speak of immigrant groups as nationalities, much has been made of Southern or Confederate “nationalism.” But how was the Confederacy essentially nationalistic? When newspapers such as the Carolina Watchman in 1850, the Charlotte Hornet's Nest in 1850, the Charleston Courier in 1850, and the New Orleans Daily Crescent in 1855 embraced the cause of a Southern nation, they began with the premise that northern attacks on slavery had become intolerable. Their Southern nation began as a solution to a larger problem, an expedient. Leading German-Americans spoke and sang of blood as a “good cement,” of their culture as “holy,” and of the fatherland as “above the nations.” But George Fitzhugh had more faith in the system of slavery than in the South, and Josiah Nott stressed the dominion of the “American Caucasian” rather than a Southern race. German-American poets such as Ernst A. Zuendt, Wilhelm Mueller, and Paul Hoffmann addressed Germany with the warmth one might a lover, but Southern writers were more likely to extol “Charleston,” “Carolina,” or a “Kentucky Belle” than the South. German-American newspapers extolled the German language and German customs. Newspapers such as the Richmond Whig in 1854 and the New Orleans
Daily Crescent in 1855 were more interested to prove how the Yankees had divided a
people of the same “language” and “descent,” than to justify Southern nationality.20

Southerners consistently missed chances to promote a sense of duty to the Southern
nation. James Hammond claimed in 1859 that not the South, but cotton was king.
Robert Toombs of Georgia urged his countrymen to worship at the “altar of liberty”
rather than at the shrine of their new nation. Fire-Eaters such as Nathaniel Beverly
Tucker, John Quitman, Robert Rhett, and William Yancey were the earliest and most
adamant proponents of a southern nation. But Tucker spoke of Virginians as a
“nationality.” Quitman believed that any group or business could form its own state
if it was willing to pursue a private act of imperialism in Latin America. Robert Rhett
felt it was better to tear a nation into “1,000 fragments” than endure a powerful
government. From the waving of the palmetto flag in 1861 to the decision of Robert
E. Lee to fight for Virginia’s sake, and the threatened secession of Georgia, the
Confederacy teemed with anti-nationalist, libertarian desire. It was not just that wartime
commands outweighed nationalistic demands. Essentially, the South proved willing
by 1861 to follow the commands in order to evade the demands.21

In the United States, “liberty” was Jefferson’s “true God,” Lincoln’s providence,
and Ralph Waldo Emerson’s piety. Even the “Americanizers” of World War I, failed to
surmount this tradition. As their demands were weak, they too lacked the nationalistic
flair of the German-Americans. The Superpatriots of this era, as their name implied,
began as patriots, not nationalists. Their leader, Theodore Roosevelt, was more of a
professor than a boss. He intimated that all immigrants should be learning English,
but found it impossible to insist that all Americans know English. He proclaimed
that ethnic voting was treason, but failed to urge countermeasures. He argued that
there was no room in America for other nationalities, but admitted that Americans
were still “developing our own distinctive culture.” President Woodrow Wilson, who
embraced Superpatriotic ideals in 1915, essentially demanded that all immigrants
cease unpatriotic activity. Instead of demanding that they cut ties with their fatherland,
he argued that it was “sacred” to love the land of one’s birth. Moreover, Wilson often
equated American patriotism with an essentially un-nationalistic internationalism.
“Think first of humanity” demanded Wilson to new American citizens at Philadelphia’s
Convention Hall in 1915. Though wartime pressures incited a brand of American
chauvinism that included kiss-the-flag ceremonies, and beatings, the ideological basis
of such bullying remained weak. The question lingers: Was it nationalism or
scapegoating? In numerous essays on Americanization before World War I by Frances
Kellor, Jane Addams, the Secretary of the Interior, Franklin Lane, and others, one
finds an unusual degree of hedging. What is an American? What is an American
supposed to do? The only demand that emerged in these works was an implicit
suggestion. Americans should believe in democracy.22

Germans in the United States were but imitators of the European nationalists,
but still, their tone was more fatherly, and their demands more sweeping than the
Americanizers. In addition, the degree of German nationalism in the United States
even appears to have ranked high among the other German colonies around the world.
In Riga, Latvia, Germans dominated trading houses, and banks, but remained politically
vulnerable and called their culture “Baltic.” The German farmers in Chilean and Volga River hamlets knew nothing of “German Days” and Schillerfests. The German communities of Rio de Janeiro and Buenos Aires included German utility companies and employment agencies, and yet South American communities lacked broad and indigenous movements.

The Harugari speaker, Louis Buehl, had called for a Germanized America. But the defeat of Germany in 1918 shattered the nationalistic faith, and after a brief revival of “Deutschtum” in the 1930s, the descendants of Buffalo’s “Great German East Side” scattered, and its neighborhoods turned into a ghetto. Americans of German descent uttered few trenchant lamentations for Deutschtum, for it came to represent a religious and social abyss. They fled it. Elderly Americans with German backgrounds today believe that their ancestors Americanized quickly. One might assume from their accounts and from the outcome of Deutschtum that American culture was too charismatic for an immigrant culture to withstand its influence. But the case in Buffalo shows that there was no victory for the forces of Americanization before 1917. Deutschtum not only endured in Buffalo’s prosaic community, but showed signs of progress. During the age when beer gardens and spires marked the bounds of German efforts in the United States, Deutschtum lived in tension with American culture. It was not a natural but a moral phenomenon. When this foreign intrusion collapsed, it was not due to the allure of American culture that had worked unsuccessfully against it for years, but to the bankrupt status of German nationalism as a secular religion. The “Faith” faltered in 1918, and limped back to life in the 1930s only to die after 1941. Much of world and American culture has demonized it thereafter.25

To see the Deutschtum that once existed as a nationality, however, is to see much more than the German-American experience, unclouded by modern bias. It is to see the Polonia, the Hungarian Magyarsag, and La Colonia Italiana in a new light as well. The literature on foreign nationalism suggests that groups such as the Irish, Hungarians, Asian Indians, Greeks, Poles, Cubans, and the fascist-era Italians showed even more ardor than the Germans. But the case of Deutschtum, properly construed, underlines the scope and importance of other nationalities. A new image of the United States emerges as well. Here was a nation that was able to defeat serious nationalistic movements without having a strong nationalism of its own. In the United States—even in its Southern Confederacy in 1861—the demands of society have had more to do with an individual’s economic rights and political liberties than with nationalism. How then did the United States persevere? Certainly, as the great superpower of the twentieth century, the living proof that all other nationalist fantasies did not amount to much. At the very least, victories in the World Wars have compensated for the uneven appeal of American nationalism. The United States has not needed to convert its nationalities, so much as to subvert its wounded and discredited remnants. Perhaps like the Assyrians of the eighth-century BC, the United States has not so much bedazzled foreign nations with its culture, as it has discredited other cultures with its success.
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